NRC F	ORM 464 Part I WUDOCS U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA	RESPONSE NUMBER				
6-1998)	NUCLEAR REGULATOR DESCRIPTION OF	2000-0069	2				
ACD STATE	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST	RESPONSE TYPE	PARTIAL				
REQUE	STER Wayne A. Hall	FEB 0 8 2000					
	PART I INFORMATION RELEASEI)					
	No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.						
	Requested records are available through another public distribution program.	Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.					
	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.						
V	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Ro	listed appendices are being om.	made available for				
	Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.						
V	Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.		· .				
	Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.						
	We are continuing to process your request.						
V	See Comments.						
	PART I.A FEES						
AMOU \$	Toda will be blined by twice for the difficult instead.	None. Minimum fee thresho	ld not met.				
* See	You will receive a refund for the amount listed.	Fees waived.					
-	PART I.B INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD	FROM DISCLOSURE					
	No agency records subject to the request have been located.		4 4 4				
	Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure the reasons stated in Part II.	oursuant to the exemptions d	escribed in and for				
	This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."						
	PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continu	ation page if required)					
This confirms your conference call on December 14, 1999 with Mr. Vito of NRC's Region I office and Ms. Mary Jean Pool of my office, in which you narrowed the scope of your request to a listing of allegations from 1993 to the present on Indian Point 2 and 3.							
•							
IGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER							
Carol And Reed Lina S. Sugh							

APPENDIX & RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY

NO. DATE DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)

1. 1/24/00 Allegation Summary Sheet (6 pages)

ALLEGATION SUMMARY SHEET

Action Text

24-Jan-00

NRR-1996-A-0026

3 <u>Date Received</u> 2/9/1996

Date Closed 6/12/1996

Substantiated N

INDIAN POINT 3 AND GENERIC - MOV OPERABILITY.

See file for closure letter dated 6/12/96.



INDEX OF CONCERNS

NRR-1996-A-0037

	NRR-1990-A-0037					
NRR-1996-A-00	037		Monday, January 24, 2000			
CONCERN:	1 Operations THERMAL POWER LIMIT EXCEEDED.	Licensee Identified	Power Reactor			
SUBSTANTIATE	See memo dated 10/29/96					

Action Text

ALLEGATION SUMMARY SHEET

24-Jan-00

NRR-1997-A-0091

2 Date Received 8/28/1997

Date Closed 3/6/1998

Substantiated N/A

QC INSPECTOR SIGNED OFF THAT A DYE PENETRANT TEST HAD BEEN PERFORMED ON WELDS FOR A NEW NON-ASME VERTICAL PUMP TURBINE (SAFETY RELATED) SUPPLIED TO CONSOLIDATED EDISON BUT THE TEST HAD NOT BEEN PERFORMED. (Actions apply to all concerns)

Based on a review of your concerns, the NRC will not be expending further inspection efforts on these concerns. This not a finding that your concerns do not have merit, rather it is a recognition that the NRC must focus its limited resources on cases of higher priority. The staff reviewed the impact on safety of these concerns, including a review of information you provided to Ms. Jean Lee and Mr. Robert L. Pettis, Jr. during a telephone conversation on October 17, 1997, and concluded that althouth the quality records associated with these concerns contained procedural discrepancies, no safety issue appears to exist at this time since the required testing and inspection was performed prior to shipment of the equipment.

Thank you for informing us of your concerns. We feel that our actions in this matter have been responsive to your concerns. We take our safety responsibilities to the public very seriously and will continue to do so within the bounds of our lawful authority. Accordingly, absent any additional substantial information from you that would support your concern, the NRC staff plans no further follow up on the concerns you have provided to the NRC.

INDEX OF CONCERNS

NRR-1998-A-0001

NRR-1998-A-000	1		Monday, January 24, 2000	
CONCERN:	ASBESTOS) PLANT AND OV WELDS. THEY REPLACED AND NOW HAVE NUKON, TO	Contractor Employee N CONTAINMENT - THEY STARTED OUT A VER THE YEARS HAVE REMOVED THE CA THE CANL-SIL WITH WHATEVER THE OUT THE CANL-SIL WITH WHATEVER THE OUT THE CANL-SIL WITH WHAT TYPE OF IN THE CANL-SIL WITH WHAT TYPE OF IN THE CONTRACT OF WHAT TYPE OF THE	AL-SIL AS NEEDED FOR ISI OF JTAGE INSULATOR DETERMINED Y TRANSCO, AND EVEN SOME	
SUBSTANTIATED	N/A	to NRR receipt of allegation, including con	tact with alleger. Therefore, no NRR	
CONCERN:	Maintenance THE COATINGS ON STRUCT DELAMINATION READILY VI	Contractor Employee TURES AND CONTAINMENT ARE PEELIN SIBLE.	Power Reactor IG, BLISTERING AND	
SUBSTANTIATED	N/A			

Review (and contact with alleger) conducted by Region I. No NRR action warranted.

INDEX OF CONCERNS

NRR-1998-A-0035

NRR-1998-A-0035

Monday, January 24, 2000

CONCERN:

1 Emergency Preparedness

Private Citizen

Power Reactor

WESTCHESTER COUNTY CANNOT PROVIDE VOLUNTEER EMERGENCY RESPONSE WORKERS WITH NEEDED RADIATION MONITORING DEVICES BECAUSE THE AVAILABLE RADIATION MONITORING EQUIPMENT IS OLD (1950'S VINTAGE) AND CANNOT MEASURE LOWER RANGE EXPOSURES. (Actions apply to both concerns)

SUBSTANTIATED

N

FEMA Region II evaluated these concerns and in a letter dated July 8, 1998, attached, to Hubert Miller, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, reported their findings. FEMA determined that the dosimetry being used exceeded federal government requirements and that during exercises no deficiencies were found in the ability to protect emergency workers health and safety. The dosimeters are of varying types and sensitivity and are designed to monitor radiation exposure from a nuclear power plant. This includes the high range CDV-742 dosimeter and two types of low range dosimeters (611s). FEMA also determined that there was a large number of trained staff available from various Westchester County agencies and departments (including the State Guard, Naval Militia, and civil defense volunteers) to perform monitoring of the public if the need arises.

CONCERN:

2 Emergency Preparedness

Private Citizen

Power Reactor

WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT CAN NO LONGER PROVIDE ENOUGH PERSONNEL TO STAFF RADIATION (MONITORING) CENTERS IN THE EVENT OF AN EMERGENCY

SUBSTANTIATED

N

FEMA Region II evaluated these concerns and in a letter dated July 8, 1998, attached, to Hubert Miller, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, reported their findings.... FEMA also determined that there was a large number of trained staff available from various Westchester County agencies and departments (including the State Guard, Naval Militia, and civil defense volunteers) to perform monitoring of the public if the need arises.

ALLEGATION SUMMARY SHEET

Action Text

27-Jan-00

NRR-1998-A-0078

1 <u>Date Received</u> 9/1/1998

Date Closed 9/28/1998

Substantiated N/A

CHANGES MADE TO FSAR WITHOUT A 50.59 EVALUATION. TESTS WERE PERFORMED PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF SAFETY EVALUATION IN ORDER TO MEET PLANT SCHEDULE. (Actions apply to all concerns.)

Transferred to RI.

2 <u>Date Received</u> 9/1/1998

Date Closed 9/28/1998

Substantiated N/A

CONTRARY TO PLANT ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES, THE SENIOR WATCH SUPERVISOR STATED THAT HE IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING OPERABILITY DETERMINATIONS FOR PLANT EQUIPMENT.

TRANSFERRED TO REG. I

3 <u>Date Received</u> 9/1/1998

Date Closed 9/28/1998

Substantiated N/A

HISTORICAL ACCOUNTS OF SETPOINT CONTROL ARE OUTSIDE REASONABLE BOUNDS. INSTRUMENTATION SCALLING IS NON-EXISTENT AND WITHOUT THIS INFORMATION, MANY REACTOR PROTECTION SETPOINTS ARE IN QUESTION.

TRANSFERRED TO REG. I

4 Date Received 9/1/1998

Date Closed 9/28/1998

Substantiated N/A

NUMEROUS SIGNIFICANT ERRORS IN PLANT DESIGN DRAWINGS.

TRANSFERRED TO REG. 1