

Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038-0236

Nuclear Business Unit

MAR 2 9 2000

LR-N000115 Bases Change H00-04

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CHANGE HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-57 DOCKET NO. 50-354

Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G) is providing revised Technical Specification (TS) Bases pages for Specification 3/4.1.1. The revised pages were reviewed in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59 by the Station Operations Review Committee and approved by the Vice President – Operations

TS 3/4.1.1 pertains to shutdown margin. The TS Bases have been revised to clarify to methods used to satisfy shutdown margin requirements during Operational Condition 5, Refueling.

Attachment 1 contains the revised pages for the Hope Creek Technical Specification Bases. Please incorporate these changes into the Technical Specification Bases.

Should you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact James Priest at 856-339-5434.

Sincerely,

M. B. Bezilla // Vice President – Operations

Attachment

Document Control Desk LR-N000115

Mr. H. Miller, Administrator - Region I
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. R. Ennis Licensing Project Manager - Hope Creek U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North Mail Stop 8B1 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - HC (X24)

Mr. K. Tosch, Manager IV Bureau of Nuclear Engineering P. O. Box 415 Trenton, NJ 08625

Document Control Desk Attachment 1

LR-N000115 BASES CHANGE H00-04

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-57 DOCKET NO. 50-354 REVISIONS TO THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS BASES

Page B 3/4 1-1 B 3/4 1-1a B 3/4 1-1b

3/4.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

BASES

3/4.1.1 SHUTDOWN MARGIN

SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) requirements are specified to ensure:

- a. The reactor can be made subcritical from all operating conditions, transients, and Design Bases Events;
- b. The reactivity transients associated with postulated accident conditions are controllable within acceptable limits; and
- c. The reactor will be maintained sufficiently subcritical to preclude inadvertent criticality in the shutdown condition.

SDM can be demonstrated by using solely analytical methods or by performing a test. SDM can be measured only by performing a test. A "test" involves collecting data with the reactor at a specified condition or series of conditions. The primary purpose of a SDM Demonstration is to ensure that SDM is equal to or greater than the SDM Limit for a specific core exposure. The primary purpose of a SDM Measurement is to provide SDM in % delta k/k that can be used for: 1) ensuring that SDM is equal to or greater than the SDM Limit for a range of core exposures, 2) determining the need for additional SDM Measurements during the cycle, 3) providing a benchmark for the core design (design vs. actual SDM), and 4) providing a benchmark for potential future analysis of SDM for such events as control rods incapable of full insertion. This higher level of application requires that a SDM Measurement is determined from testing and not through solely analytical methods. Since a SDM Measurement a special type of SDM Demonstration.

All SDM Demonstrations involve some usage of analytical methods. The performance of tests lessens the usage of analytical methods, reduces uncertainty in the results, and thus requires a smaller SDM Limit needed to show adequate SDM. At one end of the spectrum is a series of local criticals where both SDM and the highest worth control rod are determined by test. Although this technique has the minimum uncertainty and thus has the smallest SDM Limit, it still uses analytical methods to determine the worth of all the other control rods. At the other end of the spectrum is usage of solely analytical methods prior to core verification. This technique has the maximum uncertainty and thus has the largest SDM Limit.

The SDM Limit must be increased if the highest worth control rod is determined solely analytically versus a test using the reactor (requires a series of local criticals). This higher limit accounts for uncertainties in the calculation of the highest worth control rod.

SDM is demonstrated to satisfy a variety of OPCON 5 surveillances at the beginning of each cycle and, if necessary, at any future entry to OPCON 5 during the cycle if the assumptions of the previous SDM Demonstration are no longer valid. In most situations, the SDM Demonstration will be based solely on analytical methods and a test will not be performed. If SDM is demonstrated by using solely analytical methods, then SDM must be adjusted to account for

3/4.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

BASES

the associated uncertainties (excluding the uncertainties in the calculation of the highest worth control rod which are already accounted for in the higher SDM Limit). Prior to core verification, the SDM Limit must be increased due to the possibility of misloaded fuel assemblies.

SDM is measured before or during the first startup following core alterations (ex. fuel movement, control rod replacement), and, if necessary, at any future time in the cycle if a SDM Measurement indicates that the SDM Limit could be reached at a higher core exposure. SDM may be measured during an in-sequence control rod withdrawal or during local criticals. In either case, the measured SDM must be verified to be adequate prior to continuing plant operation.

SDM must be adjusted to cold, xenon-free conditions since these conditions provide a single reference point and are normally the most limiting conditions. The SDM Limit chosen for this reference point ensures that adequate SDM is maintained for all OPCONS.

With control rods incapable of being fully inserted, the reactivity impacts of these control rods must be accounted for in the determination of SDM. In addition to the loss of the worth of these control rods on a scram, the altered power distribution changes the worths of the remaining control rods. By definition, SDM normally does not address known rods that are incapable of full insertion and thus an increased allowance must be added. This verification may be conducted analytically with the necessary adjustments to account for the control rods plus any associated uncertainties.

Since core reactivity will vary during the cycle as a function of fuel depletion and poison burnup, SDM Measurements must also account for changes in core reactivity during the remainder of the cycle. If there is a core exposure during the remainder of the cycle at which the core reactivity is greater than the core reactivity as which SDM was measured, then the measured value must be reduced to predict SDM at this future core exposure. Therefore, to obtain the final SDM, the measured value must be reduced by the difference between the calculated maximum core reactivity over the remainder of the cycle and the calculated core reactivity at the core exposure at which SDM was measured. If the measured value satisfies the SDM limit but the final value does not satisfy the limit, then it will be necessary to schedule another SDM Measurement prior to reaching the core exposure at which the predicted SDM is equal to the SDM Limit.

When the core is designed, the target SDM is significantly above the SDM Limit. This conservancy accounts for uncertainties in the calculations and allows for the loading, startup, and operation of the reactor.

During OPCON 5, SDM ensures that the reactor does not reach criticality during core alterations (ex. fuel movement, control rod replacements). An evaluation covering each in-vessel fuel or control rod movement is required to demonstrate that SDM is maintained during these activities. This evaluation can be a stepby-step analysis, a bounding analysis, or a combination of these two methods. A step-by-step analysis checks SDM after each movement of a fuel assembly or control rod. A bounding analysis checks the most reactive configurations in a sequence to show acceptability of the entire sequence. All analyses must

3/4.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

BASES

account for the associated uncertainties in the analytical methods. Prior to core verification, the SDM Limit must be increased due to the possibility of misloaded fuel assemblies. For fuel movement, spiral offload/reload sequences are inherently acceptable, provided the fuel assemblies are reloaded in the design configuration analyzed for the new cycle. The one-rod-out interlock is used to withdraw control rods one-at-a-time for post-maintenance testing, exercising, or other purposes. By demonstrating SDM, the shorting links do not have to be removed during these individual control rod withdrawals.

3/4.1.2 REACTIVITY ANOMALIES

Since the SHUTDOWN MARGIN requirement for the reactor is small, a careful check on actual conditions to the predicted conditions is necessary, and the changes in reactivity can be inferred from these comparisons of rod patterns. Since the comparisons are easily done, frequent checks are not an imposition on normal operations. A 1% delta k/k change is larger than is expected for normal operation so a change of this magnitude should be thoroughly evaluated. A change as large as 1% delta k/k would not exceed the design conditions of the reactor and is on the safe side of the postulated transients.