

September 5, 2006

NOTE TO: Tom McKernon
Chief Examiner, Operations Branch

FROM: Vincent Gaddy, Chief
Operations Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

SUBJECT: EXAMINATION ASSIGNMENT

You have been assigned as Chief of the Diablo Canyon. The operating test has been scheduled to be completed by March 30, 2007. Thank you for contacting the Diablo Canyon facility to finalize the details of the examination. You are reminded that the RPS/IP system must be maintained to ensure that the examiners and numbers of candidates are accurate. In addition, you are reminded that only qualified examiners are permitted to conduct any part of the examination.

Vincent Gaddy 9/5/06

Vincent Gaddy, Chief
Operations Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

CHIEF:	Tom McKernon	FACILITY:	Diablo Canyon	DATE of OPERATING EXAM:	3/19/07
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
9/20/06	Exam Administration Date Confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	10/05/06	DM		
11/19/06	NRC Staff & Facility Contact Assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	9/5/06	DM		
11/19/06	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's (C.2.c)	12/12/06	DM		
11/19/06	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	12/14/06	DM	produced by Chief Examiner	
12/19/06	Reference Material Due (if NRC authored) (C.1.e; C.3.c)				
1/10/07	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	1/10/07	DM		
1/18/07	Outlines Review & NRC Feedback Provided (c.2.h; C.3.e)	1/25/07	DM	schedule conflict - delay obscured w/ BC	
2/2/07	Draft Exams w/References Due (C.1.e,f,g,h; C.3.d)	2/2/07	DM		
2/17/07	*Peer Reviewer Completes Review of Exam on ES-401-9	2/7/07	DM		
2/17/07	*NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	2/7/07	DM	DM verbal by T. Gody to Tom	
2/17/07	*Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h; C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	2/8/07	DM		
2/17/07	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	3/5/07	DM		
2/22/07	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.i; C.2.g)	3/14/07	DM		
3/5/07	Final Applications Due (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	3/19/07	DM		
3/5/07	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate Operating Exam	2/12/07	DM		
3/5/07	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	2/16/07	DM		
3/12/07	Final Appl. OK'ed & Waiver Letters Sent (ES-204; C.2.e)	3/20/07	DM		
3/12/07	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i; C.3.h)	3/20/07	DM		
3/12/07	Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and List of Applicants (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	3/20/07	DM	produced by OLA	
3/12/07	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	3/21/07	DM		
3/12/07	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)	3/26/07	DM		
3/19/07	Administer Operating Exam On-Site	4/2-6/07	DM		
3/31/07	Facility Graded Exam & Comments Received	4/23/07	DM		
4/3/07	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed	4/25/07	DM		
4/3/07	Examiners Document Exam Grades on ES Forms	4/25/07	DM		
4/13/07	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Completed	4/26/07	DM		
4/14/07	NRC BC Review Completed	5/10/07	DM		
4/18/07	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	4/15/07	DM		
4/18/07	RPS/IP Number of Examinees Updated	6/1/07	DM	print Report-21	
5/3/07	Examination Report Issued	7-18-07	DM	produced by Chief Examiner	
5/10/07	Package Closed Out	8-22-07	DM		
5/10/07	Chief QA of ADAMS and SISP review complete	8-22-07	DM		

* Indicates the due dates are more conservative than the ES-201-1 requires.





Facility: DCPP

Date of Examination: Op - 4/2/07
Written - 4/13/07

Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	B*	c#
1. W R I T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	WF	DB	AR
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	WF	DB	AR
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	WF	DB	AR
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	WF	DB	AR
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	DB	DB	AR
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	DB	DB	AR
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	DB	DB	AR
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	DB	DB	AR
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	DB	DB	AR
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	DB	DB	AR
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	DB	DB	AR
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	DB	DB	AR
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	DB	DB	AR
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	DB	DB	AR
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	DB	DB	AR
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	DB	DB	AR

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Author	<u>Jack Blackwell</u>	<u>2-1-07</u> / <u>2/1/07</u>
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>DAVID BURNS / David Burns</u>	<u>2/1/07</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>Tom KERNON / Tom Kernon</u>	<u>3/12/07</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>A.T. GODY / AT Gody</u>	<u>3/21/07</u>

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: Diablo Canyon		Date of Exam: ^{04/13} 03/16/2007		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	RF	DS	JMB			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	RF	DS	JMB			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	RF	DS	JMB			
4. If more than four RO and two SRO questions are repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, the facility licensee's sampling process was random and systematic.			JMB			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)	RF	DS	JMB			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	RF	DS	JMB
	23/6	12/3	40/16			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		RF	DS	JMB
	30/7	45/18				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	RF	DS	JMB			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	RF	DS	JMB			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	RF	DS	JMB			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	RF	DS	JMB			
Printed Name / Signature						
a. Author	Ron Fortier				Date	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Dave Burns				3-2-07	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Tom Mckernon				3-2-07	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	A.T. Godoy				3/12/07	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: DCPP Date of Examination: 3/19/07 Operating Test Number: 01

1. General Criteria	Initials		
	a	b*	c#
a. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	JB	DB	AR
b. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	JB	DB	AR
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	JB	DB	AR
d. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	JB	DB	AR
e. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	JB	DB	AR
2. Walk-Through Criteria			
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: · initial conditions · initiating cues · references and tools, including associated procedures · reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee · operationally important specific performance criteria that include: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	JB	DB	AR
b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	JB	DB	AR
3. Simulator Criteria			
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	JB	DB	AR

Printed Name / Signature Date

a. Author Jack Blackwell JTB 02/01/2007

b. Facility Reviewer(*) DAVID BRUSS / David B 2/14/07

c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) TOM MCKERNON / Tom 3/12/07

d. NRC Supervisor A.T. GODY / ATG 3/21/07

NOTE: *The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
 #Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: DCCP		Date of Exam: 04/02/2007		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3		Operating Test No.: 01		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials		
						a	b*	c#
1. The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.						JS	DS	NR
2. The scenarios consist mostly of related events.						JS	DS	NR
3. Each event description consists of · the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated · the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event · the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew · the expected operator actions (by shift position) · the event termination point (if applicable)						JS	DS	NR
4. No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.						JS	DS	NR
5. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.						JS	DS	NR
6. Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.						JS	DS	NR
7. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.						JS	DS	NR
8. The simulator modeling is not altered.						JS	DS	NR
9. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.						JS	DS	NR
10. Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.						JS	DS	NR
11. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).						JS	DS	NR
12. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).						JS	DS	NR
13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.						JS	DS	NR
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)				Actual Attributes				
1. Total malfunctions (5-8)				8 / 7 / 7				
2. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)				3 / 2 / 2				
3. Abnormal events (2-4)				3 / 4 / 4				
4. Major transients (1-2)				2 / 2 / 1				
5. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)				2 / 2 / 2				
6. EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)				0 / 0 / 0				
7. Critical tasks (2-3)				4 / 2 / 2				

Facility: DCP		Date of Exam: 04/02/2007											Operating Test No.: 01					
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios													T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		1			2			3			4							
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			R		I	U	
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
SRO-U1 SRO-U2	RX														0	1	1	0
	NOR														0	1	1	1
	I/C	2,3,4 ,5,													4	4	4	2
	MAJ	6,9													2	2	2	1
	TS	3,7													2	0	2	2
SRO-I1 SRO-I2	RX					5									1	1	1	0
	NOR														0	1	1	1
	I/C	2,3,4 ,5,				2,3,4, 6,8									9	4	4	2
	MAJ	6,9				7,10									4	2	2	1
	TS	3,7													2	0	2	2
SRO-I3	RX		1												1	1	1	0
	NOR														0	1	1	1
	I/C		2,3,4 ,5		1,2,3 ,4,6										9	4	4	2
	MAJ		6,9		7,10										4	2	2	1
	TS				1,3										2	0	2	2
RO1 RO3	RX		1						1						2	1	1	0
	NOR								1						1	1	1	1
	I/C		2,3,4 ,5						2,3,5 ,7,8						9	4	4	2
	MAJ		6,9						6						3	2	2	1
	TS														0	0	2	2

Instructions:

- 1) Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- 2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: DCPD		Date of Exam: 04/02/2007											Operating Test No.: 01				
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		1			2			3			4						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
												R	I	U			
RO2 RO4 RO6 RO7	RX			1					1								2
	NOR								1					1	1	1	1
	I/C			4,6,8, 10					2,4,5 ,7,8					9	4	4	2
	MAJ			6,9					6					3	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO5	RX		1											2	1	1	0
	NOR													0	1	1	1
	I/C		2,3,4 ,5						1,2,4, 6,9					9	4	4	2
	MAJ		6,9						7,10					4	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO SRO-I SRO-U	RX														1	1	0
	NOR														1	1	1
	I/C														4	4	2
	MAJ														2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO SRO-I SRO-U	RX														1	1	0
	NOR														1	1	1
	I/C														4	4	2
	MAJ														2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Instructions:

- 1) Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- 2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: DCPP	Date of Examination: 02 April 2007								Operating Test No.: 1							
Competencies	APPLICANTS															
	RO SRO-I SRO-U				RO SRO-I SRO-U				RO SRO-I SRO-U				RO SRO-I SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2-5	1-4, 6,8,9	2-8	2-5, 8	2-5	1-7, 9,10	2-8	2-5, 7-9	2-5	1-7, 9,10	2-8	2-5, 7-9				
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-6,9	1-5, 7,10	1,2,5,6	1-7,9	1-7, 9,10	1-7, 9,10	1,2,5,6	1-7,9	1-7, 9,10	1-7, 9,10	1,2,5,6	1-7,9				
Operate Control Boards (2)	2,3, 5-10	1-5, 7-10	1-8	1-9	2,3, 5-10	1-5, 7-10	1-8	1-9								
Communicate and Interact	1-6, 9,10	1-10	1-8	1-9	1-10	1-10	1-9	1-9	1-10	1-10	1-9	1-9				
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)					1-3, 5,6, 9,10	1-7, 10	1-8	1-7,9	1-3, 5,6, 9,10	1-7, 10	1-8	1-7,9				
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)					3,7	1,3	3,5	3,5	3,7	1,3	3,5	3,5				

Notes:
 (1)Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
 (2)Optional for an SRO-U.
 (3)Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility:		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: RO/SRO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	WF	DB	ARL ^①		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	WF	DB	ARL		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	WF	DB	ARL		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	WF	DB	ARL		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	WF	DB	ARL		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	WF	DB	ARL		
		Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a. Grader	<u>Ken Ferron / [Signature]</u>		4/15/07		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>DAVID BRASS / [Signature]</u>		4/15/07		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>T.O. McKernon / [Signature]</u> <u>BRIAN T. CARSON CE-011</u>		4/26/07		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>A.T. Goad / [Signature]</u>		4/27/07		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

① Licensee graded exams prior to making copies.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2006 To 09/30/2007

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
02/12/2007	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2007301 TAC #: X02326	RO - 6 SROU - 2	Prep	FFF	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	LARSON, BRIAN T. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
02/12/2007	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2007301 TAC #: X02327	RO - 6 SROU - 2	Prep	FFF	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	LARSON, BRIAN T. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
03/26/2007	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2007003 Procedure #: 7111111B				MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	APGER, GABRIEL W. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
03/26/2007	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2007003 Procedure #: 7111111B				MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	APGER, GABRIEL W. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
04/02/2007	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2007301 TAC #: X02326	RO - 3 SROU - 1	Admin	FFF	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	DRAKE, JAMES F. LARSON, BRIAN T.
04/02/2007	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2007301 TAC #: X02327	RO - 3 SROU - 1	Admin	FFF	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	DRAKE, JAMES F. LARSON, BRIAN T. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2006 To 09/30/2007

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Date

Month	Category	Code	Count	Total
02/2007	DIAB - Diablo Canyon	RO - 12	0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 22
		SROI - 6	0	
		SROU - 4	0	
03/2007	DIAB - Diablo Canyon	RO - 12	0	Total for 02/2007: 22
		SROI - 6	0	
		SROU - 4	0	
04/2007	DIAB - Diablo Canyon	RO - 6	0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 11
		SROI - 3	0	
		SROU - 2	0	

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2006 To 09/30/2007

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Site

Site	RO - 18	SROI - 9	SROU - 6	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 33
DIAB - Diablo Canyon					

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2006 To 09/30/2007

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Region

Region 4
RO - 18

SROI - 9

SROU - 6

LSRO - 0

Total for Region 4: 33

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 01/02/07 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 01/01/07. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Jack Blackwell	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	01/01/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/17/07
2. Kent Fortner	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/21/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/17/07
3. James Bigsley	Sim Eng.	<i>[Signature]</i>	01/21/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-16-07
4. Dale Lessard	Sim Eng.	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/25/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	4-16-07
5. David Burns	OPTR Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/1/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/15/07
6. Joseph M. Haynes	TRNG Mgr/Writer	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/19/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/7/07
7. Wesley Fiant	OPS SPM	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/19/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/20/07
8. Lawrence Thomas	SCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/19/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-27
9. Sarah Raggie	CO	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/19/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-27
10. Erik Werner	OPS SPM	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/19/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-27
11. J.M. Jacobson	SCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/19/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/7/07
12. Joe Lorenz	CD	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/13/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-7-07
13. John McIntyre	SM	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-13-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-57
14. Douglas J. Dye	SM	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-13-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/17
15. Dan DeGroot	SPM	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-14-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-57

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 04/02/07 of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Steve Wilson	OPS Initial Trng Ldr	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/2/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	4-15-7	
2. Gary Hutchinson	Sim Specialist	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/3/7	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/16/7	
3. ROBERT CLARK	OPS INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/3/7	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/14/7	
4. Gary Hutchinson	OPS	<i>[Signature]</i>				
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: