

February 23, 2000

Mr. Robert P. Powers, Vice President  
Indiana Michigan Power Company  
Nuclear Generation Group  
500 Circle Drive  
Buchanan, MI 49107

SUBJECT: THE DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING (TAC NOS. MA8183 AND MA8184)

Dear Mr. Powers:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing," related to your request for license amendments dated February 18, 2000. The proposed license amendments would approve an unreviewed safety question discovered by the licensee during a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation of modifications to the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pump rooms to protect the equipment in the rooms from the environmental effects of a postulated high-energy line break. This will be accomplished by sealing the AFW pump rooms to ensure that the rooms do not communicate with the turbine buildings or each other.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

John F. Stang, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate III  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

February 23, 2000

Mr. Robert P. Powers, Vice President  
Indiana Michigan Power Company  
Nuclear Generation Group  
500 Circle Drive  
Buchanan, MI 49107

SUBJECT: THE DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING (TAC NOS. MA8183 AND MA8184)

Dear Mr. Powers:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing," related to your request for license amendments dated February 18, 2000. The proposed license amendments would approve an unreviewed safety question discovered by the licensee during a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation of modifications to the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pump rooms to protect the equipment in the rooms from the environmental effects of a postulated high-energy line break. This will be accomplished by sealing the AFW pump rooms to ensure that the rooms do not communicate with the turbine buildings or each other.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

John F. Stang, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate III  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

File Center  
PUBLIC  
PDIII-1 Reading  
OGC  
ACRS  
AVegel, RIII

TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT, INDICATE "C" IN THE BOX

|        |            |   |            |   |            |  |
|--------|------------|---|------------|---|------------|--|
| OFFICE | PDIII-1/PM | C | PDIII-1/LA | C | PDIII-1/SC |  |
| NAME   | JStang     |   | THarris    |   | CCraig     |  |
| DATE   | 2/18/00    |   | 2/18/00    |   | 2/18/00    |  |

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\INDma8183.WPD

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region III  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Attorney General  
Department of Attorney General  
525 West Ottawa Street  
Lansing, MI 48913

Township Supervisor  
Lake Township Hall  
P.O. Box 818  
Bridgman, MI 49106

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Resident Inspector's Office  
7700 Red Arrow Highway  
Stevensville, MI 49127

David W. Jenkins, Esquire  
Indiana Michigan Power Company  
Nuclear Generation Group  
One Cook Place  
Bridgman, MI 49106

Mayor, City of Bridgman  
P.O. Box 366  
Bridgman, MI 49106

Special Assistant to the Governor  
Room 1 - State Capitol  
Lansing, MI 48909

Drinking Water and Radiological  
Protection Division  
Michigan Department of  
Environmental Quality  
3423 N. Martin Luther King Jr Blvd  
P.O. Box 30630, CPH Mailroom  
Lansing, MI 48909-8130

Robert C. Godley  
Director, Regulatory Affairs  
Indiana Michigan Power Company  
Nuclear Generation Group  
One Cook Place  
Bridgman, MI 49106

David A. Lochbaum  
Union of Concerned Scientists  
1616 P Street NW, Suite 310  
Washington, DC 20036-1495

A. Christopher Bakken, Site Vice President  
Indiana Michigan Power Company  
Nuclear Generation Group  
One Cook Place  
Bridgman, MI 49106

Michael W. Rencheck  
Vice President, Nuclear Engineering  
Indiana Michigan Power Company  
Nuclear Generation Group  
500 Circle Drive  
Buchanan, MI 49107

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NOS. 50-315 AND 50-316

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74 issued to Indiana Michigan Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Berrien County, Michigan.

The proposed amendments would approve an unreviewed safety question discovered by the licensee during a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation of modifications to the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pump rooms to protect the equipment in the rooms from the environmental effects of a postulated high-energy line break (HELB). This will be accomplished by sealing the AFW pump rooms to ensure that the rooms do not communicate with the turbine buildings or each other.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any

accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the change involve a significant increase in the probability of occurrence or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Failures of the proposed MDAFP [motor driven auxiliary feedwater pump] and TDAFP [turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump] room cooling systems during either normal operations or emergency operations cannot initiate any of the accidents previously evaluated in the UFSAR. The proposed MDAFP and TDAFP room cooling systems do not interface with the reactor coolant system, containment, or engineered safeguards features in such a way as to be a precursor or initiator for an accident previously evaluated. Therefore, the proposed modifications do not increase the probability of occurrence of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed MDAFP and TDAFP room cooling systems ensure protection of AFW equipment from the environmental effects of a HELB event. This ensures the AFW system is capable of performing the safety-related functions required to mitigate the effects of design basis accidents. The AFW system is required to mitigate design basis accidents that result in the loss of cooling for the reactor coolant system. These include loss of normal feedwater control, loss of all (non-emergency) alternating-current power (i.e., offsite power) to the plant auxiliaries, steam generator tube rupture, large break loss-of-coolant accidents, and small break loss-of-coolant accidents. In addition, the AFW system is required to safely shutdown the reactor following certain HELB events in the turbine buildings resulting from feedwater and main steam piping breaks and critical cracks. Since the AFW system is assured of performing its intended design function in mitigating the effects of design basis accidents by the proposed modifications, the consequences of accidents previously evaluated in the UFSAR will not be increased.

Therefore, the probability of occurrence or the consequences of accidents previously evaluated are not increased.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Failures of the proposed MDAFP and TDAFP room cooling systems during either normal operations or emergency operations cannot initiate an accident. The proposed MDAFP and TDAFP room cooling systems do not interface with the reactor coolant system, containment, or engineered safeguards features in such a way as to be a precursor or initiator for an accident.

The proposed modifications to the AFW pump rooms have been designed to ensure that the train failure scenarios and design basis accident mitigation functions for AFW are preserved as described in the CNP [Cook Nuclear Plant] UFSAR. The electrical power supplies and AFW pump room cooler water sources maintain the design basis train alignments. Thus, when postulated design basis accident scenarios and single failures are applied to the proposed AFW pump room modification configurations, the AFW system remains bounded by the accident analysis presented in the UFSAR. The modifications do not impact how the AFW system will actuate and perform in response to those design basis accident scenarios that require AFW to mitigate the events.

Therefore, the change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

The proposed modifications to the MDAFP and TDAFP room ventilation systems do not create a reduction in the margin of safety for those systems, structures, and components required for safe shutdown or accident mitigation as previously analyzed in the UFSAR. The proposed modifications provide a different method for cooling the AFW pump rooms while ensuring environmental protection to each MDAFP and each TDAFP from the effects of postulated HELB events.

As discussed above, the proposed modifications to the AFW pump rooms have been designed to ensure that the train failure scenarios and design basis accident mitigation functions for AFW are preserved as described in the CNP UFSAR. Since the intended safety function of the AFW pump room cooling systems remains the same, margin of safety is preserved. The proposed modifications ensure the availability and reliability of the AFW pumps is maintained commensurate with the assumptions made in the UFSAR accident analyses.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92 are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such

that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 27, 2000, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714, which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the

Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the



applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to David. W.

Jenkins, Esq., American Electric Power, Nuclear Generation Group, One Cook Place, Bridgman, MI 49106, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(I)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 18, 2000, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of February 2000.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*/RA/*

John F. Stang, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate III  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation