



**UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION II  
SAM NUNN ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER  
61 FORSYTH STREET SW SUITE 23T85  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8931**

March 31, 2000

Virginia Electric and Power Company  
ATTN: Mr. J. P. O'Hanlon  
Senior Vice President - Nuclear  
Innsbrook Technical Center  
5000 Dominion Boulevard  
Glen Allen, VA 23060

**SUBJECT: PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW - SURRY POWER STATION**

Dear Mr. O'Hanlon

The purpose of this letter is to communicate our assessment of your performance and to inform you of our planned inspections at your facility. On February 28, 2000, we completed a plant performance review (PPR) of the Surry Power Station. We conduct these reviews to develop an integrated overview of the safety performance of each operating nuclear power plant. We use the results of the PPR in planning and allocating inspection resources and as inputs to our senior management meeting (SMM) process. This PPR evaluated inspection results and safety performance information for the period from February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000, but emphasized the last six months to ensure that our assessment reflected your current performance. Our most recent summary of plant performance at Surry was provided to you in a letter dated March 23, 1999.

The NRC has been developing a revised reactor oversight process that will replace our existing inspection and assessment processes, including the PPR, the SMM, and the systematic assessment of licensee performance (SALP). We recently completed a pilot program for the revised reactor oversight process at nine participating sites and are making necessary adjustments based on feedback and lessons learned. We plan to begin initial implementation of the revised reactor oversight process industry-wide, including your facility, on April 2, 2000.

This PPR reflects continued process improvements as we make the transition into the revised reactor oversight process. Instead of characterizing our assessment results by SALP functional area, we are organizing the results into the strategic performance areas embodied in the revised reactor oversight process. In addition, we have considered the historical performance indicator data that you submitted in January 2000 in conjunction with the inspection results in assessing your performance. The results of this PPR were used to establish the inspection plan in accordance with the new risk-informed inspection program (consisting of baseline and supplemental inspections). Although this letter incorporates some terms and concepts

associated with the new oversight process, it does not reflect the much broader changes in inspection and assessment that will be evident after we have fully implemented our revised reactor oversight process.

During the last six months both Surry Units operated at or near 100 percent power with the exception of a dual unit ramp down due to Hurricane Floyd when on September 15, Unit 1 was ramped down to 32 percent power, while Unit 2 was ramped down to 23 percent power. Several planned power reductions were also accomplished to support corrective and preventive maintenance, as well as, testing on secondary plant equipment.

We have not identified any significant performance issues during this assessment period and note that Surry continues to operate in a safe manner. Therefore, we plan to conduct baseline inspections at your facility as noted in the attached inspection plan. Also we plan to conduct inspections to review selected activities associated with your Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.

Enclosure 1 contains a historical listing of plant issues, referred to as the plant issues matrix (PIM), that were used during this PPR process to arrive at our integrated view of your performance trends. The PIM for this assessment is grouped by the prior SALP functional areas of operations, maintenance, engineering and plant support. Future PIMs will be organized along the cornerstones of safety as described in the revised reactor oversight process. The attached PIM includes items summarized from inspection reports or other docketed correspondence regarding Surry. We did not document all aspects of licensee programs and performance that may be functioning appropriately. Rather, we only documented issues that we believe warrant management attention or represent noteworthy aspects of performance. In addition, the PPR may also have considered some predecisional and draft material that does not appear in the attached PIM, including observations from events and inspections that had occurred since our last inspection report was issued, but had not yet received full review and consideration. We will make this material publically available as part of the normal issuance of our inspection reports and other correspondence.

Enclosure 2 lists our planned inspections for the period April 2000 through March 2001 at Surry to allow you to resolve scheduling conflicts and personnel availability in advance of our inspectors' arrival onsite. The inspection schedule for the latter half of the period is more tentative and may be adjusted in the future due to emerging performance issues at Surry or other nuclear facilities. We also included some NRC non-inspection activities in Enclosure 2 for your information. Routine resident inspections are not listed due to their ongoing and continuous nature.

VEPCO

3

We will inform you of any changes to the inspection plan. If you have any questions, please contact me at (404) 562-4550.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Robert C. Haag, Chief  
Reactor Projects Branch 5  
Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos. 50-280, 50-281  
License Nos. DPR-32, DPR-37

Enclosures: 1. Plant Issues Matrix  
2. Inspection Plan

cc w/enclosures:

J. H. McCarthy, Manager  
Nuclear Licensing and  
Operations Support  
Virginia Electric & Power Company  
Electronic Mail Distribution

State Health Commissioner  
Office of the Commissioner  
Virginia Department of Health  
P. O. Box 2448  
Richmond, VA 23218

E. S. Grecheck  
Site Vice President  
Surry Power Station  
Virginia Electric & Power Company  
Electronic Mail Distribution

Virginia State Corporation Commission  
Division of Energy Regulation  
P. O. Box 1197  
Richmond, VA 23209

W. R. Matthews  
Site Vice President  
North Anna Power Station  
Virginia Electric & Power Company  
Electronic Mail Distribution

Donald P. Irwin, Esq.  
Hunton and Williams  
Electronic Mail Distribution

Surry County Board of Supervisors  
P. O. Box 130  
Dendron, VA 23839

Attorney General  
Supreme Court Building  
900 East Main Street  
Richmond, VA 23219

VEPCO

4

Distribution w/enclosures:

G. Edison, NRR  
S. Collins, NRR  
J. Zwolinski, NRR  
W. Dean, NRR  
T. Boyce, NRR  
H. Berkow, NRR  
PUBLIC

OFFICE							
SIGNATURE							
NAME	LGarner3/31	GBelisle3/31	EGirard3/31	GHopper3/31	KBarr3/31		
DATE	3/ /2000	3/ /2000	3/ /2000	3/ /2000	3/ /2000	3/ /2000	3/ /2000
E-MAIL COPY?	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\MYFILES\Copies\SURRY PPR 2000 LETTER.wpd