

March 14, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: James Clifford, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Victor Nerses, Senior Project Manager, Section 2 */RAI/*
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE, UNIT 3, DRAFT REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION, SPENT FUEL POOL RERACK (TAC NO. MA5137)

The draft request for additional information (RAI) in Enclosure 1 was transmitted by facsimile on February 1, 2000, to Mr. D. Dodson of Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (licensee). After the licensee review of this draft RAI, the staff had a conference call February 28, 2000 with the licensee to determine and agree upon a schedule for a formal licensee response to the RAI. As a result of the conference call, the staff found a need to revise the first two questions to clarify what information the staff was seeking.

The draft RAI in Enclosure 2 reflects the revisions to the questions and it was transmitted by facsimile on March 14, 2000 to Mr. D. Dodson. The licensee agreed to review the RAI and provide a response by April 14, 2000. This memorandum and the enclosures do not convey a formal request for information or represent an NRC staff position.

Docket No. 50-423

Enclosures: 1. Draft RAI
2. Revised draft RAI

March 14, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: James Clifford, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Victor Nerses, Senior Project Manager, Section 2 */RAI/*
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE, UNIT 3, DRAFT REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION, SPENT FUEL POOL RERACK (TAC NO. MA5137)

The draft request for additional information (RAI) in Enclosure 1 was transmitted by facsimile on February 1, 2000, to Mr. D. Dodson of Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (licensee). After the licensee review of this draft RAI, the staff had a conference call February 28, 2000 with the licensee to determine and agree upon a schedule for a formal licensee response to the RAI. As the result of the conference call, the staff found a need to revise the first two questions to clarify what information the staff was seeking.

The draft RAI in Enclosure 2 reflects the revisions to the questions and it was transmitted by facsimile on March 14, 2000 to Mr. D. Dodson. The licensee agreed to review the RAI and provide a response by April 14, 2000. This memorandum and the enclosures do not convey a formal request for information or represent an NRC staff position.

Docket No. 50-423

Enclosures: 1. Draft RAI
2. Revised draft RAI

DISTRIBUTION

File Center
PUBLIC
A. Hodgon, OGC
Project Manager

OFFICE	PDI, S2/PM	SRXB/SC
NAME	VNerses	FAkstulewicz
DATE	3/14/00	3/14/00

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
DOCUMENT NAMEC:\sfprerackrai5137.wpd

DRAFT

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR MILLSTONE UNIT 3 SPENT FUEL POOL RE-RACKING

1. What are the minimum and maximum boron concentrations in the spent fuel pool specified by chemical procedures?
2. What are the procedures for surveillance of these boron concentrations?
3. Please describe the administrative procedure used to determine that fuel assemblies have attained proper burn-up for storage in the burn-up dependent racks.
4. Is there any procedure for verifying that fuel assemblies in the spent fuel pool are in the correct locations after fuel movements have ceased?
5. Where are these procedures documented?

DRAFT

REVISED REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR MILLSTONE UNIT 3 SPENT FUEL POOL RE-RACKING

1. What will be the minimum and maximum boron concentrations in the spent fuel pool specified by chemical procedures if your submitted amendment is approved?
2. What is the frequency for surveillance and what are the procedures for surveillance of these boron concentrations?
3. Please describe the administrative procedure used to determine that fuel assemblies have attained proper burn-up for storage in the burn-up dependent racks.
4. Is there any procedure for verifying that fuel assemblies in the spent fuel pool are in the correct locations after fuel movements have ceased?
5. Where are these procedures documented?