March 31, 2000

Mr. G. Rainey, President PECO Nuclear Nuclear Group Headquarters Correspondence Control Desk P.O. Box 195 Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-0195

SUBJECT: PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW - PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION

The purpose of this letter is to communicate our assessment of your performance and to inform you of our planned inspections at your facility. On February 25, 2000, we completed a Plant Performance Review (PPR) of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station. We conduct these reviews to develop an integrated overview of the safety performance of each operating nuclear power plant. We use the results of the PPR in planning and allocating inspection resources and as inputs to our senior management meeting (SMM) process. This PPR evaluated inspection results and safety performance information for the period from January 16, 1999, to January 31, 2000, but emphasized the last six months to ensure that our assessment reflected your current performance. Our most recent summary of plant performance at Peach Bottom was provided to you in a letter dated April 9, 1999, and was discussed with you in a public meeting on August 12, 1999.

The NRC has been developing a revised reactor oversight process that will replace our existing inspection and assessment processes including the PPR, the SMM, and the Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP). We recently completed a pilot program for the revised reactor oversight process at nine participating sites and are making necessary adjustments based on feedback and lessons learned. We plan to begin initial implementation of the revised reactor oversight process industry-wide on April 2, 2000.

This PPR reflects continued NRC process improvements as we make the transition into the revised reactor oversight process. You will notice that the following summary of plant performance is organized differently from our previous performance summaries. Instead of characterizing our assessment results by SALP functional area, we are organizing the results into the strategic performance areas embodied in the revised reactor oversight process. In addition, we have considered the historical performance indicator data that you submitted in January 2000 in conjunction with the inspection results in assessing your performance. The results of this PPR were used to establish the inspection plan in accordance with the new risk-informed inspection program (consisting of baseline and supplemental inspections). Although this letter incorporates some terms and concepts associated with the new oversight process, it does not reflect the much broader changes in inspection and assessment that will be evident after we have fully implemented our revised reactor oversight process.

G. Rainey

During the last six months of the assessment period both Peach Bottom units operated at or near full power except for an automatic shutdown of Unit 2 and the Unit 3 refueling outage. We identified no significant performance issues in any of the strategic performance areas (reactor safety, radiation safety, or safeguards) during this assessment period and observed that Peach Bottom continued to operate in a safe manner. Therefore, we currently plan to conduct baseline inspections at your facility as noted in the attached inspection plan. In addition, we plan to review two areas that are separate from our baseline inspection program. We plan to conduct an Operational Safeguards Response Evaluation (OSRE) based upon the amount of time since the last OSRE. We will continue with these evaluations until the NRC staff approves the industry proposed Self Assessment Program as an acceptable substitute. We also plan to conduct inspections to review activities associated with the initial operation of your independent spent fuel storage facility.

The station staff operated both units safely and responded appropriately to operational challenges. We noted that, although your operational challenges were minor and infrequent, issues related to procedure adequacy, equipment configuration control, and early recognition of technical problems by engineering personnel were some common contributors to these challenges. We will monitor your progress in response to these issues during our baseline inspections.

Enclosure 1 contains a historical listing of plant issues, referred to as the Plant Issues Matrix (PIM), that were used during this PPR process to arrive at our integrated view of your performance trends. The PIM for this assessment is grouped by the prior SALP functional areas of operations, maintenance, engineering and plant support, although the future PIM will be organized along the cornerstones of safety as described in the revised reactor oversight process. The attached PIM includes items summarized from inspection reports or other docketed correspondence between the NRC and PECO Nuclear regarding Peach Bottom. We did not document all aspects of licensee programs and performance that may be functioning appropriately. Rather, we only documented issues that we believe warrant management attention or represent noteworthy aspects of performance. In addition, the PPR may also have considered some predecisional and draft material that does not appear in the attached PIM, including observations from events and inspections that had occurred since our last inspection report was issued, but had not yet received full review and consideration. We will make this material publically available as part of the normal issuance of our inspection reports and other correspondence.

Enclosure 2 lists our planned inspections for the period April 2000 through March 2001 at Peach Bottom to allow you to resolve scheduling conflicts and personnel availability in advance of our inspector arrival onsite. Since many of the inspections at Peach Bottom and at other Region I facilities during this period involve a team of inspectors, our ability to reschedule inspections is limited. Therefore we request that you inform us as soon as possible of any scheduling conflicts. The inspection schedule for the latter half of the period is more tentative and may be adjusted in the future due to emerging performance issues at Peach Bottom or other Region I facilities. We also included some NRC non-inspection activities in Enclosure 2 for your information. Routine resident inspections are not listed due to their ongoing and continuous nature.

We will inform you of any changes to the inspection plan. If you have any questions, please contact me at 610-337-5233.

G. Rainey

Sincerely,

/RA/

Curtis J. Cowgill III, Chief Reactor Projects Branch 4 Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos. 05000277, 05000278 License Nos. DPR-44, DPR-56

Enclosures: 1. Plant Issues Matrix

2. Inspection Plan

cc w/encls:

J. Hagan, Senior Vice President, Nuclear Operations

J. Doering, Vice President, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station

M. Warner, Plant Manager, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station

J. A. Hutton, Director, Licensing, PECO Nuclear

G. D. Edwards, Chairman, Nuclear Review Board

R. Boyce, Director, Nuclear Quality Assurance

A. F. Kirby, III, External Operations - Delmarva Power & Light Co.

A. A. Winter, Manager, Experience Assessment

J. W. Durham, Sr., Senior Vice President and General Counsel

H. C. Kresge, Manager, External Operations, Connectiv

N. J. Sproul, Manager, Financial Control & Co-Owner Affairs, Connectiv

R. McLean, Power Plant Siting, Nuclear Evaluations

D. Levin, Acting Secretary of Harford County Council

R. Ochs, Maryland Safe Energy Coalition

J. H. Walter, Chief Engineer, Public Service Commission of Maryland

Mr. & Mrs. Dennis Hiebert, Peach Bottom Alliance

Mr. & Mrs. Kip Adams

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

State of Maryland

TMI - Alert (TMIA)

G. Rainey

Distribution w/encls: Region I Docket Room (with concurrences) Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC) NRC Resident Inspector PUBLIC H. Miller, RA/J. Wiggins, DRA (1) C. Cowgill, DRP D. Florek, DRP D. Cullison, DRP B. Platchek, DRP R. Blough, DRP W. Lanning, DRS R. Crlenjak, DRP DRS Branch Chiefs R. Nimitz, DRS G. Smith, DRS N. McNamara, DRS Distribution w/encls: (Via E-Mail) J. Shea, OEDO G. Tracy, OEDO W. Dean, NRR E. Adensam, NRR J. Clifford, NRR M. Thadani, NRR B. Buckley, NRR W. Scott, NRR C. See, NRR Inspection Program Branch, NRR (IPAS)

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\PB-PPR Letter.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box:	" C " = Copy without attachment/enclosure	"E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure	" N " = No copy

OFFICE	RI:DRP	RI:DRP	RI:DRP			
NAME	DFlorek	CCowgill	RCrlenjak			
DATE	03/30/00	03/30/00	03/30/00			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY