

March 31, 2000

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.
ATTN: Mr. J. B. Beasley
Vice President
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, AL 35201

SUBJECT: PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW - VOGTLE NUCLEAR PLANT

Dear Mr. Beasley:

The purpose of this letter is to communicate our assessment of your performance and to inform you of our planned inspections at your facility. On March 1, 2000, we completed a plant performance review (PPR) of the Vogtle Nuclear Plant. We conduct these reviews to develop an integrated overview of the safety performance of each operating nuclear power plant. We use the results of the PPR in planning and allocating inspection resources and as inputs to our senior management meeting (SMM) process. This PPR evaluated inspection results and safety performance information for the period from February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000, but emphasized the last six months to ensure that our assessment reflected your current performance. Our most recent summary of plant performance at Vogtle was provided to you in a letter dated March 19, 1999, and was discussed with you in a public meeting on May 28, 1999.

The NRC has been developing a revised reactor oversight process that will replace our existing inspection and assessment processes, including the PPR, the SMM, and the Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP). We recently completed a pilot program for the revised reactor oversight process at nine participating sites and are making necessary adjustments based on feedback and lessons learned. We plan to begin initial implementation of the revised reactor oversight process industry-wide, including your facility, on April 2, 2000.

This PPR reflects continued process improvements as we make the transition into the revised reactor oversight process. You should notice that our assessment of plant performance is organized differently from our previous performance summaries. Instead of characterizing our assessment results by SALP functional area, we are organizing the results into the strategic performance areas embodied in the revised reactor oversight process. In addition, we have considered the historical performance indicator data that you submitted in January 2000 in conjunction with the inspection results in assessing your performance. The results of this PPR were used to establish the inspection plan in accordance with the new risk-informed inspection program (consisting of baseline and supplemental inspections). Although this letter incorporates some terms and concepts associated with the new oversight process, it does not reflect the much broader changes in inspection and assessment that will be evident after we have fully implemented our revised reactor oversight process.

SNC

During the last six months Unit 1 operated at or near full power. Unit 2 operated at full power until entering a planned refueling outage in October 1999. Following restart in November, Unit 2 operated at full power until being placed in hot standby to repair a leaking feedwater heater. During reactor startup, Unit 2 was manually tripped due to an electrical component failure in the rod control system. Following repair of the rod control system, Unit 2 operated at full power through the end of the assessment period.

We did not identify any significant performance issues during this assessment period and note that Vogtle continues to operate in a safe manner. Therefore, we plan to conduct only baseline inspections at your facility as noted in the attached inspection plan.

Enclosure 1 contains a historical listing of plant issues, referred to as the Plant Issues Matrix (PIM), that were used during this PPR process to arrive at our integrated view of your performance trends. The PIM for this assessment is grouped by the prior SALP functional areas of operations, maintenance, engineering and plant support. Future PIMs will be organized along the cornerstones of safety as described in the revised reactor oversight process. The attached PIM includes items summarized from inspection reports or other docketed correspondence regarding Vogtle. We did not document all aspects of licensee programs and performance that may be functioning appropriately. Rather, we only documented issues that we believe warrant management attention or represent noteworthy aspects of performance. In addition, the PPR may also have considered some predecisional and draft material that does not appear in the attached PIM, including observations from events and inspections that had occurred since our last inspection report was issued, but had not yet received full review and consideration. We will make this material publically available as part of the normal issuance of our inspection reports and other correspondence.

Enclosure 2 lists our planned inspections for the period April 2000 through March 2001 at Vogtle to allow you to resolve scheduling conflicts and personnel availability in advance of our inspectors' arrival onsite. The inspection schedule for the latter half of the period is more tentative and may be adjusted in the future due to emerging performance issues at Vogtle or other nuclear facilities. Routine resident inspections are not listed due to their ongoing and continuous nature.

We will inform you of any changes to the inspection plan. If you have any questions, please contact me at (404) 562-4520.

Sincerely,

(Original signed by)
Stephen J. Cahill, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 2
Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos. 50-424, 50-425
License Nos. NPF-68, NPF-81

Enclosures: (See page 3)

SNC

Enclosures: 1. Plant Issues Matrix
2. Inspection Plan

cc w/encls:

J. D. Woodard
Executive Vice President
Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.
Electronic Mail Distribution

J. T. Gasser
General Manager, Plant Vogtle
Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.
Electronic Mail Distribution

J. A. Bailey
Manager-Licensing
Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.
Electronic Mail Distribution

Director, Consumers' Utility Counsel Division
Governor's Office of Consumer Affairs
2 M. L. King, Jr. Drive
Plaza Level East; Suite 356
Atlanta, GA 30334-4600

Office of Planning and Budget
Room 615B
270 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334

Office of the County Commissioner
Burke County Commission
Waynesboro, GA 30830

Director, Department of Natural Resources
205 Butler Street, SE, Suite 1252
Atlanta, GA 30334

Manager, Radioactive Materials Program
Department of Natural Resources
Electronic Mail Distribution

cc w/encls cont'd: (See page 4)

SNC

cc w/encls cont'd:
Attorney General
Law Department
132 Judicial Building
Atlanta, GA 30334

Program Manager
Fossil & Nuclear Operations
Oglethorpe Power Corporation
Electronic Mail Distribution

Charles A. Patrizia, Esq.
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker
10th Floor
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20004-9500

Arthur H. Domby, Esq.
Troutman Sanders
NationsBank Plaza
600 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5200
Atlanta, GA 30308-2216

Senior Engineer - Power Supply
Municipal Electric Authority
of Georgia
Electronic Mail Distribution

Distribution w/encls:
D. Jaffe, NRR
PUBLIC

OFFICE	RII:DRP	RII:DRS:MB	RII:DRS:EB	RII:DRS:OLHPB	RII:DRS:PSB		
SIGNATURE							
NAME	BHolbrook	GBelisle	EGirard	GHopper	KBarr		
DATE	5/ /2000	5/ /2000	5/ /2000	5/ /2000	5/ /2000	5/ /2000	5/ /2000
E-MAIL COPY?	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\ml003699706.wpd