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## I. INTRODUCTION

In Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants (NUREG-0654, FEMA-REP: 1: Rev. 1, November 1980; hereafter referred to as NUREG-0654), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) called upon power plant licensees as well as state and local agencies to prepare evacuation time estimates for the population within a 10 -mile radius plume exposure pathway referred to as the Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ). The approach for preparing the evacuation time estimates is documented in Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654.

This report is prepared in response to Appendix 4 and presents estimates of the evacuation travel time for the 10-mile EPZ surrounding the James A. FitzPatrick/Nine Mile Point site in Oswego County, New York. This report is an update of an evacuation time estimate report prepared in May 1984 for the same nuclear power facilities. Since the May 1984 evacuation travel time estimates were prepared, four significant factors affecting evacuation time have changed, including:

1. Availability of 1990 Census Data - The earlier travel time estimates were primarily based on two sources of demographic data - the 1980 Census projections of 1984 population, and Oswego County Planning Department projections. More accurate and detailed 1990 Census data is now available to update 1991 population data, however, the 1990 Census does not contain employment statistics and estimates of vehicles per household at this time. As described later in this report, other sources of data were used to update employment information.
2. On-Site Construction - Construction at the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Power Plant has been completed. Up to 6,000 construction workers were empioyed during the mid-1980's. This sizable segment of employment is no longer considered during evacuation scenarios; however, some on-site personnel are included in the evacuation estimates as determined by the New York Power Authority (NYPA) and Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC).
3. Changes to the Oswego County Radiological Emergency Preparedness Plan (REPP) - Reception center assigniments have been modified. All special facilities are now evacuated to facilities in the greater Syracuse area. Different bus companies are now participating in the plan, and some bus routes have been modified. These revisions are considered in this update of the evacuation travel time estimates.
4. Harborfest and Classic Weekend evacuation scenarios are included in this report. The "worst case" scenario to be evacuated during Harborfest would occur during the fireworks display which is estimated to be the peak period of attendance. Peak attendance during the Classic Weekend is anticipated to occur during a Sunday afternoon.

The report dated February, 1992 has been revised to refiect new 1991 permanent resident population estimates in the EPZ. The original estimates contained in the February, 1992 report were in error because the computerized map system used to obtain information from the 1990 Census was digitized incorrectly. As such, revisions to the February, 1992 report are noted by a revision date in the lower right hand corner of the page and a revision bar in the right hand margin indicating the change.

The evacuation travel time estimates included herein are based on the emergency response implementation procedures in the REPP. The population data, special facility
information, evacuation routes, vehicle inventories, and other parameters necessary to determine evacuation time are consistent with the most recent version (April, 1991) of the plan. As such, this report is an integral component of the Oswego County REPP, and provides valuable information to be used by decision makers in the event of an incident at the James A. FitPPatrick/Nine Mile Point site.

## A. Site Location

The James A. FizPatrick/Nine Mile Point nuclear power site is located on the shore of Lake Ontario in the Town of Scriba, Oswego County, New York. The site consists of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP) and the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Stations (NMPNS), Units 1 and 2. The JAFNPP is located adjacent to and east of the NMPNS. The site is located approximately 7 miles northeast of the City of Oswego, 36 miles northwest of Syracuse, 55 miles east of Rochester, and 135 miles east of Buffalo. Throughout this report, the acronym JAF/NMP refers to the site of all three nuclear facilities, not to a particular power plant. The location of JAF/NMP is shown in Figure 1.

## B. General Assumptions and Methodology

The general assumptions and methodologies used to prepare these evacuation travel time estimates are detailed in the various sections of this report or in its appendices. For example, population information is summarized in Section II. Roadway capacity information and vehicle availability are summarized in Section III. The actual evacuation travel times are presented in two formats and summarized in two different report locations:

1. By Sector, for the longest and shortest evacuation time scenarios, in Section IV.
2. By Emergency Response Planning Area (ERPA), for eight different evacuation scenarios, in Appendix G. These scenarios are for a full EPZ evacuation and include:

0 School-in-Session
o School-not-in-Session
o Summer/Holiday Weekend

- Winter/Holiday Weekend
- Evening
- Nighttime
- Classic Weekend
o Harborfest



## II. DEMAND ESTIMATION

This section of the report discusses the demographic analysis performed for the JAF/NMP EPZ The basic objective of the analysis is to determine the number, location, and temporal characteristics of the population to be evacuated. Three population categories have been considered: permanent residents, transients, and persons in special faciilities, as specified in NUREG-0654. The population categories have been analyzed for various geographic areas as discussed below.

## A. Emergency Planning Zone, Sectors, Segments, and Subareas

## Emergency Planning Zone

As shown in Figure 2, the plume exposure pathway EPZ is defined by an irregularly shaped boundary located approximately 10 miles 0 : further ifen tis JAF/NMP site. The perimeter of the EPZ follows physical and/or political boundaries as much as practical to facilitate recognition of the boundary by the public. The EPZ encompasses, where reasonable, entire political subdivisions to minimize the segregation that would occur if a true 10 -mile radius boundary were used to define the EPZ. Figure 2 shows both the actual 10 -mile radius EPZ and the approximate 10 -mile radius EPZ (included in the Oswego County REPP and used in calculating the evacuation travel time estimates), superimposed on a reduced composite New York State Department of Transportation planimetric map of the region. The map shows major political boundaries, transportation networks, and topographical features.

## Sectors

The EPZ was subdivided into areas with approximate two-, five-, and $10-\mathrm{mile}$ radii from the JAP/NMP site, as specified in Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654. The two-, five, and 10 -mile radii areas were further subdivided into approximate $90^{\circ}$ quadrants with northsouth and east-west axes. The areas defined by both the radii and quadrants are called Sectors.

Sectors are comprised of smaller units called Emergency Response Planning Areas (ERPAS), which also generally follow political and/or physical boundaries. An ERPA is the fundamental planning area identified in the Oswego County REPP. Each ERPA, as a unit, would follow a specific protective response action in the event of an incident at the JAF/NMP site. ERPAs, in tum, are further subdivided into traffic zones, which represent population clusters in particular geographic areas which follow specified evacuation routes leaving the EPZ. (For a more detailed discussion of the ERPAs and 'traffic zones, see Section III.A and Appendices A and D of this report.)

The Sectors, therefore, approximate the divisions specified in NUREG-0654 without dividing densely populated political subdivisions. Each Sector is comprised of one or more ERPAs. The Sectors are defined as follows:

Sectors A, B, C, and D - Four approximately $90^{\circ}$ quadrants comprised of ERPAs generally within a two-mile radius.

Sectors E. F. G, and H - Four approximately $90^{\circ}$ quadrants comprised of ERPAs generally within a five-mile radius.

Sectors I, J, K, and L - Four approximately $90^{\circ}$ quadrants comprised of ERPAs within the 10 -mile EPZ.

Sector M-a $360^{\circ}$ Sector encompassing the entire $10-$ mile EPZ.
The Sectors and their component ERPAs are listed in Table 1 and illustrated in Figures 3 through 15. Descriptions of the ERPA boundaries are presented in Appendix A of this report.

## Seoments and Subareas

Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654 specifies that all population and evacuation time estimate analyses be presented by Sector, as described above. However, as specified in Section J.10.b of NUREG-0654, population estimates have also been prepared tor a different geographic breakdown of the area - comprised of Segments and Subareas. The Segments are radial areas of $22-1 / 2^{\circ}$ each, with the center line of the northern Segment being true north from the following coordinates locatec' adjacent to the 'line Mile Point Unit 2 reactor building:

○ Latitude: $\quad N 43^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 17.497 \mathrm{Sec}$

- Longitude: $W 76^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 26.735 \mathrm{Sec}$

The 16 radial Segments intersect with three concentric rings lying from 0 to 2 miles, 2 to 5 miles, and 5 to 10 miles from the Unit 2 reactor building to form 48 Subareas within the 10 -mile radius area. It is noted that the Segment and Subarea boundaries are not irregularly shaped because they follow polar coordinate specifications.

The plume exposure pathway EPZ is irregular and extends generally further than 10 miles from the JAF/NMP site. The outermost Subarea boundaries are defined by a true 10 -mile radius. Therefore, a portion of land exists between the outermost Subareas and the plume EPZ. The population in this area is thus included in Sector estimates, but not included in Subarea estimates.

The following is a description of the methodology and sources used to derive permanent resident, transient, and special facilities population estimates for the various geographic areas discussed above. Estimates of three population categories are presented in this report as baseline estimates in order to provide the largest possible figure for each category. The estimates, therefore, are not additive for determination of the total population at any given point in time because the data may represent various times of day for each of the subgroups. For example, the largest estimate for the speciah facilities population represents a weekday when school is in session. The permanent resident population, on the other hand, is at its largest at nighttime when most people are at home and businesses and schools are closed. However, to calculate evacuation travel times, the baseline estimates presented herein were adjusted for each population category to coincide with the specific, time-based scenario under study. (The scenarios are discussed further in Section IV.A of this report.)

## B. Permanent Resident Population

Permanent residents, as defined in Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654, are those persons who reside in the EPZ, including schoolchildren, but excluding persons residing in institutions identified as special facilities in the Oswego County REPP and Section II.D of this report. This definition of permanent residents differs from the U.S. Department of Commerce - Bureau of the Census, which includes persons living in institutions as part of the permanent resident population. Therefore, two separate estimates of the
permanent resident population (including and excluding the population living in institutions) are provided in this report.

The 1990 Census data was used to determine the 1991 permanent resident population in the EPZ Census information is now available in block-level detail for Oswego County. The Census block and tract boundaries were superimposed on a map delineating the traffic zones and ERPA boundaries. For the vast majority of cases, individual Census blocks are entirely located within a particular traffic zone. Where blocks are located in more than one traffic zone, the proportion in each zone was determined by the National Planning Data Corporation (NPDC). This approach results in an adequate determination of population location.

The population data presented in this report is for the year 1991. Growth factors were applied to the 1990 Census population data to estimate the current permanent resident poputation in the EPZ. These growth factors were calculated by the NPDC by estimating the 1990-1991 rates of change in household counts in the EPZ on a Census tract level. A 1991 estimate of household size for each tract was then applied to the estimated number of households to determine the 1991 population in each tract. The NPDC household size variable accounted for factors such as marriage patterns, divorces, increased longevity of the elderty, housing availability, and birth rates. Growth factors were calculated for the portion of Oswego County in the EPZ by dividing 1991 Census tract population by 1990 Census tract population. These growth factors were then applied to 1990 population data (for appropriate Census blocks within a given tract) to estimate 1991 permanent resident population on a block level basis.

In all cases, population estimates were developed at the traffic zone level by adding data for individual Census blocks. Traffic zone estimates were then added to provide ERPA estimates; similarly, ERPA estimates were summed to produce Sector estimates of population. The estimates for the 1991 permanent resident population are summarized by Sector in Table 2. Appendix A (Table A-1) presents 1991 permanent resident population surnmarized by ERPA.

Estimates of the permanent resident population with and without automobiles have also been prepared, as specified in NUREG-0654. The breakdown of the permanent resident population into persons with and without automobiles is required as input to the evacuation travel time estimate analysis, and was calculated in the following manner.

The 1990 Census provides block-group level data on the average household size, and on the number of households with no automobiles, and those households with one or more automobiles. The total number of households in each block-group was determined by dividing the total population by the appropriate household size factor. The resulting number of househoids in each block-group was then subdivided into households with automobiles and households without automobiles. Household size factors were then re-applied to calculate the population with and without automobiles.

The 1990 Census data on household size was thus used as the auto occupancy factor, and varied from Census block-group to Census block-group. By dividing the number of persons with an automobile by the auto occupancy factor, the number of automobiles used by people evacuating from their homes was determined. Implicit in this calculation is the assumption that families owning automobiles would use only one
vehicle during an evacuation from their homes. During an evacuation under a weekday daytime scenario when parents may be at work, those families owning multiple vehicles were assumed to use them when evacuation trips originated from different locations (such as work or home).

It has been assumed that $50 \%$ of the permanent resident population who do not have access to an automobile will be evacuated by friends or neighbors in their automobile. This concept is known as ridesharing. Appendix H documents actual evacuation scenarios throughout North America within the past 12 years wherein a great majority of transit dependent residents were evacuated by ridesharing.

The estimated permanent resident population with and without automobiles, and the number of automobiles are summarized by Sector in Table 2. The transit dependent population - those persons without automobiles or those without access to an automobile - are anticipated to be evacuated by bus and other emergency vehicles as described later in this report (see Section lii.C and Section Ill.D).

## C. Transient Population

The transient population includes employees not residing within the EPZ, peopie staying at hotels and motels in the EPZ, and visitors to parks and recreational areas within the EPZ boundary. The estimates of transient population are summarized by Sector in Table 3.

Each of the transient population components were estimated in a different manner. Parks and recreational areas such as beaches and campgrounds were inventoried by the Oswego County Emergency Management Office. The owners and/or operators of these facilities were contacted by the EMO. Population estimates for these sites were obtained through this inventory process. Population estimates for hotels and motels were assumed to include two persons for each hotel or motel room located within the EPZ. The 1991 Oswego County Accommodations Guide lists 16 establishments within the EPZ which includes a total of 337 rooms. This translates into 674 possible transients for hotel or motel accommodations at any given time within the EPZ.

Because employment data is not yet available from the 1990 Census, estimates of employment were derived using past and current New York State Department of Labor employment estimates. County-wide average employment estimates were obtained by industry type for each year between 1980 and 1990. March 1991 data, which is the most current available information from the Department of Labor, was also obtained. These estimates indicate that the average county-wide employment has remained basically the same since 1984. (An actual decrease of 162 employees was estimated.) Further evaluation shows that the construction industry has lost approximately 5000 jobs between 1984 and 1991 while the government, retail, services, and transportation and public utility industries have increased employment by roughly 5,000 jobs.

Many of the construction jobs have been lost as a result of the completion of the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 complex located within the EPZ. Assuming that construction of the NMP complex was completed in 1984, average employment throughout Oswego County has actually increased roughly $2.4 \%$ a year between 1984 and 1991.

To obtain 1991 employment estimates within the EPZ, 1984 employment numbers were increased at a rate of $2.4 \%$ a year from 1984. This approach results in an estimated employment population of 11,777 in the EPZ. This number was then compared to estimates obtained from the New York State Department of Labor which
encompass areas including all of the City of Oswego, and Townships of Oswego, Mexico, Voiney, Minetto, Scriba, and parts of New Haven and Palermo Townships. This area and the 10-mile EPZ overlap in many areas and therefore represents a reasonable comparison of estimated 1991 employment population. It was found that the Department of Labor estimates were slightly higher than the employment estimate for the 10-mile EPZ. This can be expected as the Townships of Oswego and Voiney are more densely populated and built-up than those areas in the eastern areas of the EPZ. Table A-1 in Appendix A presents 1991 transient population summarized by ERPA.

It was assumed for the purpose of the travel time estimate analysis that 100 percent of the employees working in the EPZ commute by automobile. This conservative assumption placed the maximum number of vehicles on the evacuation routes when determining the evacuation travel time estimates. An assumed automobile occupancy factor of 1.1 was applied to the 1991 employment estimates to derive the number of vehicles used by employees to evacuate.
D. Special Facilities Population

Special faciity residents include persons in hospitals and other health care facilities, nursing homes, schools (including public and private, day care, nursery, elementary, middle, and high), Universities, day camps, and correctional facilities. Special facilities located in the EPZ and pertinent data about them are listed in Appendix $B$ of this report. Residents of the universities and nursing homes constitute the institutional population. As shown on Table 6, they were subtracted from the permanent resident population to determine the permanent resident population excluding institutions, as required in NUREG-0654 and described earlier.

All population and vehicle data for special facilities were obtained through telephone and/or letter contact with the individual facilities. The Oswego County Emergency Management Office contacted each of these facilities to obtain up-to-date information used in this report Any special transportation, such as buses, wheelchair equipped vehicles, and ambulances, required to evacuate the special facilities' populations was considered in calculating the evacuation travel time estimates. Special facility populations for Sectors are presented in Table 4.

## E. Population by Segment and Subarea

Population estimates by $22-1 / 2^{\circ}$ Segments and Subareas are presented and listed for the permanent resident population (including and excluding institutional population, with and without automobiles), for the transient population, and for the special facilities population in Tables 5 through 10 and on Figures 16 through 21.

The sources and methodology used to determine the populations by Segment and Subarea are the same as those used in calculating Sector estimates. However, data was aggregated according to the specified polar coordinate system rather than by ERPA. The estimates made for Subareas were summed to provide estimates for the 22$1 / 20$ Segments out to the actual 10 -mile radius boundary. As discussed earlier in Section II.A, people located in the strip of land which exists between the outermost Subareas and the plume exposure pathway EPZ boundary will be inciuded in Sector population totals, but will not be included in Segment population totals. Thus, totals by Segment are generally less than or equal to totals by Sector because of the population residing in the area between the actual 10 -mile radius and the approximate 10 -mile EPZ boundary.

## F. Special Event Population

Oswego County hosts two major events each year within the 10-mile EPZ. The first event, Harborfest, occurs over a 4-day period in July, while the second event, Classic Weekend, occurs during the Labor Day Weekend in September.

1. Harborfest attracts considerable attendance from residents in and beyond Oswego County. In 1990, the Greater Oswego Chamber of Commerce conducted an economic impact study of Harborfest on the Community of Oswego and estimated total attendance at 92,900 over the 4 -day event. The largest concentration of attendees occurs during Saturday night for the fireworks display in the Harbor area off Wright's Landing. Consequently, this scenario was simulated for evacuation purposes to represent a "worst case" scenario.

It is estimated that approximately 55,370 people attend the Fireworks display, exclusive of the local residents, and thereiore require evacuation irom the area. This figure was derived by estimating three components of the fireworks attendance - land attendance, bused attendance, and boat attendance. Land attendance was estimated as follows:

- It was assumed that 59\% of the total Harborfest attendance occurs during Saturday. This figure was based on traffic counts conducted along Route 104 in Oswego by the City of Oswego Department of Public Works.

0 . The aforementioned survey that was conducted by the Greater Oswego Chamber of Commerce showed that $69 \%$ of the people who attended Harborfest attended the fireworks display. Hence, the population arriving by private vehicle can be estimated to be 37,820 .

Harborfest officials have estimated that 3,100 vehicles use the parking areas located at the Jamesway, Ames, and Oswego Plazas and SUNY Campus. These people are then bused to the fireworks. Assuming three passengers per vehicle, this accounts for 9,300 people. In addition, attendees at the Oswego Speedway will begin to be bused to the fireworks after the last race is over at $7: 30$. It is estimated that $25 \%$ of the raceway attendees would attend the fireworks, or approximately 2,250 people. Therefore, the total bused attendance is estimated at 11,550.

The Coast Guard has estimated that up to 1,200 boats anchor in the harbor area to attend the fireworks. They have also estimated that five passengers (on average) are on each boat which results in a boat attendance of 6,000 passengers. Adding the private vehicle, bused, and boat attendance components results in a fireworks attendance of approximately 55,370 , in addition to the local residents.
2. The Classic Weekend is held at the Oswego Speedway over a 3-day period during Labor Day weekend. Based on information provided by Classic Weekend representatives, it has been assumed that the peak period for evacuation purposes will occur on a Sunday afternoon. This period represents the peak activity for the event.

During this time period, representatives of the event have estimated the following breakdown of people at the speedway:

- 9,000 attendees in the grandstand (3 to 4 arrive per car)
- 500 people in the pit area

0 . 40 cars per class (with 5 to 6 people/crew)

- $\quad 30$ tow trucks with 2-people per truck
- 10 to 12 firemen
- 20 to 22 EMTS
- 5 Policemen
$0 \quad$ Approximately. 40 in-house people (i.e. - vendors and concession people)
A majority of the attendees arrive from outside of the local area. This is evident by the number of recreational vehicles which stay overnight adjacent to the speedway. It has been estimated by Classic Weekend representatives that approximately 400 vehicles or 2,000 attendees stay overnight adjacent to the speedway. Ultimately, this results in an approximate on-site population of 11,879 to be evacuated during an emergency.


## TABLE 1

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SECTORS

## AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING AREAS

| Figure Number | Sector* | Approximate Radius | Quadrant | Emergency Response Planning Areas (ERPAs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | A | 2 mile | NE | 1,27 |
| 4 | B | 2 mile | SE | 1,2 |
| 5 | C | 2 mile | SW | 1,3,26 |
| 6 | D | 2 mile | NW | 1,26 |
| 7 | E | 5 mile | NE | 1,27 |
| 8 | F | 5 mile | SE | 1,2,4,5,9,10,27 |
| 9 | G | 5 mile | SW | 1,3,5,6,10,11,26 |
| 10 | H | 5 mile | NW | 1,26 |
| 11 | 1 | 10 mile | NE | 1,27,29 |
| 12 | $J$ | 10 mile | SE | 1,2,4,5,7-10,14-20,27,29 |
| 13 | K | 10 mile | SW | 1,3,5,6,10-13,19-25,26,28 |
| 14 | $L$ | 10 mile | NW | 1,26,28 |
| 15 | $\because M$ | 10 mile | ALL | 1-29 |

* The land portions of each Sector were included in the evacuation travel time estimate analysis. ERPAs 23-25 are located on the Oswego River; ERPAs 26-29 are located on Lake Ontario. Clearing of ERPAs 23-29 is discussed in Section III.E of this report.

TABLE 2
1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION ESTIMATES

| BY $900{ }^{\circ}$ SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector | 1991 <br> Permanent Resident Population | 1991 <br> Permanent Resident Population (Excluding Institutions) | 1991 <br> Permanent Resident Population With Autos (Excluding Institutions) | 1991 <br> Permanent Resident Population Without Autos (Excluding Institutions) | Number of Autos |
| 2 Mile Redius |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 148 | 148 | 145 | 3 | 89 |
| B | 607 | 607 | 596 | 11 | 356 |
| C | 449 | 449 | 435 | 14 | 262 |
| D | 148 | 148 | 145 | 3 | 89 |
| 5 Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 148 | 148 3657 | 145 3579 | 83 | 89 2150 |
| $\stackrel{\text { G }}{ }$ | 3,623 | 4,923 | 4,788 | 135 | 2,1554 |
| H | 148 | 148 | 145 | 3 | 89 |
| 10 Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| - I | 148 | 148 | 145 | 3 | 89 |
| $J$ | 12,468 | 12,459 | 12,094 | 365 | 7,193 |
| K | 34,922 | 30,430 | 27,467 | 2,963 | 18,852 |
| $L$ | 148 | 148 | 145 | 3 | 89 |
| $360^{\circ} \mathrm{EPZ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | 42,597 - | 38,096 | 34,877 | 3,219 | 23,199 |

TABLE 3
1991 TRANSIENT POPULATION ESTIMATES

## BY $90^{\circ}$ SECTOR

## Sector <br> 1991 Transient Population

2 Mile Radius

| A | 1,558 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | 1,618 |  |
| C |  | 1,558 |
| $D$ |  | 1,558 |

5 Mile Radius
E
1,558
3,815
3,935
H
1,558
10 Mile Radius

| J | 1,558 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $J$ | 8,511 |
| K | 14,104 |
|  | 1,558 |

$\begin{array}{cl}360^{\circ} \mathrm{EPZ} \\ M & 19,005\end{array}$

TABLE 4
1991 SPECIAL FACILITIES POPULATION ESTIMATES BY $90^{\circ}$ SECTOR

| Sector | 1991 Special Facility Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 Mile Radius |  |
| A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 Mile Radius |  |
| $E$ $F$ $G$ $H$ | 0 9 0 0 |
| 10 Mile Radius |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & J \\ & K \\ & L \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 4,390 \\ 11,196 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| $360^{\circ} \mathrm{EPZ}$ |  |
| M - | 15,586 |

TABLE 5
1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION ESTIMATES BY SEGMENT

| Segment | RING, MILES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-2 | 2-5 | 0.5 | 5-10 | 0-10 |
|  | Subarea Population | Subarea Population | Curnulative Subarea Population | Subarea Population | Cumulative Segment Population |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENE | 56 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 |
| E | 84 | 127 | 217 | 799 | 7,010 |
| ESE | 89 | 500 | 649 | 2,961 | 3,610 |
| SE | 75 | 450 | 525 | 1,051 | 1,576 |
| SSE | 34 | 430 | 454 | 978 | 1,442 |
| 5 | 136 | 413 | 549 | 1,235 | 1,784 |
| SSW | 61 | 906 | 967 | 3,090 | 4,057 |
| SW | 102 | 930 | 1,032 | 20,202 | 21,234 |
| WSW | 18 | 192 | 210 | 5,848 | 6,058 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ring Population | 655 | 4,008 | 4,663 | 36,164 | 40,827 |

(Also see Figure 16)

TABLE 6 1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION ESTIMATES (EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION) BY SEGMENT

| Segment | RING, MILES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-2 | 2-5 | D-5 | 5-10 | 0-10 |
|  | Subarea Population | Subarea Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumulative } \\ & \text { Subarea } \\ & \text { Population } \end{aligned}$ | Subarea Population | Cumulaive Segment Population |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENE | 56 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 55 |
| E | 84 | 127 | 211 | 799 | 1,010 |
| ESE | 89 | 560 | 649 | 2,961 | 3,610 |
| SE | 75 | 447 | 516 | 1,051 | 1,567 |
| SSE | 34 | 430 | 464 | 978 | 1,442 |
| S | 136 | 413 | 549 | 1,235 | 1,784 |
| SSW | 61 | 906 | 967 | 3,090 | 4,057 |
| SW | 102 | 930 | 1,032 | 19,710 | 20,742 |
| WSW | 18 | 192 | 270 | 1,848 | 2,058 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NW | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ring Population | 655 | 3,999 | 4,654 | 31,672 | 36,326 |

(Also see Figure 17)

TABLE 7
1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION ESTIMATES (EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION) WITH AUTOS BY SEGMENT

| Segment | RING, MILES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O-2 | 2-5 | 0.5 | 5-10 | $0-10$ |
|  | Subarea Population | Subarea Population | Cumulative - Subarea Population | Subarea Population | Cumulative Segment Population |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENE | 55 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 |
| $E$ | 84 | 126 | 210 | 779 | 989 |
| ESE | 89 | 528 | 617 | 2,857 | 3,474 |
| SE | 75 | 426 | 501 | 959 | 1,460 |
| SSE | 34 | 422 | 456 | 943 | 1,399 |
| S | 136 | 401 | 537 | 1,201 | 1,738 |
| SSW | 58 | 892 | 950 | 2,872 | 3,822 |
| SW | 98 | 970 | 1,008 | 17,743 | 18,151 |
| WSW | 78 | 192 | 210 | 1,848 | 2,058 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ring } \\ \text { Population } \end{array}$ | 648 | 3,897 | 4,545 | 28,602 | 33,147 |

(Also see Figure 18)

TABLE 8
1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION ESTIMATES (EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION) WITHOUT AUTOS BY SEGMENT

| Segment | RING, MILES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-2 | $2-5$ | 0-5 | 5-10 | $0-10$ |
|  | Subarea Population | Subarea Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumulative } \\ & \text { Subarea } \\ & \text { Population } \end{aligned}$ | Subarea Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumulative } \\ & \text { Segment } \\ & \text { Population } \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $E$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 21 |
| ESE | 0 | 32 | 32 | 104 | 136 |
| SE | 0 | 15 | 15 | 92 | 107 |
| SSE | 0 | 8 | 8 | 35 | 43 |
| 5 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 34 | 46 |
| SSW | 3 | 14 | 17 | 218 | 235 |
| SW | 4 | 20 | 24 | 2,557 | 2,591 |
| WSW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ring Population | 7 | 102 | 109 | 3,070 | 3,179 |

(Also see Figure 19)

TABLE 9
1991 TRANSIENT POPULATION ESTIMATES BY SEGMENT

(Also see Figure 20)

$$
11-16
$$

TABLE 10
1991 SPECIAL FACILTTIES POPULATION ESTIMATES BY SEGMENT

|  | RING, MILES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 0-2 | 2-5 | 0-5 | 5-10 | 0-10 |
| Segment | Subarea Population | Subarea Population | Cumulative Subarea Population | Subarea Population | Cumulative Segment Population |
| $N$ | 0 | $\therefore 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 360 |
| ESE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,081 | 2,081 |
| SE | 0 | 9 | 9 | 1,940 | 1,949 |
| SSE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SSW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 576 | 576 |
| SW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,620 | 10,620 |
| WSW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ring Population | 0 | 9 | 915 | 15,577 | 15,586 |

(Also see Figure 21)

$$
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Fig． 171991 Permanent Resident Population Estimates（excluding Institutional Population），by Segment
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## III. TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

The evacuation travel times described in this report are a function of the evacuating population size, the travel distance, roadway capacities, weather conditions, available emergency manpower, and the number of vehicles used during an evacuation. Section II of this report discussed the various evacuation populations. Section III discusses the other aforementioned factors affecting evacuation travel time.

The transportation facilities available to complete an evacuation of the EPZ consist of roadways, privately-owned vehicles, buses and vans, emergency vehicles (e.g., ambulances), boats, and aircraft. The following is a discussion of the role of these transportation facilities in a JAF/NMP area evacuation and their respective functions in the estimates of the evacuation travel times.

## A. Evacuation Roadway Network

Primary evacuation routes were identified for all portions of the EPZ. Each ERPA within the EPZ was disaggregated into one or more traffic zones along recognizable geographic and/or political boundaries. Each traffic zone, which represents a population cluster in a specific geographic area that loads onto a given roadway, was then assigned a primary evacuation route for each mode of travel emanating from that zone. Traffic zone boundaries were developed to minimize the amount of cross traffic required to access a zone's associated primary evacuation route. The evacuation routes and traffic zones are included in the Oswego County REPP and are described in Appendix $D$ of this report.

Evacuation routes for a given traffic zone were chosen to move traffic generally in a radial direction away from the JAF/NMP site in accordance with NUREG-0654 criteria. Care was taken to select routes likely to be both familiar to and regularly used by drivers in the traffic zone. In addition, the NYSDOT, Oswego County DPW, and Oswego City DPW were contacted to identify programmed roadway improvements scheduled over the next five years. While a majority of existing roadway deficiencies are not located on the evacuation roadway network, the following improvements are scheduled for construction on the evacuation roadway network:

- Catfish Creek Bridge on Route 1 will be reconstructed during 1995 and 1996
- $\quad 1-81$ will be resurfaced in 1992 between Central Square and Parish. While this section is not located within the 10-mile EPZ, it helps facilitate traffic to the State Fairgrounds reception center in Syracuse.

Limited access facilities such as 1-81 are not in the EPZ, and hence were not included as primary evacuation routes. Ultimately, 1-81, as well as other major routes which lead south of the EPZ such as NY Rte 481, Rte 48, and Rte 34, will facilitate a large amount of evacuation traffic as they connect Oswego County with the greater Syracuse area and the State Fairgrounds.

In developing the evacuation routes, it was assumed that traffic would operate in a normal two-way pattern, with the exception of any one-way streets. This operational strategy would not only permit emergency vehicles and buses to enter the evacuating area, but would also minimize the possibility of a total blockage of a route because of an incident such as an automobile accident. If an accident did occur, traffic could be diverted around that point in the opposing travel lanes. Backup evacuation routes were
also determined for portions of the primary evacuation network likely to become extremely congested and are included in the Oswego County REPP. A more detailed discussion of these bottleneck locations appears in Section IV.J.

The selected primary evacuation routes, as well as many others, were traveled to assess their adequacy for evacuation purposes. The data gathered for each route during the field reconnaissance conducted on October 27 and 28, 1991 was used to determine the evacuation capacity of each roadway and included the number of lanes, lane width, shoulder width, location and the timing of traffic signals (it applicable), and the posted speed limit. Roadway capacities were estimated based upon current traffic engineering practices outlined in the 1985 edition of the Highway Capacity Manual. The Oswego County Department of Public Works, the City of Oswego Department of Public Works, and the New York State Department of Transportation were contacted to verify those highways in the EPZ that were modified (e.g., widened, new facilities, etc.) since the last evacuation time study was prepared in May 1984. These were also field-verified during October, 1991.

This roadway inventory data was used to disaggregate the evacuation network into over 160 individual links within the EPZ. A link represents a roadway segment where the physical and operating characteristics are similar, or a portion of a route between other intersecting primary evacuation routes. Figure 22 shows the finks within the $10-$ mile EPZ for the JAF/NMP evacuation roadway network. The primary direction of travel during an evacuation is indicated by directional arrows and the location of traffic control points are also identified on the map. The map does not show all the local streets necessary to access the evacuation routes, nor does it show the evacuation links between the EPZ and the State Fairgrounds in Syracuse. 1-81, Rte 48, Rte 34, and I-690 are the primary evacuation routes between the EPZ and the State Fairgrounds located in Syracuse. Approximately 75 additional links were field inventoried and have been added to the analysis for the purpose of estimating evacuation travel times of schools in the EPZ to the State Fairgrounds. This is consistent with FEMA guidance memorandum EV2, "Protective Actions for School Children".

Each link in the EPZ is numbered for reference, and corresponds to the link characteristic data shown in Appendix E. The links between the EPZ and the State Fairgrounds are also tabulated in Appendix $E$. The information shown includes, for each link, the evacuation route name, the number of lanes in the outbound direction, the free flow travel speed, the link length, the various factors which affect capacity, and the upper and lower bound evacuation capacities (service traffic volumes) under normal, inclement, and adverse weather conditions, respectively. The procedures used to calculate the evacuation capacities are discussed below.

## 1. Evacuation Capacity Analysis

An important variable in the determination of evacuation travel times is the capacity of roadways in the network to accommodate evacuating vehicles. NUREG-0654 stipulates that normal and adverse weather conditions be addressed in terms of their effects on travel times and capacity. For this reason, it was necessary to develop a procedure to estimate 'evacuation capacities' which would represent the number of vehicles serviced per unit of time by each segment of the network under flow conditions likely to occur during an evacuation for both normal and adverse weather conditions.

Because of the large and sudden demand placed on a roadway system during an evacuation, it was assumed that traffic would be congested, speeds would be low, flow
would be unstable, and there would be stoppages of momentary duration. It was assumed that these operating conditions would prevail for the duration of the evacuation, with the exception of the very beginning and end of the evacuation phase, when volumes are anticipated to be somewhat lower. The traffic flow conditions indicated above correspond to a roadway segments capacity defined as Level of Service E as described in the Highway Capacity Manual*. Level of Service is a qualitative measure of the effect of a number of factors on traffic flow including speed, travel time, traffic interfuptions, freedom to maneuver, safety, driving comfort and convenience.

For Level of Service E flow conditions, standard procedures (as outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual) were followed to calculate the service volume of a roadway. The service volume at Level of Service $E$, which is called "evacuation capacity" in the context of this report, was calculated for each link in the network to represent the upperbound capabiity of the roadways to accommodate traffic under normal weather conditions.

At the time of an incident at the JAF/NMP site, events may occur even under fair weather which would reduce the capability of roadways to accommodate evacuating traffic. For example, some traffic control officers designated to monitor traffic checkpoints may be unable to fulfill their assignments. Traffic throughput, therefore, would not be maximized at these locations. In addition, a light snow or rain which results in a moist road surface may have a slight impact on roadway capacity. To represent these conditions, service volumes were calculated at Level of Service D. In general, this calculation accounts for a 10 to 20 percent reduction in evacuation capacity, depending on the roadway type, for multilane, and two-lane facilities, respectively. Therefore, evacuation travel times were calculated as a range of values under normal weather conditions, with lower-bound travel times determined using Level of Service E capacities and upper-bound travel times calculated using service volumes consistent with Level of Service D operations.

Under adverse weather conditions, such as snow, fog, severe thunder storms which result in heavy rains, localized flooding, or ice, the ability of roadways to carry traffic is further reduced. Two factors account for this reduction -- a decreased quality and amount of physical space on the roadway surface (e.g., snow on shoulders) and a more cautious attitude on the part of the driver (resulting in increased headways). As discussed with the County and State Highway officials, adverse weather conditions are no longer considered to be just a heaw snowstorm in the Oswego area (when evacuation may not be the preferred response option), but would include an ice storm which immobilizes the area until roadway crews can salt, sand, and clear the roadways. Level of Service D service volumes were further reduced by 20 percent to account for these factors to estimate adverse weather evacuation capacities.

During times of heavy snowfall, the City of Oswego Department of Public Works estimates that it would take up to three hours to clear all of the City streets. However, snow emergency routes located within Oswego County require approximately 30 minutes to clear once crews are mobilized for duty. Beyond the EPZ, it is estimated that two hours are required to clear NY 481 and 1-81 to Syracuse.

During an emergency, the Oswego County Department of Public Works has stated that it would assist in clearing State roads within the EPZ. Priority planning for snow removal on bus routes will be coordinated by the Oswego County Emergency Management Office.

* Highway Research Board, Special Report 209; 1985

As a first step in the determination of capacity, base evacuation capacities/service volumes were calculated for each link in the network at the levels of service mentioned above. The base evacuation capacities were then modified by factors which take into account the impact on traffic operations of existing roadway widths and shoulder areas. All applicable modification factors were abstracted from the Highwav Capacity Manual.

The roads and highways used for evacuation were categorized into four basic groupings for purposes of capacity computations:

- Freeways,
- Multi-lane highways,
o Two-lane, two-way roadways, and
- Roadways controlled by traffic signals.

Appendix F details the specific methodology used to calculate evacuation capacities for these roadway types.

## B. Privately-Owned Vehicles

It was assumed for the purpose of computing evacuation travel time estimates that families owning automobiles would evacuate as a unit from their homes in one car. However, a percentage of multi-car families might utilize their additional vehicles in an evacuation. The impact of these additional automobiles would be to increase the evacuation travel time estimates roughly proportionally to the percent increase in the number of cars used to evacuate along the critical evacuation routes. It is noted that multi-car families were assumed to use more than one vehicle when the evacuation trips originated from several locations (e.g., a mother departing from home and a husband departing from work). The number of families with zero, one, or several cars was determined from the 1990 Census and adjusted to the year 1991 as described earlier in Section II for each traffic zone in the EPZ.

## C. Buses and Vans

Buses and vans will be used to evacuate the ambulatory population who will not have their own means of transportation available at the time of an incident. This ambulatory population includes schoolchildren, residents of special facilities, transients without autos, and the general public without autos. The available vehicles in the JAF/NMP area to evacuate these people consist of public, private, and school-districtowned buses and vans. The priorities associated with the deployment of buses and vans depend on whether school is in session at the time of an evacuation. The criteria used to determine bus assignments are fully discussed in Section IV.F. The following is a discussion of the general role of these vehicles in the Oswego County REPP and travel time estimate analysis.

In all, 76 emergency bus routes have been established within the EPZ, not including assignments at specific facilities such as schools, nursing homes, hospitals or day camps. Based on the number of transit-dependent people in each traffic zone (as described earlier in Section II.B), the required number of buses for each traffic zone was determined. The public, private, and school district bus operators in the area were contacted by the Oswego County Emergency Management Office to determine the number of vehicles each company could provide. For the purpose of this study, the bus companies were then assigned service areas based on location and the number and type of available vehicles. Where possible, service areas were defined to be contiguous
with traffic zone and ERPA boundaries to clearty identify responsibilities during a staged or partial evacuation. The following list tabulates the bus companies identified by the Oswego County Emergency Management Office and the current vehicle inventory of each company:

- City School District of Oswego (61 buses and 2 vans with a total passenger capacity of 3,537 )
- Phoenix Central School District (36 buses with a total passenger capacity of 2,135 )
- Central Square Central School District ( 44 buses and 11 vans with a total passenger capacity of 2,024)
- A \& E Medical (4 buses and 3 vans with a total passenger capacity of 187)
- Oswego County Opportunities ( 12 buses and 11 vans with a total passenger capacity of 419)
- Centro of Syracuse, Inc. (187 buses and 9 vans with a total passenger capacity of 8257 . Two trolleys with a passenger capacity of 42 are assumed not available for use during an evacuation)
- Mexico Academy and Central Schools (44 buses and 2 vans - with a total passenger capacity of 2,236 )
- Oswego County BOCES ( 31 buses with a total passenger capacity of 577).

The estimated total ambulatory population without autos who require evacuation by bus or van includes school children, permanent resident population who are not considered to be part of a rideshare initiative, the university and nursing home institutional population, transient population, and special facility residents of healthcare, day care, and correctional facilities is listed below.

- School Children $=10,166$ (Students of elementary, middle, and high schools located within the EPZ)
- Permanent Resident Population without Autos $=1,610$
- Institutional Population $=1,337$
- Transient Population $=0$

Oy Special Facilities Population $=543$
The total passenger demand of these components totals 13,656. With 457 available buses and vans which can transport 19,372 passengers, sufficient resources exist to evacuate those who require transportation in the EPZ The vehicular inventories and their respective capacities, and the ambulatory population estimates without autos who require evacuation by bus listed above reflect information available at the time this report was compiled. Current information is available in the latest Oswego REPP. An estimate of the non-ambulatory population, those peopie who are bed ridden and require evacuation by an ambulance and those persons who are in wheel chairs and require evacuation via a wheel chair equipped vehicle, is discussed in Section D.

## D. Emergency Vehicles

Emergency vehicles such as ambulances and wheelchair-equipped buses and vans would be used to evacuate non-ambulatory residents from special facilities, and members of the general population requiring and requesting such transport. Each special facility was contacted by the Oswego County Emergency Management Office to identify the number of residents requiring wheelchair or stretcher transportation. In addition, the Oswego County Emergency Management Office has compiled a list of noninstitutionalized mobility impaired people that was considered in the assignment of emergency vehicles and assessment of evacuation times.

Various ambulance corporations and fire departments in the County were also contacted to ascertain the availability of emergency vehicles. Eleven (11) ambulances are available in Oswego County from four providers. Thus, the total number of stretcher patients that could be carried at any one time is 22; however, the total demand for ambulance assisted evacuation passengers from hospitals and nursing homes (those who require stretchers when they are transported) located in the EPZ is 67. Bus companies were also inventoried to determine the wheelchair capacity of their fleets. A total of 422 wheelchair passengers can be transported on the available bus and van resources which exceeds the evacuation demand of 347 wheelchair passengers; thus, a one-wave evacuation of wheelchair passengers can be obtained.

Tabie 11 presents total vehicle estimates for the EPZ by Sector. Table 12 and Figure 23 contain the same data presented by Segment and Subarea. It shoutd be noted that these total vehicle estimates include all vehicles belonging to multi-car families.

## E. Boats

Water traffic within the EPZ on Lake Ontario (ERPAs 26-29) and on the Oswego River (ERPAs 23-25) would be cleared by the local law enforcement agencies as specified in the Oswego County REPP. The United States Coast Guard would also be called upon at the time of an incident to assist in an evacuation. The Coast Guard would also be responsible for blocking entry into the 10-mile EPZ from Lake Ontario and the Saint Lawrence Seaway.

Evacuation of water traffic depends on the type of cratt, its fuel supply, mode of power, how long its been out of port, and weather conditions. For example, it has been estimated that it would take boats on Lake Ontario in the vicinity of the power plants as long as $1-1 / 2$ hours to travel to their home ports in the Mexico area. Again, weather will dictate how long it would take to evacuate the lake. It has been estimated that sailboats would require up to 4 -hours to evacuate the EPZ.

The Coast Guard estimates that $75 \%-80 \%$ of the fishing boats on Lake Ontario are equipped with radios. The Coast Guard anticipates that notification would be spread from one location to the next if an evacuation were ordered. Once all boats have been notified of an evacuation, the boats on Lake Ontario would be directed to safe ports located outside of the 10 -mile EPZ. Boats located in the harbor area and along the Oswego River are to dock at the nearest port, or their home ports where the passengers would then be evacuated by auto or bus. This activity is particularly significant during Harborfest and the Fishing Derby.

Weather conditions, particularly on Lake Ontario, will be the key factor in determining how long it will take to evacuate water traffic in the EPZ. For example, the Oswego County Sheriffs Marine Patrol estimates that 2-3 hours would be required to confirm evacuation of all boats within the EPZ when seas are less than 2 -feet on Lake Ontario. During times of adverse weather, or when the seas are greater than 2 -feet on the Lake, the Marine Patrol and Coast Guard estimate the confirmation of an evacuation could take as long as 6-8 hours.

## F. . Airplanes

There are no commercial airports within the JAF/NMP EPZ. South of the EPZ, there is a county airport in Volney.

| Sector | 1991 Total Vehicles |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 Mile Radius |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & B \\ & C \\ & \mathbf{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 356 \\ 252 \\ 89 \end{array}$ |
| 5 Mile Radius |  |
| $\begin{gathered} E \\ F \\ G \\ G \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 2,150 \\ 2,954 \\ 89 \end{array}$ |
| 10 Mile Radius |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & \mathrm{~J} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 7,193 \\ 18,852 \\ 89 \end{array}$ |
| $360^{\circ}$ EPZ |  |
| M | 23,199 |

TABLE 12
1991 TOTAL VEHICLE ESTIMATES BY SEGMENT

| Segment | RING, MILES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-2 | 2.5 | 0-5 | 5-10 | 0-10 |
|  | Subarea Vehicles | Subarea Vehicies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumulative } \\ & \because \text { Subarea } \\ & \because \text { Vehicles } \end{aligned}$ | Subarea Vehicles | Cumulative Segment Vehicles |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENE | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| E | 18 | 9 | 27 | 346 | 373 |
| ESE | 14 | 305 | 319 | 1,575 | 1,894 |
| SE | 14 | 311 | 325 | 983 | 1,308 |
| SSE | 14 | 232 | 246 | 543 | 789 |
| 5 | 13 | 347 | 360 | 935 | 7,295 |
| SSW | 29 | 383 | 412 | 2,761 | 3,173 |
| SW | 27 | 436 | 463 | 12,261 | 12,724 |
| WSW | 2 | 25 | 27 | 650 | 677 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NNW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ring } \\ & \text { Vehicles } \end{aligned}$ | 135 | 2,048 | 2,183 2 | 20,054 | 22,237 |

(Also see Figure 23)



Fig. 23 1991 Total Vehicle Estimates, by Segment
F. Im


## IV. ANALYSIS OF EVACUATION TRAVEL TIMES

## A. Scenarios

Evacuation travel time estimates are prepared to serve as a guide for local emergency coordinators in refining their emergency response plans, and as an aid to local officials in selecting protective actions during an emergency. Evacuation travel time estimates were prepared by ERPA for eight distinct time-based scenarios and two distinct weather conditions for inclusion in the Oswego County REPP. These estimates assumed a simultaneous evacuation of the entire EPZ. The eight scenarios included in the emergency preparedness plan are listed below in order of increasing evacuation travel time as explained in Section V::

- Nighttime

0 Weekend/Holiday winter, daytime
o Weekend/Holiday summer, daytime
0. Evening

0 Classic Weekend
o . Weekday, school not in session
o Weekday, school in session
0 Harborfest
These time-based scenarios were chosen and analyzed for the emergency preparedness plan because they cover all significantly different patterns of population distribution and transportation availability. Hence, the decision maker is provided with a tool for deciding the travel time required to simultaneously evacuate the entire EPZ under two different weather conditions and at different times of the day. These evacuation travel times are shown by ERPA in Appendix $G$.

The travel time estimates presented in this section of the report are for the specific $90^{\circ}$ Sectors required in NUREG-0654, as well as for the entire EPZ (Sector M). A :detailed description of the above scenarios is described below:

Nighttime Scenario - The baseline scenario is nighttime, when most people in the general population are in their residences, institutions have minimal staff on duty, and relatively few businesses are functioning. This scenario is considered to be representative of the resident population distribution. Nighttime differences among days of the week and seasons are not regarded as large enough to warrant a separate designation.

Weekend days and holidays - Weekend days and holidays are similar to each other, different from the patterns so far discussed, and different for winter and summer. During these times families tend to be together, and recreational activities predominate. Thus winter and summer weekend days and holidays are designated as two separate scenarios. Seasonal patterns for spring and fall do not need to be considered separately, but can be subsumed under winter or summer. The main differences are expected to be in terms of park and camp attendance and facility usage (peaks in summer) and other seasonal activities.

Evenings - This time of day is substantially different for institutions, especially medical institutions, because of staffing levels. This scenario is only somewhat different from nights for the general population because of a tendency to be away from home (shopping, entertainment, etc.). As with nights, weekday-weekend and seasonal
differences for the evening scenario are not expected to be large for either the general population or institutions.

Classic Weekend - Classic Weekend is an annual event which is held at the Oswego Speedway over the Labor Day weekend. This scenario is similar to that of a summer weekend when the local campground and park attendance is near its peak. The peak time period of activity for the event occurs during Sunday afternoon, the final day of racing.

Weekday School-not-in-Session Scenario - The Weekday School-not-in-Session Scenario is identical to the Weekday School-in-Session Scenario except that the schools are closed. For evacuation purposes, this scenario would occur during the summer months when the children could be at many of the areawide beaches, campgrounds, or parks. This scenario would result in additional vehicles evacuating a dispersed population in the EPZ and hence, the longest potential evacuation times under the Weekday School-not-in-Session Scenario.

Weekday School-in-Session Scenario - Weekdays are characterized by "normal" activity patterns. Most households have at least one member at work. Institutions are usually at their maximum staffing levels, businesses are usually open and active, and children are in school. This scenario, as opposed to a Weekday School-not-in Session Scenario, significantly affects bus transportation needs and usage as well as Reception/Congregate Care Center activities because of the greater potential need to reunite families who have been evacuated by different means and from different locations.

Harborfest - Harborfest is held annually over a four day period in July. The event is typically held between Thursday and Sunday with a majority of its events centered around Oswego Harbor. The peak activity period during the Harborfest festivities occurs during Saturday night when fireworks are displayed. This event draws a large number of attendees from outside the EPZ. In addition, it also draws a number of boats to the Harbor area.

## B. Weather Conditions

NUREG-0654 stipulates that two weather conditions, normal and adverse, be considered in the evacuation travel time analysis. Therefore, both the nighttime (minimum evacuation travel time estimate) and weekday school-in-session (maximum evacuation travel time estimate, excluding Harborfest) scenarios were analyzed assuming the following weather conditions:
o For normal weather, clear sky and dry roadway pavement;
0. For adverse weather, reduced visibility during the summertime (e.g., due to fog, severe thunderstorms resulting in heavy rain or localized flooding) and during the wintertime, a slippery roadway surface (e.g., due to plowed snow or ice).

The effects of these weather conditions on the roadway capacities, and hence the evacuation travel time estimates, have been discussed earlier in Section III.A.1.

## C. Trip Generation

For each traffic zone included in a given $90^{\circ}$ Sector, the number of evacuation trips generated by that zone was estimated by trip type. The number of trips varied significantly by scenario. For example, for the weekday school-in-session scenario, large numbers of evacuation trips were attributable to transient employees working in the EPZ. However, for the nighttime scenario, this same trip type (employees) was much less significant because most businesses would be closed.

The number of trips from a given traffic zone was based on population and vehicle occupancy data. For example, if a zone has a nursing home with 120 ambulatory and 15 whee!chair-bound residents, and if the facility owns one 10-passenger wheelchair van, then five vehicle trips would be generated by the nursing home (three buses provided by a bus company with 40 passengers each, one facility-owned van, and one other 5 passenger wheelchair van provided by a bus company).

Vehicle trips generated by each zone were then converted to passenger car equivalents (PCEs) for traffic assignment purposes. Buses were weighted as the equivalent of two cars, since their primary impact would be one of increased roadway space during a slow, congested evacuation condition.

## D. Traffic Assignments

The assignment of the evacuation vehicles generated by each traffic zone over designated evacuation routes was performed by a computer model developed specifically for evacuation planning studies. The model loads the network and computes the travel and delay times for all zones being analyzed in any given Sector.

A static traffic assignment procedure which assumes instantaneous loading of the evacuation network and concurrent vehicular demand on all roadway segments is incorporated in the computer model. This procedure is not an exact simulation of vehicle movement during an evacuation or any other travel situation; however, the static traffic assignment results were compared to those obtained from a dynamic trafic simulation model for a sample number of routes in the Indian Point EPZ (located in parts of Westchester, Rockland, Orange, and Putnam Counties in the State of New York), and were found to be very similar. A detailed description of the static traffic assignment aigorithm, and the results of the comparison between static and dynamic assignment is presented in Appendix 1.

## E. Notification Time

The JAF/NMP EPZ is served by a siren notification system that meets the acceptable design objectives specified in Appendix 3 of NUREG-0654. Tone alert radios are provided to private residences within the EPZ located in areas out of the siren range. In addition, all schools, special facilities, and major industries in the EPZ have been provided with tone alert radios. The Oswego County REPP contains backup notification procedures such as route alerting in the event of a siren/tone alert system malfunction.

## F. Components of the Evacuation Travel Time

The estimates of evacuation travel time include the following components:
Public Preparation Time: Twenty minutes are assumed to be required for the public to prepare for evacuation after official notification to leave their homes.

Terminal Time - The terminal time for vehicles departing from home represents the time to drive via local residential streets and collector roads in a traffic zone to the first link of the predesignated primary evacuation route. For bus routes, terminal time is comprised of both traveling time and loading time at pickup points for transit dependant people.

Roadway Travel Time - The roadway travel time is the amount of time required for all vehicles to traverse the entire length of their evacuation route to the edge of the 10 mile EPZ. This time depends on both normal operating speeds on the road and on delays due to congestion (where the vehicle volumes approach or exceed the capacity of the roadway at a particular location). Hence; the roadway travel time is the amount of time beginning when the first vehicle enters the evacuation route, assuming normal operating speeds, until the last vehicle leaves the sector, taking into account reduced speeds attributable to congestion.

Round Trip Time - For vehicles required to make multiple trips to and from the evacuating area, round trip time represents the time to travel beyond the EPZ to a predesignated host facility or reception center, return to the evacuating area for a second assignment, leave the EPZ, and load and unload passengers at terminal points. This round trip time is particularly important for the school-in-session scenario because schoolchildren would be evacuated first by buses to the New York State Fairgrounds in Syracuse. Enough buses exist to evacuate all transit dependent people out of the EPZ in one-wave during all of the evacuation scenarios; however, a sufficient number of ambulances do not exist to evacuate non-ambulatory residents in one-wave. Nonambulatory residents at several nursing homes in the City of Oswego as well as people who require evacuation by ambulance would be required to wait for wheelchair-equipped vehicles and ambulances to complete initial assignments during an evacuation.

It should be noted that the evacuation travel time estimates do not include preparation time required to mobilize bus and ambulance personnel and equipment, traffic control personnel, and the Coast Guard. These activities generally take place within one to two hours before an order to evacuate is given.

When school is in session, there are enough buses available to evacuate all transit-dependent ambulatory individuals (i.e., schoolchildren, resident population and transients without automobiles, and special facility residents) in one trip. This determination is based on a worst-case assumption of a simultaneous full-EPZ evacuation when schools are open. The Oswego County REPP contains procedures intended to minimize the likelihood of such an occurrence, such as go-home plans and sheltering options. In the event an evacuation is considered during the normal school dismissal time, the time frame required for students to return home is on average 3 hours. However, for the purpose of this study, the following steps were assumed in the calculation of evacuation travel time estimates (including round-trip time) for a school-insession scenario:

1. School districts use their full-sized buses to evacuate schools in their districts as a first priority.
2. All elementary schools, middle schools, private schools, nursery schools, and day care centers are evacuated with district-owned and contracted vehicles.
A. Central Square Central School District buses assist in evaciuating schools within the City School District of Oswego because enough district-owned vehicles are not available.
B. Phoenix Central School District buses assist in evacuating schools within the Mexico Academy and Central Schools because enough district-owned vehicles are not available.
C. BOCES self-evacuates with its own vehicles with assistance from Phoenix Central School District buses.
3. School district-owned vans are used to run general population bus routes in ERPAS near JAF/NMP.
4. Centro of Oswego and Oswego County Oppoitunity vehicles are used to run general population bus routes.
5. A \& E medical vehicles assist in the evacuation of hospitals.
6. Centro of Syracuse vehicles are used to help evacuate the SUNY Campus in Oswego.
7. The evacuation travel times assume that people who require the use of a walker are transported from the nursing home or hospital to the evacuation buses with the use of a wheelchair and placed in an available seat.

A total of 4,000 students would need to be evacuated from the dormitories located on the SUNY Campus. These students would be evacuated on buses supplied by Centro of Syracuse as well as in registered on-campus vehicles of students who reside in the dormitories.

According to the Oswego REPP, eighteen buses are available to the SUNY Campus in the eveñt of an emergency. Assuming the buses can accommodate 66 passengers per bus, the buses would be able to evacuate 1,188 students from the campus dormitories.

There are also 1,219 registered student vehicles on the SUNY campus which belong to students residing in dormitories. According to campus security, these vehicles remain on campus virtually all of the time. Assuming that each vehicle would evacuate three passengers, the student registered vehicles could evacuate 3,657 students. This assumption is valid as the students who reside in the high density campus dormitories, live with roommates and tend to act as a family unit. The combined capacity of the supplied buses and student vehicles is 4,845 passengers which exceeds the student population of 4,000 . Moreover the remaining student population, faculty and staff commute to the Campus either by vehicle or walking. These people would therefore evacuate using their own vehicle or rideshare. Those that walk to the Campus are included in the general population for the City of Oswego thus, they are included either in the general population with auto or transit dependent populations.

## G. Evacuation Travel Time Estimates

The results of the evacuation travel time analysis described in this report are presented by Sector in Tables 13, 14, 15, and 16 for the nighttime normal weather, nighttime adiverse weather, school-in-session normal weather, and school-in-session
adverse weather scenarios, respectively. The travel time estimates are presented for the following population subgroups (as defined earier):

- Permanent resident population with automobiles;
- Permanent resident population without automobiles;
- Special faciilities population; and
- Transient population.

The evacuation travel time estimates calculated for the JAF/NMP EPZ are in accordance with the implementation procedures and other operational strategies indicated in the Oswego County REPP. The implementation procedures include provisions such as predesignated evacuation'routes for all ERPAS, prioritized traffic control locations, and bus routes with pickup points for the public.

As mentioned earlier, travel times were calculated as a range under normal weather conditions. When deciding which end of the range to use to estimate evacuation travel time, a decision maker would consider factors including the degree of mobilization, the degree of public cooperation, the extent of capacity restrictions on key highway links, weather, and roadway conditions.

Lower-bound evacuation travel times (shorter times) can be anticipated when:
(a) Unexpected long-term capacity restrictions on key highway links owing to incidents such as accidents, vehicle breakdowns, and highway construction do not occur;
(b) A high state of operational readiness (traffic control officers mobilized, traffic control devices operational, all buses stationed to begin their initial runs, etc.) is attained;
(c) An informed and cooperative public follows directions as instructed. (In other words, the public has been sufficiently educated as to their responsibility in an evacuation, and has been given adequate notice of the possibility they may have to evacuate.)

Upper-bound evacuation travel times (longer times) for normal weather conditions are representative of a situation where:
(a) Capacity restrictions resulting from light rain or snow showers adversely affect traffic flow, but not to the point where a breakdown in tratic flow would result;
(b) A low state of operational readiness results from minimal mobilization of the emergency workforce;
(c) A low degree of cooperation from the public occurs. (In other words, the public is believed to be unsure as to what is expected of them.)

The evacuation travel times represent the time for the last vehicle in a Sector to clear the Sector boundary.
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## H. Confirmation Time

Confirmation of evacuation will be provided, to the extent possible, by law enforcement and other assigned emergency workers concurrent with their patrolling of the EPZ during evacuation. The City of Oswego estimates it will take about 2 - hours to confirm evacuation using police patrol cars and visual inspection. As discussed earlier in Section III.E., confirmation time can take from 2-3 hours during periods of calm weather and up to $6-8$ hours during periods of inclement weather.

## I. Distribution of the Evacuated Population by Time

The time required to evacuate the last Individual from a Sector is an important piece of information for an emergency planner and decision maker. Obviously, everyone else will already have been evacuated when the last person leaves; thus, it is also important to obtain an estimate of the percent of the population evacuated as a function of time.

An output of the model used to estimate travel times is a prediction of the temporal distribution of the population as they leave the evacuating area. To produce this output, an approximation was made of the total population evacuated by Sector for each scenario by applying average vehicle occupancy rates to the number of vehicle trips generated by each traffic zone within the Sector. When a traffic zone had evacuated entirely at a given point in time, the estimated population for that zone was added to the Sector population already evacuated. The resulting total was then divided by the total Sector population to determine the percent of the total population evacuated as a function of time.

Typical population distribution curves for the entire $10-$ mile EPZ (Sector M) are presented in Figures 24 through 31 for the eight evacuation scenarios which were simulated under normal and adverse weather conditions. Inspection of these curves indicates that significant portions of the total population would be evacuated well before the last person leaves the EPZ.

## J. Critical Locations

The Oswego County REPP calls for the stationing of traffic control personnel at key locations throughout the evacuation network. The public transportation agencies located within the EPZ estimate that it takes approximately 1 hour to mobilize these check point personnel. The REPP also identifies backup evacuation routes for roadway segments likely to become congested. One of the factors which determined where to place the personnel and where to specify backup routes was based on an output from the computer assignment model that identified critical bottleneck links along each route in the network. These critical links represent the locations of potential maximum delays for evacuees traversing that route. Figures 32 and 33 indicate the critical links for the nighttime and school-in-session scenarios, respectively. Both figures are a composite representation of the critical links identified for an evacuation of all 13 sectors described earlier in this report.

## TABLE 13

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY SECTOR NIGHTTIME SCENARIO

 NORMAL WEATHER| Sector <br> 2-Mile Radius | Quadrant | Resident Poprdation |  | Special Facilities | Transiems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | With Autos | Withont Autos |  |  |
|  |  | From-To | From-To | From - To | From - To |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | NE | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40 0:50 | - - | 0:40-0:40 |
| B | SE | 0:40- 0:40 | 0:40-0:50 | - - | 0:40-0:40 |
| C | SW | 0:40- 0:40 | 0:40 0:50 | - - - | 0:40-0:40 |
| D | NW | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40 0:50 | - - | 0:40- 0:40 |
| 5-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | NE | 0:50-0:50 | 0:50 1:00 | - - | 0:50-0:50 |
| F | SE | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:50-0:50 |
| G | SW | 0:50-2:00 | 1:00-1:50 | - - | 0:50-1:50 |
| H | NW | 0:50-0:50 | 0:50 1:00 | - - | 0:50-0:50 |
| 10-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | NE | 1:00-1:00 | 1:00 1:10 | - - | 1:00-1:00 |
| J | SE | 1:10-2:10 | 1:20-2:20 | 1:10-1:10 | 1:00-2:10 |
| K | SW | 2:40- 4:00 | 3:00-4:30 | 10:50-11:40 | 2:40-4:00 |
| $L$ | NW | 1:00-1:00 | 1:00 1:10 | -11:40 | 1:00-1:00 |
| $360^{\circ} \mathrm{EPZ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | AL | 2:40-4:00 | 3:00-4:30 | 11:20-12:30 | 2:40-4:00 |

Notes:
(1) The evacuation travel time ranges preserted in this Table are based on opertional strategies indietad in the ovecuation implementation procedures. Lower bound wacuation trivel times (aborter times) ean be artieipated when:
(a) Unexpected long-term capacity restrictions on key highway links owing to incidents sueh as tecidenta, vehiele breakdowns, and highwey corntruction, do not oeeur;
(b) A high of operational readiness fraffic control efficers mobilized, tranic control devices operational, all busee rationed to begin their initial runsl is athined;
(c) An informad and cooperative public follow direetions as instructed.
(d) Dry roedway conditions mist

Upper bound evacuation travel times fonger timest are represertutive of a sinution whera:
(a) Capacily restrictions adversoly aftect traffic flow, but not to the poirt where a breakdown in trafice flow would rauth;
(b) A low strate of epprational readiness resulte from minimal mobificuion of the emergency wordoroe;
(c) A low degree of coopertion from the public eeeurs.
(d) A light rain or snow shower results in wit pevernert
(4) The evacuation travel time ranges are indieated as hoursminutes, and include 20 minutes of public preparation time.
(3) The poputation subgroups indieated in this Table are: -
(a) reaident population (with and without automobiles);
(b) special fecilities (sehook, colleges, nursing homet, hoapirnh, other health eare facillises, residertial facilition aueh as group homes, corverts, and monasteries):
(c) transients (employees, visitors to parts, resident and day campe, hotels, and metais).
(4) Gape in this Table indieates that there is ne speeial facilly or transiemt population in the given Seftor.
(5) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(8) Special facility ovecuation travel times include the fime for the multi-wave trips to ovecuate the non-anbulatory population who require transport by ambulanee.

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY SECTOR NIGHTTIME SCENARIO <br> ADVERSE WEATHER

| Resident Popuation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector | Quadram | With Autos | Without Autos | Soecial Facillies | Transients |
| 2-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | NE | 0:40 | 0:50 | - | 0:40 |
| B | SE | 0:40 | 0:50 | - | 0:40 |
| C | SW | 0:40 | 0:50 | - | 0:40 |
| D | NW | 0:40 | 0:50 | - | 0:40 |
| 5-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | NE | 1:00 | 1:10 | - | 1:00 |
| F | SE | 1:00 | 1:10 | 1:00 | 1:00 |
| G | SW | 2:20 | 2:10 | $\cdots$ | 2:20 |
| H | NW | 1:00 | 1:10 | - . | 1:00 |
| 10-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | NE | 1:10 | 1:20 | - | 1:10 |
| $J$ | SE | 2:40 | 2:50 | 1:20 | 2:30 |
| $K$ | SW | 5:00 | 5:20 | 13:40 | 5:00 |
| $L$ | NW | 1:10 | 1:20 $\cdots$ | - | 1:10 |
| $360^{\circ} \mathrm{EPZ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| . $M$ | ALI | 5:00 | 5:20 | 14:40 | 5:00 |

Notes:
(1) The evacuation travel time ranges prosented in this Table are besed on operdional strategies indieated in the ovecuation implementation procedures.
(2) The ovacuation trevel time renges aro indieated is hoursminutes, and include 20 minubes of public preparation time.
(3) Adverse whather conditions are considersed to be a stippery roadway surtace ( 0.0 , due to snow or ieo), and/or radueed visibility ( $0 . g$. dut to fog, heavy min, or a esvere thundertorm which may ereate trutic dispuptions as a resut of downed trees or powerlines).
(4) The population subgroupe indieated in this Table ara:
(a) resident population (with and without attomobiles):
(b) specia faciltien (schools, colleges, nursing homes, houphats, other health eare facilties, meidertial faellious auch as group homes, corvents, and monasteries):
(c) transients (employees, visitort to parks, reaident and day earnpa, hotels, and motela).
(5) Gape in this Table incliestes that there is no special tecitity or trasiert population in the given Secter.
(B) Al times have been rounded to the neerest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility ovacuation trval times include the tirne for the mulli-wave tipe to evecutte the non-ambulatory populetion who require trassport by ambulanes.

## TABLE 15

EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY SECTOR SCHOOL-IN-SESSION SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER


## Notes:

(1) The evacuation travel time ranges presemed in this Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the evacuation implemertation procedures. Lower bound evacuation trevel times (shortor times) can be antieipated when:
(a) Unexpected long-term eapacity restrictions on key highway links owing to incidarts eueh ass aceidentivehicle breakdowns, and highway construetion, do not oceur;
(b) A high state of operational readiness freffic cortrol ofticers mobilized, trafic control devices operational, all buses stationed so begin their initial nums) is atmined;
(c) An informed and cooperaive public follow directions es instrueted.
(d) Dry roadway conditions exist

Upper bound evecuation travel times (longer simea) are representsive of a stumion whera:
(a) Capecity restrictions adversely aflect trefic flow, but not to the point where a breakdown in trutic flow would reeuti
(b) A low state of operational readinens results from minimal mobilization of the emergency wotdoree;
(c) A low degree of cooperation from the public oceurs.
(d) A light rain or anow shower reauls in wet pavernent
(2) The evacuation travel time ranges are indicated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of publie preparation time.
(3) The poputation subgroups indiented in this Table are:
(a) residert population (with and without automobiles);
 group homes. comvents, and monasteries):
(c) transients (employees, visitors to parks, resident and day eampe, hotels, and motele).
(4) Gaps in this Table indicates that there is no specid facility or transient popudation in the given Sector.
(5) All times have been rounded to the neareat 10 minutes.
(6) Spesial fecility evacuation travel timest include the time for the muliwave trips to evacuate the non-mabuimory population who require transport by embulance.

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY SECTOR SCHOOL-IN-SESSION SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER

| Sector | Resident Poputation |  |  | Special Facilities | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quadrant | with Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| 2-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | NE | 3:00 | 3:10 | - | 3:00 |
| B | SE | 3:00 | 3:00 | - | 3:00 |
| C | SW | 3:00 | 3:10 | - | 3:00 |
| D | NW | 3:00 | 3:10 | - . | 3:00 |
| 5-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | NE | 3:10 | 3:20 | ${ }^{-}$ | 3:10 |
| F | SE | 4:00 | 4:10 | 1:00 | 4:00 |
| G | SW | 3:50 | 4:00 | - | 3:50 |
| H | NW | 3:10 | 3:20 | - | 3:10 |
| 10-Mile Radius |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | NE | 3:10 | 3:20 | - | 3:10 |
| $\therefore \mathrm{J}$ | SE | 4:30 | 4:40 | 2:50 | 4:30 |
| K | SW | 9:00 | 9:10 | 18:30 | 9:00 |
| L | NW | 3:10 | 3:20 | .- | 3:10 |
| $360^{\circ} \mathrm{EPZ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | A | 9:00 | 9:10 | 18:30 | 9:00 |

Notes:
(1) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Teble are besed on operational strategies indieated in the evecuation implemertation procedures.
(4) The ovacuation travel tine ranges are indieated as hoursininutes, and include 20 minutes of public preparation time.
(3) Adverse weather conditions are considered to be a slippery seactwy mirlace (e.g. due to snow or ice), and/or recivend visibility ( $0 . g$., due to fog, heavy rain, or a sovere thundersterm which mey erente tratie dieruptions as a reun of downed trees or powerlines).
(4) The population subgroupe indieated in this Table are:
(a) resident population (with and without antomobiles):
(b) special facillies (sehools, colleges, nurting homes, houpitals, other health care fecillies, reaidential facilliee such es group homes, corvents, and monacteries);
(c) tranaionts (employees, visitors to parks, residert and day eamps, hetels, and metels).
(5) Gape in this Table indieates thet there is no special tecilly of trarsient pepuletion in the given Sector.
(6) All times heve been rouncied to the nearest 10 minutes.
(n) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the muli-wave tripe to evacule the nen-mbulatery pepulation who require transpent by ambulance.


FIGURE 25
Evacuation Travel Time Estimates
James A. FitzPatrick/Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Stations School-Not-In-Session Scenario
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## V. COMPARISON TO EARLER EVACUATION TIME ESTIMATES

Evacuation travel time estimates have been prepared for eight specific scenarios. These scenarios are listed below in order of increasing evacuation travel time:

- Nighttime
- Weekend/Holiday winter, daytime
o Weekend/Holiday summer, daytime
o Evening
- Classic Weekend
- Weekday, school-not-in-session
- Weekday, school-in-session
- Harborfest

The evacuation travel times vary for each scenario because the number of vehicles required to evacuate the different population groups within the EPZ varies for each scenario. For example, the Nighttime Scenario requires the fewest number of vehicles to evacuate the population because the majority of the population is at home, most businesses are closed, and institutions have a minimal staff on duty. In essence, the number of vehicles evacuating the EPZ is small because for the most part, only the permanent resident population is being evacuated. Hence, the nighttime scenario is evacuated in the shortest amount of time.

On the other side of the spectrum, the Harborfest Scenario requires the longest amount of time to evacuate. When compared to the Nighttime Scenario, approximately 100,000 people are estimated to require evacuation during the fireworks display on Saturday night from the EPZ. This substantial population size involves more vehicles for evacuation purposes than any other scenario. Additional vehicles on the evacuation roadway network ultimately result in additional traffic congestion and longer evacuation times.

The other six scenarios result in evacuation times which fall somewhere between the nighttime and Harborfest scenarios. Similarly, the evacuation times vary with the number of vehicles evacuating the EPZ. For example, during the weekend scenarios, parks, campgrounds, and beaches are open to the public. During evening hours, the permanent resident population tends to be away from home as they may be shopping or attending entertainment venues. During the Classic Weekend, additional vehicles for those attending this event are in the EPZ. The weekday school-in-session and school-not-in-session scenarios see an increase in the transient population when compared to the weekday, evening, and nightime scenarios. These additional activities result in more vehicles on the evacuation roadway network which again, increases evacuation times.

The evacuation travel time estimates prepared for this report were compared to the time estimates included in the earlier 1984 update. In general, the evacuation travel times for Sector $M$ for all evacuation scenarios have increased. These increases are attributable in part to many factors including:
0. An increase in EPZ empioyment estimates

- An increase in average household automobile ownership within the EPZ
- Changes to the methodology and subsequent capacities of roadways within the EPZ to conform to the current 1985 Highway Capacity Manual.

The permanent resident population within the EPZ has decreased overall from an estimated 43,349 in 1984 to an estimated 42,597 in 1991; however, there was a major
population increase along the western side of the Oswego River within Traffic Zone 13b. Consequently, the evacuation travel time estimates for ERPA 13 have increased to the point where it results in longer evacuation travel times for the EPZ as a whole under all simulated evacuation scenarios.

New York State Department of Labor statistics show that employment has also increased within the EPZ. The increase in employment results in additional vehicles on the evacuation roadway network and increased travel times. In addition, the evacuation of some non-essential employees from the JAF/NMP facility is included in the evacuation travel time estimates.

There are an additional 607 registered 'automobiles owned by the permanent resident population in the EPZ in 1991 when compared with the 1984 update estimates. Some of these additional vehicles are the result of more multi-vehicle ownership residents which now reside in the EPZ; therefore, not all of these vehicles will be added to the vehicle estimates for evacuation time estimate purposes.

Last of all, there has been a complete revision to the engineering methodology which estimates vehicular capacities of roadways. In 1985, a new Highway Capacity Manual was published by the Transportation Research Board which replaced the standards outlined in the 1965 Highway Capacity Manual. As a result, roadway capacities for the evacuation roadway network within the EPZ are different in the 1991 update than they were for the 1984 update. Since these roadway capacities are directly related to modeling traffic flow and estimating travel.times, a change in evacuation travel times is likely to occur. Appendix F outlines methodologies contained in the 1985 Highway Capacity Manual which were used in this report.
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## APPENDIXA

## EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING AREAS:

DEFINITION OF BOUNDARIES AND
1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION ESTIMATES


## EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING AREAS:

 <br> \section*{DEFINITION OF BOUNDARIES AND <br> \section*{DEFINITION OF BOUNDARIES AND <br> 1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT AND TRANSIENT POPULATION ESTIMATES}The plume exposure pathway Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) for the site has been subdivided into 29 discrete Emergency Response Planning Areas (ERPAS) as shown in Figure A-1. Estimates of 1991 permanent resident population by ERPA are included in Table A-1. The boundaries of the various ERPAs are described below:

## ERPA 1

 the East; Minor Rd. to the South; Bayshore, and Lakeview Rds. to the west.ERPA 2

ERPA 3

ERPA 4

ERPA 5

ERPA 6

ERPA 7

ERPA 8

ERPA 9

ERPA 10

ERPA 11

ERPA 12
ERPA 13

Lake Ontario on the North; Shore Oaks Drive to the East; County Rte. 1 on the South; and to just west of County Rte. 29 between Miner and North Rds. to the West.
to the Lake View and Miner Rds. on the North; just east of County Rte. 29 to the West.

Lake Ontario on the North; Demster Beach Drive, County Rte 6 and 6A to the East; US Rte 104 on the South; Shore Oaks Dr., County Rte. 1, and to just west of Woolson and Dennis Rds. to the West.

County Rte. 1 on the North; just west of Woolson and Dennis Rds. to the East; U.S. Rte. 104 on the South; and Creamery Rd. to the West.

The road just east of the Alcan Plant and Co. Rte 1A on the North; Creamery Rd. to the East; U.S. Rte. 104 on the South; and County Rte. 63 to the West.

Lake Ontario on the North; just west of Mexico Pt. between County Rte. 43 and Ladd Rds. to the East; U.S. Rte 104 on the South; and County Rte. 6 and Demster Beach Drive to the West.
U.S. Rte. 104 on the North; just east of and Green Rd. to the East; the intersection of Johnson and Craw Res in Vermillion on the South; and County Rte. 6 to the West. U.S. Rte. 104 on the North; County Rte. 6 to the East; just North
Taplan Drive on the South; and to just west of Co. Rte. 51 to the West. County P. Rte. 104 on the North; just east of Co. Rte. 51 to the East; County Rte. 4 on the South; and Klocks Corners Rd to the West.
U.S. Rte. 104 on the North; Klocks Corner Rd. to the East; County Rte. 4 on the South; and City Line Rd. to the West. The City of Oswego, East of the Oswego River.

The City of Oswego West of the Oswego River.

$$
\text { A- } 2
$$

ERPA 14

ERPA 15

ERPA 16
ERPA 17

ERPA 18

ERPA 19

ERPA 20

ERPA 21

ERPA 22

ERPA 23
ERPA 24

ERPA 25

ERPA 26
ERPA 27
ERPA 28
ERPA 29

County Rte. 5 (Just past the bridge in Port Ontario) on the North; N.Y. Rte. 13, Manwaring Rd. and just east of S. Daysville Rd. to the East; Sherman Rd. on the South; and Lake Ontario to the West.

Just north of the intersection of Rte. 104B, N.Y. Rte. 3 and Sherman Rd. on the North; Sherman, Spath and Smithers Rd. to the East; U.S. Rte 104, excluding the village of Mexico on the South; the intersection of George Rd. and U.S. Rte. 104, and just west of Mexico Pt., between County Rte. 43 and Ladd Rds. to the West.

## The Village of Mexico.

U.S. Rte. 104 and the southern boundary of Village of Mexico, on the North; Emery, Stone, Larson and Pumphouse Rds. to the East; Gillette Rd. on the South; to just east of and Green Rd. to the West.

Just below County Rte. 51, just above Taplan Dr., and the intersections of Johnson and Craw Rds. on the North; N.Y. Rte. 3, County Rte. 4, and County Rte. 35 to the East; Clifford Rd. on the South; Baldwin, Silk, and just east of O'Connor Rds. to the West.

County Rte. 4 on the North; just east of Silk Rd. to the East; just above County Rte. 45, (intersecting with County Rte. 53), Myers, black Creek, and Paddy.Lake Rds. on the South; the Oswego River to the West.

Just above Co. Rte. 45, (intersecting with County Rte. 53), Myers, Black Creek, and Paddy Lake Rds. on the North; Silk, and Baldwin Rds. to the East; Hawk and Rowlee Rds. on the South; the Oswego River to the West:

Oswego City Line on the North; the Oswego River to the East, Hickory Grove Rd. on the South; Ridge, Furniss and County Rte. 25 to the West.

Lake Ontario on the North; County Rte. 7, Byer Rd., and County Rte. 25 to the East; Furniss and Tug Hill Rds. on the South; Bunker Hill Rd. and Maple Ave. to just west of Crestwood. Dr. to the West.

Oswego River within the Oswego City Limits.
Oswego River south of the Oswego City Limits to Lock \#5 in Minetto.

Rd.
Oswego River south of Lock \#5 in Minetto North to Hickory Grove

Portion of Lake Ontario within 5 miles and West of the plants.
Portion of Lake Ontario within 5 miles and East of the plants.
Portion of Lake Ontario between 5 and 10 miles West of the plants.
Portion of Lake Ontario between 5 and 10 miles East of the plants.

## TABLE A-1

1991 PERMANENT RESIDENT AND TRANSIENT POPULATION ESTIMATES EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING AREAS

| EmERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING AREA | $\text { PERMANENT } \frac{199}{R E}$ | ATES <br> TRANSIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 148 | 1,558 |
| 2 : | 459 | 60 |
| 3 : | 301 | 0 |
| 4 | 762 | 464 |
| 5 | 824 | 14 |
| 6 | 1,028 | 698 |
| 7 | 689 | 337 |
| 8 | 706 | 91 |
| 9 | 513 | 6881895 |
| 10 | 970 | 1,651 |
| 11 | 1,652 | 1,14 |
| 12 | 8,428 | 5,163 3,341 |
| 13 | 10,882 | 2,534 |
| 15 | 1,153 | 780 |
| 16 | 1,497 | 378 |
| 17 | 713 | 70 |
| 18 | 1,055 | 78 |
| 19 | 1,246 | 75 |
| 20 = | 1,605 | 312 |
| 21 | 1,953 | 802 |
| 22 | 5,905 | 476 |
| TOTAL | 42.597 | 19.005 |
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## APPENDIX $B$

LISTING OF SPECIAL FACILITIES IN THE EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE

## OSWEGO SPECIAL FACILITIES

## CAMPGROUNDS



$$
z-g
$$

| 000 $100001 / 0821 / 1000 \%$ | 01200114 mm 012010111 P1 0rze9911 यd |  | zzze-10с новарие" я яинор <br>  scss-lice-sic urifi w smu이 <br>  | 2 | Qzici Mó Mon 'odemso <br> 00 еме 10 © 0 OHOD XNTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ulMniejumastids SLINGORLS inadisge |  KLTIDVA/AdVLS |  dAVLS/LNECALS ONLLIWWOS | SNOSUEd LDYLNO | Vdeds | ssayadar inollbjot |

OSWEGO SPECIAL FACILITIES COIRRECTIONAL FACILITIES

| LOCATION/ ADDRESS | ERPA | CONTACT PERSONS | $\qquad$ * DETAINEES <br> MAXIMUMAVERAOE | STAFIINO <br> DAY EVENING NIGIIT WEEKENDS | AVAILABLE EMEROENCY: TRANSPORTATION SERVICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oswopo County Sivelli's Dapt n.0. 14. Dox 5 <br> The. 481, al Inlerrecilon of CN 5 y Oswopo, Now York 13126 | 12 | Recul Todd, Undershertit frome 343-1697 dey 343-2900 lorace Holdor, Corr. Suporvisor fome 592-5424 day 343-6969 | 96 | 7 - 5 - 6 | 2 vaticlen hold a capactily of it |

OSWIEGO SPIECIAL IFACILITIES
DAYCARE CLENTERS

B-4

OSWEGO SPECIAL FACILITIES
IIEALTIICARE FACILITIES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 区 } \\ & 0 \\ & < \\ & \text { un } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | NIGITT | R EVA REQU AMB 8. | DENT <br> ATION <br> MENTS <br> ON-AMB. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $174$ $140$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 33 \\ (11: 00 \\ P M \end{array}-7: 00 \mathrm{ANM}\right)$ | 74 | 22 Strenchore 52 Wrooktheh |
|  |  |  |  | ' 28 $24$ | $13$ $11$ | $8$ | none | now |
|  |  |  |  | 60 12 | 13 2 | 0 | nowe | none |
| $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { - NOTE: } \begin{aligned} & \text { NMD. }=\text { NMBULATOTR } \\ & \text { NON-NMD. }=\text { NON-AMBULATORT } \end{aligned}$ |  | . |  |  |  |  | - |

OSWEGO SPECIAL FACILITIES NURSING IIOMES


MON-MBB= MON-AMBULATOIT

Os . EGO SPECIAL FACILITIES PARKS/BEACIIES



B-8

OSWEGO SPECIAL Finchities SCIIOOLS


OSWEGO SPECIAL FACILITIES
SCIIOOLS

| LOCATION/ ADDRESS | ERPA \% | CONTACl PEISONS | ENROLLMENT | liaciltiyf ADMIN: STAITF | SESSION ilours |  | STUDENT DRIVERS | CAR-POOL STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now Haven Efomentary Bchool Route 194 Now Haven, Now Yoik 1312 | 4 | Pn. Aobert MeCruder tes-7031 Ann Pho - Pihelpel Fanny Yablonkiti - Almer Houri | 300 | 30 | 3:00 AM - 2.00 PM | - | N/A | $\xrightarrow[\text { ma }]{\text { anchen }}$ |
| Owwego Hloh Schoot 2 Bucennoer Boviovind Oowogo, Now Yokh 13124 | 13 | Fiki Hevoll 3a1-5009 Pontd Cowell 341-5060 | 1437 | 1108 Yousen |  Sid 7:18-9 PM | 31 | $\infty$ | 210 |
| Osmego Moddle School Mark Filaghbens Orive Onwago, Now York 13126 | 13 | Edwaid Molton, Pincipal 341-3857 <br> finmes MeAlimity, V. Pinclpal - 341-6003 | 026 | po Admhfreculysian ond 12 clowterl and Puslodin Emptoyme | P:15 AM - 2:15 PM | 14 | N/A | wn |
| Patorme Elemenlay Behool Co. Fite 45 Fuhon, New Yoth 13006 | - | Pr. Foberl MeCruder 203-7031 pr. Ebephen Molten 300-2120 | 35 | * | P:00.AM - 3.00 PM | - | n/A | N/A |
| 91. Mery' Bchool 74 West Bh 8treal Onwego, Now Yahk 13120 | 13 |  | $\begin{aligned} & k-80 \text { ally }-139 \\ & \text { Fresichaod i4 }-24 \end{aligned}$ | 14 | P:00 AM - 9:00 PM | 9 | m/A | N/A |
| 31. Paurb Acadomy 15 Eant Fmh Blieel Owego, Now Yath 1312e | 12 | Bhalet Marla Holiman, Polvelpel p43-0700 or hame 343-ess! Fian Imen, Bec. 343-0700 er heme. p42-0171 | poo Proschool-GA - etch Kay Prognem i2-29 prudente | P0a 23 Heve Vehkilos |  | * | n/A | N/A |
| Oswage Communly Chithtion 8chool P.O. Ben 3030 43 Weal 8beth 8ireet Oawego, Now York 13120 | 13 | Poter souncell 342-1382 Anno King 342-8322 | 47 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P:15 AM - 3:30 PM } \\ & \text { Mon. - FN. } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | N/A | NA |

- NOTR : SCIIOOL, LOCATED OUTSIDE EPZ, BUT PART OF TIIE MEXICO ACADEMY AND CENTRAL SCHOOLS.


## APPENDIXC

## LISTING OF TRANSPORTATION COMPANIES

OSWEGO EVACUATION STUDY
Ambulance Companles


oswego evacuation study Bus Companles
?
$\vdots$
$\vdots$

| Fechlly / Addreas |  |  | Whiviletan Cupectis: |  |  |  | No. of Veticion Cinndicopped:Equbied |  |  |  | Vellatiolocation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fumber / Tpo } \\ & \text { on venchent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Puasenger |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number / Tppe } \\ & \text { OP Voficien } \end{aligned}$ |  | Wherlitivit Conctiv |  | Moinh | Antiprioon | Matil |  |  |
| City School District Mark Fitzgibbons Dive Oswego, Now Yoik 13126 | 1 Ven <br> 1 Bus <br> 1 Bus <br> I Ven <br> 6 Bueps <br> 1 Bue <br> 3 Buses <br> 2 Buses <br> 8 Bures <br> 36 Buses <br> 3 Bues | 10 <br> 13 <br> 65 <br> 8 <br> 20 <br> 25 <br> 29 <br> 55 <br> 60 <br> 68 <br> 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 Van <br> 1 Bus <br> 1 Bus <br> 1 Van <br> 6 Buses <br> 1 Bus <br> 3 Buses <br> 2 Buses <br> 5 Buaes: <br> 36 Bused <br> 3 Buses | 10 <br> 13 <br> 65 <br> 8 <br> 20 <br> 25 <br> 29 <br> 55 <br> 60 <br> 66 <br> 81 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 2 Buses IVan | 74 | 74 | 74 | Mark Fitgibibone Dr. Oawego, MY 13128 | Dewld Chrislopher <br> 341-6050 (M) <br> 342-0044 (1) <br> Fichard Harvell <br> 341-5969 (M) <br> 343-2935 (H) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contral Equare Conirel School Distisict C/O Paul V. Moore H.s. | 42 Buses 11 Vmens | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 42 Buses 11 Vme | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | N/* | N/A* | N/A* | Caughdenoy Plood Centrol Equare, NY 13036 | Donald Harwood660-3771 M699-3400 (-1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNY Coniro, Inc. 200 Cortand Avenue Syracuce, Now York 13202 | 53 Buser 103 Buees 9 Vans 5 Buser - Bures 2 Trolloye | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 43 \\ 8 \\ 16 \\ 39 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | 022100 | 53 Bueer 103 Buses 9 Vene 5 Buses 6 Busen 2 Trolieyo |  |  | 108 Bume 9 Vens |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 43 \\ 0 \\ 16 \\ 39 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 140 | Less than 140 | 140 | 200 Cortand Avenue 8ytheuen, Now York | Frenik Kobtliku Sohn fionoeck Duke Bedioy 442-3380 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Buses | 34 | 2 | 2 Bumes <br> 4 Busee <br> 2 Buses: | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 45 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 3 Busen | , |  |  | Oswege, New Yoik | - |
|  | 4 Buses | 45 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Buses | 32 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 Buces | 45 |  | 5 Buses 5 Busee | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 5 Busea |  |  |  | Alburn, Now Yoik |  |
|  | 5 Buces | 34 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico Academy and <br> Centrel Schools <br> Poute 104 <br> Moxico, Now York 13114 | 2 Buses | 0 | 4 | 2 Buses <br> 6 Buces | 07 | 4 | 2 Buces | 41 | 41 | 41 | Poute 104 Mexico, NY 13114 | Nlon Humbert Monis Bogart 963-3351 |
|  | 6 Buces | 7 | 0 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Bus | 16 | 0 | 1 Bus | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Bus | 19 | 0 | 1 Bus | 19 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Bus | 21 | 0 | 1 Bus | 21 | 0 | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Bus | 22 | 0 | 1 Bus | 22 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Bue | 29 | 0 | 1 Bus | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Bus | 47 | 0 | 1 But | 47 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Bus | 54 | 0 | 1 Bus | 54 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 Buses | 60 | 0 | 10 Buses | 60 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21 Busea | 66 | 0 | 21 Buses | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIXD TRAFFIC ZONES: <br> DEFINITION OF BOUNDARIES <br> AND EVACUATION ROUTES. <br> LISTED BY TOWN

## APPENDIXD

TRAFFIC ZONES: DEFINITION OF BOUNDARIES AND EVACUATION ROUTES

## LISTED BY TOWN

## Town of Scriba

Zone 1A: The portion of ERPA 1 east of Sunset Bay Creek.
Route: Nine Mile Point Road to Route 1 east (North Road) to N.Y. 104 B east to N.Y. 3 north.

Zone 1B: The portion of ERPA 1 west of Sunset Bay Creek and east of the Penn Central Railroad tracks (including J.A. FitzPatrick and Nine Mile Point Units 1 and 2).

Route: . Lake Road (Route 1A) to Route 29 south to Route 1 east (North Road) to N.Y. 104B east to N.Y. 3 north.

Zone 1C: The portion of ERPA 1 west of the Penn Central Railroad tracks.
Route: Lake View Road south to Route 1 west (North Road) to Creamery Road south to Klocks Corners Road to Route 4 east to N.Y. 176 south.

Zone 2C: The portion of ERPA 2 in the Town of Scriba.
Route: Route 29 south to Route 1 east (North Road) to N.Y. 104 B east to N.Y. 3. north.

Zone 3A: The portion of ERPA 3 east of the creek between Bayshore Grove Road and Cliff Road.

Route: Lake View Road south to Route 1 west (North Road) to Creamery Road south to Klocks Corners Road to Route 4 east to N.Y. 176 south.

Zone 3B: The portion of ERPA 3 west of the creek between Bayshore Grove Road and Cliff Road.

Route: Lake Road (Route 1A) west to Route 1 east (North Road) to Creamery Road south to Klocks Corners Road to Route 4 east to N.Y. 176 south.

Zone 5A: The portion of ERPA 5 east of Duke Road.
Route: $\quad$ Route 29 south to U.S. 104 west to Route 29 south to Route 4 east to Silk Road south.

Zone 5B: The portion of ERPA 5 west of Duke Road.
Route: Creamery Road south to Klocks Corners Road to Route 4 east to N.Y. 176 south.

## Town of Scriba (Continued)

Zone 6A: The portion of ERPA 6 east of the creek which runs from Lake Ontario to U.S. 104 and is located between County Route 53 and Klocks Corners Road.
Route: . Route 1 east (North Road) to Creamery Road south to Klocks Corners Road to Route 4 east to N.Y. 176 south.
Zone 6B: . The portion of ERPA 5 west of the creek which runs from Lake Ontario to U.S. 104 and is located between County Route 53 and Klocks Corners Road.
Route: Kocher Road south to U.S. 104 east to Route 53 south to Kingdom Road to Route 57 south.

Zone 10A: The portion of ERPA 10 east of the creek, east of the Niagara Mohawk power lines, and east of Duke Road.
Route: Route 29 south to Route 4 east to Silk Road south.
Zone10B: The portion of ERPA 10 west of Duke Road, west of the Niagara Mohawk power lines, and west of the creek.
Route: Klocks Corners Road to Route 4 east to N.Y. 176 South.
Zone 11A: All of ERPA 11.
Route: Route 53 south to Kingdom Road to Route 57 south.
Zone 19A: The portion of ERPA 19 east of Paddy Lake Road.
Route: Silk Road south.
Zone 19B: The portion of ERPA 19 west of Paddy Lake Road and east of Dutch Ridge Road and Black Creek.

Route: N.Y. 176 south.
Zone 19C: The portion of ERPA 19 west of Dutch Ridge Road and Black Creek, and east of the Penn Central Rairoad tracks.
Route: Route 53 south to Kingdom Road to Route 57 south.
Zone 19D: The portion of ERPA 19 west of the Penn Central Railroad tracks.
Route: Route 481 south.

## Town of New Haven

Zone 2A: The portion of ERPA 2 east of Sunset Bay Creek.

## Town of New Haven (Continued)

Route: $\quad$ Shore Oaks Drive to Route 1 east (North Road) to N.Y. 104B east to N.Y. 3 north.

Zone 2B: The portion of ERPA 2 west of Sunset Bay Creek in the Town of New Haven.

Route: $\quad$ Nine Mile Point Road to Route 1 east (North Road) to N.Y. 104B east to N.Y. 3 north.

Zone 4A: The portion of ERPA 4 north of Route 1 (North Road).
Route: $\quad$ Route 1 east (North Road) to N.Y. $104 B$ east to N.Y. 3 north.
Zone 4B: The portion of ERPA 4 south of Route 1 (North Road).
Route: . Route 6 south.
Zone 4C: The portion of ERPA 4 south of Route 1 (North Road) and west of Mack Road and Catfish Creek.

Route: U.S. 104 east to Route 6 south.
Zone 7A: The portion of ERPA 7 north of Route 1 (North Road), east of Larkin Road and north of N.Y. 104B.

Route: $\quad$ Route 1 east (North Road) to N.Y. 104B to N.Y. 3 north.
Zone 7B: The portion of ERPA 7 south of N.Y. 104B and east of the East Branch of Cattish Creek.

Route: U.S. 104 east.
Zone 7C: The portion of ERPA 7 south of Route 1 (North Road), west of Larkin Road, south of N.Y. 104B, and west of East Branch of Catfish Creek.

Route: Route 6A south to Route 6 south.
Zone 8A: The portion of ERPA 8 north of Stone Road (Country Home Road) and east of the East Branch of Catfish Creek (east of Kirby Road).

Route: U.S. 104 east.
Zone 8B: The portion of ERPA 8 south of Stone Road (Country Home Road) and east of Catfish Creek and Kirby Road.

Route: Route 35 south.
Zone 8C: The portion of ERPA 8 west of East Branch of Cattish Creek, west of Kirby Road and west of Catfish Creek.

Route: Route 6 south.

Town of New Haven (Continued)
Zone 9A: The portion of ERPA 9 east of Mud Lake Road (Route 51), south of Lilly Marsh Road, and east of the creek between Lilly Pond and Route 51.

Route: Darrow Road south to Route 6 south.
Zone 9B: The portion of ERPA 9 west of the creek between Lilly Pond and Route 51, north of Lilly Marsh Road, and west of Mud Lake Road.
Route: Route 51 east to Route 6 south.
Town of Richland
Zone 14A: All of ERPA 14.
Route: N.Y. 3 north.

## Town of Mexico

Zone 15A: The portion of ERPA 15 north of Gibbs Road, north of Smith Road, west of Fort Leazier Road, and north of Countryman Road, Clark Road and Potter Road.

Route: N.Y. 3 south.
Zone 15B: The portion of ERPA 15 south of Gibbs Road, east of Port Leazier Road, south of Countryman Road, and east of N.Y. 3.

Route: Fort Leazier Road south to Dewey Road to Newcomb Road to Smithers Road to U.S. 104 east.

Zone 15C: The portion of ERPA 15 south of Potter Road and Clark Road, and west of N.Y. 3.

Route: $\quad$ Fravor Road south to U.S. 104 east.
Zone 17A: The portion of ERPA 17 east of Little Salmon River.
Route: U.S. 104 east.
Zone 17B: The portion of ERPA 17 west of Little Salmon River.
Route: N.Y. 3 south.

Village of Mexico
Zone 16A: The portion of ERPA 16 north of U.S. 104.
Route: U.S. 104 east.
Zone 16B: • The portion of ERPA 16 south of U.S. 104.
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Village of Mexico (Continued)
Route: N.Y. 3 south.
Town of Palermo
Zone 18A: The portion of ERPA 18 in the Town of Palermo.
Route: Route 35 south.

## Town of Volney

Zone 18B: The portion of ERPA 18 in the Town of Volney.
Route: Route 6 south.
Zone 20A: .The portion of ERPA 20 east of N.Y. 176.
Route: Silk Road south.
Zone 20B: The portion of ERPA 20 west of N.Y. 176 and east of Black Creek.
Route: N.Y. 176 south.
Zone 20C: . The portion of ERPA 20 west of Black Creek and east of the Penn Central Railroad tracks.

Route: Route 53 south to Kingdom Road to Route 56 south.
Zone 20D: The portion of ERPA 20 west of the Penn Central Railroad tracks.
Route: N.Y. 481 south.

## Town of Minetto

Zone 21A: The portion of ERPA 21 north of Route 25 and east of the Erie Lackawanna Railroad tracks.

Route: N.Y. 48 south.
Zone 21B: The portion of ERPA 21 south of Route 25 and east of West Fifth Street Road.

Route: Route 8 south.
Zone 21D: The portion of ERPA 21 west of the Erie Lackawanna Rairoad tracks and north of Route 25.

Route: Route $\mathbf{2 5}$ south to West Fifth Street Road south to Route 85 west.

## Town of Oswego

Zone 21C: The portion of ERPA 21 in the Town of Oswego.

## Town of Oswego (Continued)

Route: West 5th Street Road south to Route 85 west.
Zone 22A: The portion of ERPA 22 east of the Penn Central Railroad tracks.
Route: Route 25 south to West Fith Street Road south to Route 85 west.
Zone 22B: The portion of ERPA 22 west of the Penn Central Railroad tracks, east of Bunker Hill Road, south of Thompson Road, east of Thompson Road and south of U.S. 104.

Route: Route 7 south.
Zone 22C: The portion of ERPA 22 north of U.S. 104, west of Thompson Road, north of Thompson Road and west of Bunker Hill Road.

Route: U.S. 104 west.
Zone.12A: The portion of ERPA 12 north of U.S. 104 and east of East 13th Street.
Route: U.S. Route 104 east to Route 53 south to Kingdom Road to Route 57 south.
Zone 12B: The portion of ERPA 12 west of East 13th Street, south of U.S. 104, north of Route 4, and east of East 9th Street.
Route: Route 4 east to Route 53 south to Kingdom Road to Roue 57 south.
Route*: Route 4 east to Route 176 south.
Zone 12C: The portion of ERPA 12 west of East 9 th Street and south of Route 4.
Route: N.Y. 481 south.
Zone 13A: The portion of ERPA 13 south of U.S. 104 and east of West Fifth Street Road.

Route: N.Y. 48 south.
Zone 13B: The portion of ERPA 13 south of U.S. 104, west of West Fifth Street Road, and east of Hillside Avenue.

Route: $\quad$ Gardenier Hill Road south to Route 7 south.

* Note: A different evacuation route is provided for Classic Weekend attendees at the Speedway located in Traffic Zone 12B.

Zone 13C: The portion of ERPA 13 north of U.S. 104 and west of Hillside Avenue.
Route: U.S. 104 west.
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 <br> <br> METHODOLOGY TO CALCULATE EVACUATION CAPACITIES}
## Introduction

The analysis of the evacuation roadway system was performed using the 1985 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), as it represents the current standard methodology in evaluating travel tacilities. The document reflects over two decades of comprehensive research conducted by a variety of research individuals and government agencies and, as such, represents the best available knowledge and guidance to the operational and design analysis of transportation facilities. Methodologies are summarized below for the four categories or roadway facilities and three weather conditions which are to be encountered in the EPZ.

## Two-Lane. Two-Way Roadways

The predominant roadway type within the 10 -mile emergency planning zone is the twolane, two-way highway. A two-lane highway can be defined as a two-lane roadway with one lane dedicated for traffic in each direction. These roadways basically serve an accessibility function, usually for low traffic volumes. According to the 1985 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), the general relationship describing traffic operations on these roadway segments is as follows:
$S F_{i}=(2800$ passenger cars per hour $) \times(N / C)_{i} \times f_{d} \times f_{w} \times f_{h}$
Where: $S F_{i}=$ Total roadway service flow rate in both directions for prevailing roadway and traffic conditions, for a specified level-of-service, in -he: : : vehicles per hour;
$N / C)_{i}=$ ratio of flow rate to ideal capacity for a specified level of service, obtained from Table 8-1 of the HCM;
$i_{d}=$ adjustment factor for directional distribution of traffic, obtained from Table 8-4 of the HCM;
$f_{w}=$ adjustment factor for narrow lanes and restricted shouider width, obtained from Table 8.5 of the HCM; and
finv = adjustment factor for the presence of heavy vehicles in the traffic stream.

Table 8-1 of the 1985 HCM tabulates $N / C)_{i}$ factors for a variety of terrain types and passing zone allowances, each of which was evaluated based on field observations. The analysis for this project assumed the presence of few, if any, no passing opportunities for both levels-of-service D and E conditions (the HCM tables are referenced only). This assumption is valid for an evacuation scenario where virtually no opportunities would exist to pass due to anticipated traffic congestion. Accordingly, the base two-way evacuation capacity ( 2800 passenger cars per hour) for this type of roadway was adjusted to reflect the respective level-of-service D and E traffic flow conditions by factors of 0.64 and 1.00 (level terrain), 0.62 and 0.97 (rolling terrain), and 0.58 and 0.91 (mountainous terrain).

Each roadway link in the evacuation network is unique in that travel characteristics generally vary, even if only slightly, when proceeding along the particular route in question. As such, various factors must be applied to a link's base capacity to better refiect actual travel conditions experienced by the driver. The analysis accounts for the influence of directional frictions related to the imbalance of vehicular flows in each travel direction.
For an evacuation scenario, a skewed vehicular fiow split would be expected - the analysis used an approximate 90 percent outbound/10 percent inbound directional distribution. This distribution reflects both fewer travel gaps between vehicles in the major outbound flow and the increased inability of the minor flow to identify passing gaps in the opposite flow lane.

Additional factors accounted for travel lane widths of generally 10 - to 12 -foot wide, and the presence of heavy truck-type vehicles in the general traffic stream. Tables 8.4 and 8.5 of the HCM tabulate the various factors applied in the analysis for directional and lane width adjustments, while a default value of 0.98 was used for the heavy truck factor as few, if any, of these vehicles will be on the road during an emergency situation. Finally, two-way base capacity was adjusted to provide a one-way capacity in the outbound flow away from the JAF/NMP EPZ. (A conservative yet realistic 80 percent of the total flow was assigned to the outbound flow.)

As an example, from the above considerations, the base evacuation service volumes at levels-of-service D and E for Link Number 47 (Route 57 from Kingdom Road to Howard Road) were computed as follows:
$S F_{i}=2800 \times(N / C)_{i} \times f_{d} \times f_{w} \times f_{h v} \times f_{\text {one way }}$
SFLOS D $=2800 \times 0.64 \times 0.75 \times 0.68 \times 0.98 \times 0.80=717 \mathrm{vph}$
SFLOSE $=2800 \times 1.00 \times 0.75 \times 0.81 \times 0.98 \times 0.80=1334 \mathrm{vph}$

## Basic Freeway/Multilane Highway Segments

Basic freeway segments make up a relatively small proportion of the total evacuation roadway network. The 1985 HCM defines a freeway as a divided highway facility having two or more lanes for the exclusive use of traffic in each direction and full control of access and egress. These facilities are separated by physical barriers thereby limiting driver discomfort due to oncoming traffic. The methodology uses the following equation to compute the service flow rate under prevailing roadway and traffic conditions:
$S F_{i}=M S F_{i} \times N \times f_{w} \times f_{h v} \times f_{p}$
Where: $S F_{i}=$ Service flow rate or link capacity for a specified level-of-service under prevailing roadway and traffic conditions for $N$ lanes in one direction, in vehicles per hour;
$M S F_{i}=$ maximum service flow rate per lane for a specified level-of-service under ideal conditions, in passenger cars per hour per travel lane (pcphpl);
$N=$ number of lanes in one direction of the freeway;
$i_{W}=$ adjustment factor for the effects of restricted lane widths and/or lateral clearances, obtained from Table 3-2 of the HCM;
finv = adjustment factor for the effect of heavy vehicles (trucks, buses, and recreational vehicles) in the general traffic stream; and
$f_{p}=$ adjustment factor for the effect of driver population, obtained from Table 3-10 of the HCM.

The analysis begins with the selection of the maximum service flow rate based on a roadway's posted and design speed. In general, roadways with a posted speed limit of 50 mph have a design speed of 60 mph while roads with a posted speed limit of 55 mph have a design speed of 70 mph .
Table 3-1 of the 1985 HCM allows for an evaiuation and selection of a maximum capacity per lane associated with a specific design speed as follows:
BASE CAPACITY
2000 pcphpl
2000 pcphpl
1900 pcphpl

DESIGN SPEED
70 MPH
60 MPH
50 MPH

The base evacuation capacities at level-of-service $E$ were further modified by a $(N / C)_{i}$ factor. The $N / C)_{\text {; }}$ factor is the maximum volume-to-capacity ratio allowable while maintaining the performance characteristics of the level-of-service and design speed in question. These factors are also shown on Table 3-1 and are summarized below:

LOSE<br>70 MPH Design Speed - 1.00<br>60 MPH Design Speed - 1.00<br>50 MPH Design Speed - 1.00

LOSD
70 MPH Design Speed - 0.87
60 MPH Design Speed - 0.80
50 MPH Design Speed - 0.76

A single adjustment factor ( ${ }^{\prime}$ w) accounts for the combined effect of lane widths, distances to the nearest obstruction, number of lanes on the freeway, and the presence of obstructions on road sides. For example, a roadway with 11 -foot lanes, obstructions on both sides of the roadway at an average of 1 -foot from the pavement edge for a fourlane freeway would have a factor of 0.85 - this suggests that 15 percent of the freeway's. ideal capacity is lost due to the lane width and lateral clearance restrictions present. The heavy vehicles factor (fiv) was assumed to be 0.98 because heavy vehicles in an emergency situation will compose a very small proportion of the traffic stream. The ability of motorists to negotiate the roadway is accounted for in the driver population factor ( $f_{0}$ ) with values of 0.75 and 1.00 used to respectively reflect least (LOS D) and most (LOS E) efficient traffic stream characteristics.
Multilane Highways are undivided roadways on which opposing traffic flows are separated only by centerline pavement markings and do not have full control of access. The analysis of multilane highways differs slightly from that of freeways by the provision of one additional factor accounting for the friction experienced due to adjacent oncoming traffic as well as the presence of roadside driveways. While all other freeway-associated factors described above are directly applicable for the multilane highway, the
environmental factor ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ) is required to distinguish and analyze these facilities. Table 7 10 in the HCM lists the environmental adjustment factors for a multilane facility.

Thus, from the above considerations, the base evacuation capacities at levels-of-service D and E for Link Numbers 163 (1-81 from Syracuse to Route 49 - a freeway segment) and 200 (Route 3 from Route 48 to ist Street - a multilane highway segment), as example computations, were computed as follows:

Freeway Link No. 163
$S F_{i}=M S F_{i} \times N \times f_{w} \times f_{h v} \times f_{p}$
SFLOS D $=1850 \times 3 \times 0.98 \times 1.00 \times 0.75=4079 \mathrm{vph}$
SFfLOS E $=2000 \times 3 \times 1.00 \times 0.98 \times 1.00=5880 \mathrm{vph}$

Multiane Highway Link No. 200
$S F_{i}=M S F_{i} \times N \times f_{w} \times f_{h v} \times f_{e}$
SFLOS D $=1450 \times 2 \times 0.94 \times 0.98 \times 0.75 \times 0.95=1903 \mathrm{vph}$
SFfLOS E $=1900 \times 2 \times 0.94 \times 0.98 \times 1.00 \times 0.95=3326 \mathrm{vph}$

## Signalized Arterials

Several roads within the evacuation network are controlled by signalized intersections with link capacity defined and limited by the link end point - the intersection itself. Intersection approach capacity, which governs the roadway's ability to carry and process traffic, is the maximum rate of flow which may pass through the subject approach under prevailing traffic, roadway, and signalization conditions.

The basic computation begins with the selection of an "ideal" saturation flow rate, usually 1800 passenger cars per hour of green signal time per lane (pcphpl). The saturation flow rate is the vehicular flow in vehicles per hour which could be accommodated by the specific approach assuming that the green phase is always 1.00 (i.e., no red signal phase occurs). This "ideal" saturation flow rate is adjusted as follows:
$S=S_{o} \times N \times f_{w} \times f_{h v} \times f_{g} \times f_{p} \times f_{b b} \times f_{a} \times f_{t} \times f_{t} \times G / C$
Where: $S=$ Saturation fiow rate for the subject lane group, expressed as a total for all lanes in the lane group under prevailing conditions,, in vehicles per hour of green signal time;
$S_{0}=$ ideal saturation flow rate per lane, usually 1,800 pcpgpl;
$N=$ number of lanes in the lane group;
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{W}}=$ adjustment factor for lane width; 12-ft lanes are standard; given in Table 9-5 of the HCM;
fhv $=$ adjustment factor for heavy vehicles in the traffic stream, given in Table 9-6 of the HCM;
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ adjustment factor for approach grade, given in Table 9-7 of the HCM;
$f_{p}=$ adjustment factor for the existence of a parking lane adjacent to the lane group and the parking activity in that lane, given in Table 9-8 of the HCM;
fob $=$ adjustment factor for the blocking effect of local buses stopping within the intersection area, given in Table 9-9 of the HCM;
$f_{a}=$ adjustment factor for area type, given in Table 9-10 of the HCM;
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ adjustment factor for right turns in the lane group, given in Table 9-11 of the HCM;
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ft}}=$ adjustment factor for left turns in the lane group, given in Table 9-12 of the HCM and; ..
$G / C=$ ratio of green signal time to total signal cycle length.

The lane width factor (fw) accounts for the deleterious effect of lanes narrower than the accepted standard of 12 feet wide, while increased flow is provided for on lanes greater than this standard. The heavy vehicle factor (fhv) is assumed to be only slightly affected (i.e., a factor of 0.99 is used to account for terrain conditions) because heavy vehicles in an emergency situation will not make up a significant proportion of the traffic stream. Adjustment factors ( fg ) reflecting the effect of roadway grades on the saturation flow are provided for a variety of uphill and downhill conditions. The parking factors ( ${ }^{f}$ p) account for the frictional effect of a parking lane on vehicular flow in the adjacent lanes, as well as for the occasional blocking of an adjacent lane by vehicles moving into and out of curbside parking spaces. Most of the links in this category do not have parking; however, on the links where parking.was observed, a minimum number of 10 parking movements per hour was assumed in the analysis. Again, this factor was selected to represent emergency evacuation characteristics when parking would be very minimal. The bus blockage factor (fbb) accounts for the impacts of alighting/boarding activities of local transit buses. Clearly, this type of activity would be minimal under emergency evacuation conditions and, as such, a factor of 1.00 was used. (It must be noted that evacuation bus pickup activity will not be a frequent occurrence during an evacuation and will not affect a change in the use of this factor.) The area type factor ( fa ) accounts for the relative inefficiency of business area intersections in comparison to those in other locations. Right and left turn factors ( $f$ rt and fit) depend upon several parameters. However, in an emergency evacuation situation, it is assumed that most vehicles would travel in one direction and not making turns. Furthermore, if a turn was necessary within the route, a predominant turning movement is treated as a through movement. As a result, factors of 0.85 and 0.95 were applied for right and left turn movements - the least reduction factors allowable in the methodology. The final adjustment to the saturation flow rate accounts for the amount of available green signal time relative to the total signal cycle length (G/C ratio). Factors of approximately 0.75 and 0.90 were chosen to represent levels-of-service $D$ and $E$, respectively. These different traffic signal adjustment factors reflect varying degrees of preparedness, with the lower level-ofservice $D$ factor of 0.75 accounting for the likelihood of fewer traffic control agents in place during an evacuation scenario.

A select number of intersections are regulated by STOP or YIELD controls. It was determined that they would operate similar to signalized intersections with the control and regulation of vehicular flow maintained through traffic control agents. In this manner, these locations were assumed to experience the same frictions as those present any typical signalized intersections, and were thus examined using the abovedescribed traffic signal control criteria.

Thus, from the above considerations, the base evacuation service volumes at levels-ofservice D and E for Link Number 205 (Route 104 from East 12th Street to George Street in Oswego), as an example computation, were computed as follows:

| S | $S_{0} \times N \times f_{w} \times f_{h v} \times f_{g} \times f_{p} \times f_{\text {b }} \times f_{a} \times f_{r t} \times f_{t} \times G / C$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| SLOS D | ```1800\times1\times1.00\times0.99\times0.99\times0.85\times1.00\times1.00\times0.85\times0.95\times0.75 908 vph``` |
| SLOSE | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \times 1 \times 1.00 \times 0.99 \times 0.99 \times 0.85 \times 1.00 \times 1.00 \times 0.85 \times 0.95 \times 0.90 \\ & 1090 \mathrm{vph} \end{aligned}$ |

## Adverse Weather Effects

The 1985 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) does not specifically account for inclement weather conditions in the analysis of roadway travel capacities. In fact, there have been relatively few efforts to quantify the effects of adverse weather on roadway capacity. Under adverse weather conditions such as snow, fog, severe thunder storms which result in heavy rains, localized flooding, or ice, the ability of roadways to carry traffic is further reduced from that of a Level of Service D or E. Two factors account for this reduction -- a decreased quality and amount of physical space on the roadway surface (e.g., snow on shoulders) and a more cautious attitude on the part of the driver (resulting in increased headways). Level of Service D service volumes were further reduced by 20 percent to account for these factors to estimate adverse weather evacuation capacities.
A standard freeway is referenced as an example to illustrate the anticipated capacity of a roadway when adverse weather conditions prevail. At Level of Service $E$, one lane of a standard freeway can accommodate 2,000 vehicles per hour. 2,000 vehicles is also the theoretical capacity of one freeway lane under normal weather conditions and without incident.

At a Level of Service $D$, one standard freeway lane can typically accommodate 1,850 vehicles per hour; therefore, it is assumed the theoretical capacity of Level of Service $D$ is 1,850 vehicles. The Level of Service D theoretical capacity is representative of conditions which may result from a light snow, rain, or when some traffic control officers may be unable to fulfill their assignments. A further $20 \%$ reduction in level of Service $D$ conditions would result in a theoretical capacity of 1,480 vehicles during adverse weather conditions.

Assuming that 10,000 vehicles were anticipated to traverse this section of freeway, it would take five hours to traverse this road section assuming Level of Service E conditions ( 10,000 veh $\div 2,000$ veh $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ). Subsequently, it would take almost six hours under Level of Service $D$ conditions and close to seven hours to travel when adverse weather conditions prevail on the same roadway section.

## Comparison of 1965 and 1985 Highway Capacity Manual Methodologies

As previously mentioned, the 1985 HCM was used as the basis of computing roadway travel capacities in this current analysis of the JAF/NMP EPZ. Previous analyses of this roadway system used the current manual's predecessor, the 1965 Highway Capacity Manual, that was the accepted standard methodology at that time. While both manuals are theoretically correct, it is the current 1985 version that is gaining widespread acceptance for a variety of reasons.

The 1985 version has a more extensive data base in all chapters of analysis, has provisions for constant updating as warranted and, most importantly, accounts for the numerous operating characteristic and frictions that affect the roadway system and driver behaviors. The summary table below highlights the previous example 1985 HCM link computations versus those same link capacities of prior analyses utilizing the 1965 HCM, under normal conditions (i.e. a 12-foot lane, full shoulder, level terrain, and good weather).

| Facility Type | Facility Service Volumes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1965 HCM |  | 1985 HCM |  |
|  | LOSD | LOS E | LOSD | LOSE |
| 2-Lane, 2-Way | 696 | 1200 | 844 | 1516 |
| Freeway | 2404 | 3440 | 2775 | 4000 |
| Multilane Highway | 2660 | 3800 | 1847 | 3078 |
| Signalized Intersection | 659 | 1136 | 1112 | 1334 |
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This appendix includes evacuation travel time estimates by ERPA for a simultaneous fullEPZ evacuation. Evacuation travel time estimates are presented for the following scenarios, weather conditions, and population groups:

## Scenarios

School-in-Session
School-not - in Session
Weekend/Holiday Summer
Weekend/Holiday Winter
Evening
Nighttime
Classic Weekend
Harborfest Weekend

Weather Conditions
Normal
Adverse

## Population Groups

Resident Population with Autos
Resident Population without Autos
Special Facilities Population
Transient Population
A total of 19 tables are included in this Appendix. Table G-1 is a summary table that indicates evacuation travel times for all scenarios under normal weather conditions. Similarly, Table G-2 shows evacuation travel times for all scenarios under adverse weather conditions. Tables G-3 through G-18 each show evacuation travel times for a particular scenario under a particular weather condition. Table G-19 shows evacuation travel times for schools in the EPZ to the New York State Fairgrounds for normal and adverse weather conditions. A range of values depict the lower and upperbound limits of the evacuation times for normal weather and dry roadway conditions to a light rain or snow shower which results in wet pavement. Evacuation travel time estimates for adverse weather approximate travel conditions after a severe ice storm or heavy snow once roadway crews have been able to clear the roads.

A suggested approach to read the tables in Appendix $G$ is outlined below:

- Step 1 - Determine the appropriate scenario (i.e. - Schootin-Session)
- Step 2 - Determine the approximate weather condition.
- Lower bound normal weather conditions - dry pavement
- Upper bound normal weather conditions - wet pavement
- Adverse weather conditions - pavement conditions after a severe winter storm
- Step 3 - For lower bound normal weather ETTE tables, read the left of the two columns to interpret EITEs by population group for each ERPA and/or the entire EPZ
- For upper bound normal weather ETTE tables, read the right of the two columns to interpret EITEs by population group for each ERPA and/or the entire EPZ
- Adverse weather ETIEs are documented on separate tables for each scenario

A possible evacuation scenario is detailed as follows:
A full EPZ evacuation scenario has been ordered on a Tuesday at 11:15 AM in October. The weather conditions are observed to be sunny and the forecast is that these weather conditions will continue over the next two days. (See Table G-3 for ETTEs).
Q. How long will it take for the permanent resident population with autos to:

- Fully evacuate ERPA 5?
A. 2:10
- Fully evacuate the EPZ?
A. $4: 10$
Q. How long will it take for the permanent resident population without autos to:
- Fully evacuate ERPA 15?
A. $0: 40$
- Fully evacuate the EPZ?
A. $4: 30$
Q. How long will it take for the special facilities population to:
- Fully evacuate ERPA 4?
A. 1:10
- Fully evacuate the EPZ?
A. 13:20 $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Multiple wave evacuations } \\ & \text { Substantially increase special facility } \\ & \text { evacuation times.) }\end{aligned}$
Q. How long will it take for the transient population to:
- Fully evacuate ERPA 5?
A. 1:50 (Transient population can evacuate more quickly than permanent resident population in the same ERPA. This typically occurs when the transient population is located in a traffic zone closer to the EPZ boundary).
- Fully evacuate ERPA 16?
- Fully evacuate ERPA 22?
- Fully evacuate the EPZ?
A. 0:40 Transient population and permanent resident population typically require the same time to evacuate an ERPA when the population dispersion of each is similar throughout the ERPA.


## A. $4: 00$

A. $4: 10$

| ERPA | Pesident Population |  | Specialfacilities | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Whth Autos | Withour Autos |  |  |
|  | From-To | from-To | From. To | From.To |
| 1 | 4:00 - 6:40 | 1:10-2:00 | $\square$ | 4:00-6:40 |
| 2 | 2:00 - 3:00 | 1:00-1:50 | - | - |
| 3 | 4:00 - 6:50 | 1:10-2:00 | - | - |
| 4 | 1:50 - 2:50 | 2:10-3:10 | 1:10 - 1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 5 | 4:00 - 6:40 | 1:10-2:00 | . | . - |
| 6 | 4:00 - 6:50 | 4:20 - 7:10 | $\bullet$ | 4:00 - 6:50 |
| 7 | 1:50 - 2:50 | 0:50 - 0:50 | - | 1:50 - 2:50 |
| 8 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - | - |
| 9 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00. | - | - |
| 10 | 4:00 - 6:40 | 4:00 - 6:40 | - | 4:00-6:40 |
| 11 | 2:50 - 5.00 | 4:20 - 7:10 | - | - |
| 12 | 4:20 - 7:20 | 4:20 - 7:10 | 9:40-11:20 | 4:10 - 7:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00 - 4:30 | 12:20-14:00 | 2:30 . 4:00 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:50-2:50 | - | 1:40-2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | 120 - 1:20 | - | 1:40 - 2:50 |
| 16 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:40 - 0:40 | - |  |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:10 - 1:10 | - | - |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50 - 0:50 | - | - |
| 19 | 3:50 - 6:40 | 4:10 - 6:50 | - | - |
| 20 | 3:50 - 6:40 | 4:10-6:50 | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40 - 2:40 | 1:50 - 2:50 | - | - - |
| 22 | 2:30 - 4:00 | 2:40 - 4:10 | 2:30 - 4:00 | 2:30 - 4:00 |
| AL | 4:20-7:20 | 4:20 - 7:10 | 12:20-14:00 | 4:10 - 7:00 |

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA HARBORFEST WEEKEND SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

| ERPA | Resident Population |  | Sppeial Faeillies | Transionts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autios | Without Autos |  |  |
|  | From-To | From-To | From-To | From - To |
| 1 | 3:10-5:10 | 1:10-2:00 | - | 3:10-5:10 |
| 2 | 1:10-2:10 | 1:00 - 1:50 | - | . |
| 3 | 3:10. 5:10 | 1:10-2:00 | - | - |
| 4 | .9:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:20 | 1:10 - 1:10 | 1:00 - 1:00 |
| 5 | 3:10 - 5:10 | 1:10 - 2:00 | - | - |
| 6 | 3:10 - 5:10 | 3:20-5:20 | - | 3:10 - 5:10 |
| 7 | 0:50 - 2:00 | 0:50 - 0:50 | - | - |
| 8 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - | - |
| 9 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - | - |
| 10 | 3:10-5:10 | 3:10 - 5:10 | - | - |
| 11 | 2:20 - 3:50 | 3:10 - 5:10 | - | - 020 |
| 12 | 4:40 - 6:50 | 5:00 - 7:10 | 10:50 -12:50 | 4:20 - 6:20 |
| 13 | 6:40 - 10:30 | 6:50 - 10:50 | 13:20-16:40 | 6:10-10:10 |
| 14 | 0:40-2:00 | 0:50 - 2:00 | - | 0:40-2:00 |
| 15 | 0:40-2:00 | 120 - 120 | - | 0:40 - 2:00 |
| 16 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:40-0:40 | - | - |
| 17 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 1:10-1:10 | - | - |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50 - 0:50 | - | - |
| 19 | 4:20-6:20 | 4:20 - 6:30 | - |  |
| 20 | 4:10-6:20 | 4:20-6:30 | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40 - 2:40 | 1:50 - 2:50 | - | - ${ }^{-1010}$ |
| 22 | 6:30 - 10:30 | 6:20 - 10:20 | 6:10-10:10 | 6:10-10:10 |
| ALL | 6:40 - 10:30 | 6:50 - 10:50 | 13:20-16:40 | 6:10-10:10 |

## TABLE G-1

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTDMATES BY ERPA

## NORMAL WEATHER

## Notes:

(1) Tho ovacuation truvel time ranges presented in this Table are based on operabonal strutegies indieated in the evacuation implementatuon proceaures. Lower bound evacuation travel umes (snoner tumes) can be arruelpated when:
(a) Unexpected tong-term capaery restrietuens on key higmway links owing to incidems such as aceidents.vehicle breakdowns. and higmway construetuon, to not oceur;
(b) A hign state of operational readiness tratfic control ofticers mobilized. tratfic control deviess operational. all buses stationed to begin their initual runts) ta atrainea.
(c) An intormect and cooperative public follow directions as instructed.
(d) Dry foacway condituons exist

Upper bound ovecuation trival times (longer times) are representraive of a sinuation where:
(a) Cabaciny remictuons acversely affect traffic fiow. but not :: ine pornt whare breakdown in traffic flow would resutc
(b) A low gitu of operational readinest reauts trom mimma, mobilation of the emergency worktorce:
(d) A low degree of cooperation from the public oecurs.
(d) A light rein or snow shower results in wet pavement:
(2) The evacuation travel time ranges are indieated as hours:minures, and include 20 minutes of publue preparation time.
(3) The populazon subgroups indicated in this Table are:
(a) restact populstion (with and without automobies):
(b) special faciltuss (sehools, colleges. nurang homes. hospitals, other hoath eare facilities, residential facilities such as group homes, comverts. and monastoriest:
(c) transiente (employees. visnors to parks, residem and day camps, hotets, and motals).
(4) Gaps in ius Table indieates that there is no special facility or transiont population in the given ERPA.
(5) The evacuation travel time fenges presented in this Table assume a simurameous evacuation of the entire EPZ. The evecuation travel ume for any individual ERPA in a saged ovacuatuon will not oxceed the travel gme range indicaed in this Teble.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility ovecuation travel umes include the arme for the multiwave trips to ovacuate the non-ambulatory population who reaule trasport by ambutance.

EVACLATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA
SCHOOLHN-SESSION SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

| ERPA | Resident Papulation |  | Special Facillion | Transienta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autios | Without Autios |  |  |
|  | From-To | From. To | From-To | From-To |
| 1 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 | . | 2:20-3:50 |
| 2 | 2:10 - 3:40 | 2:20. 3:50 | - | 2:10 - 3:40 |
| 3 | 1:50 - 2:50 | 2:30-4:00 | - | . |
| 4 | 1:00 - 1:50 | 1:10 - 2:40 | 1:10 - 2:00 | 1:00-1:50 |
| 5 | 2:10 - 3:40 | 2:30-4:00 | - | 1:50 - 2:50 |
| 6 | 4:00 - 7:10 | 4:20-7:30 | - | 4:00 - 7:10 |
| 7 | 1:00 - 2:30 | 1:00 - 2:40 | - | 1:00 - 2:30 |
| \% | 0:50 - 2:30 | 1:00 - 2:10 | - | 0:50 - 2:30 |
| 9 | 0:50 - 1:40 | 1:00 - 2:00 | - | 0:50 - 1:40 |
| 10 | 2:10 - 3:40 | 1:50 - 2:50 | - | 2:10 - 3:40 |
| 11 | 4:00 - 7:10 | 4:10 - 7:30 | - | 4:00 - 7:10 |
| 12 | 4:10 - 7:20 | 420. 7:30 | 10:50-12:40 | 4:10-7:20 |
| 13 | 4:00 - 6:30 | 4:30-8:50 | 13:20-15:20 | 4:00 - 6:30 |
| 14 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:50 - 0:50 | - |  |
| 15 | 0:50 - 2:30 | 120-3:00 | -40- | 0:50-2:30 |
| 16 | 0:40-2:20 | 0:40 - 2:20 | 0:40-2:20 | 0:40-2:20 |
| 17 | 0:40-2:10 | 120: 2200 | 0:40-1:50 | 0:40 - 1:50 |
| 18 | 0:40-1:40 | 0:50: 2:00 |  | 0:40-1:50 |
| 19 | 4:00 - 7:10 | 4:10-7:00 | - | 2:50 - 4:10 |
| 20 | 3:50 - 7:10 | 4:10-7700 | - | 3:50 - 7:10 |
| 21 | 2:40 - 4:30 | 2:50-4:40 | 2:40-4:30 | 2:40 - 4:30 |
| 22. | 4:00-6:30 | 4:10 - 6:40 | 4:00 - 8:30 | 4:00 - 6:30 |
| All | 4:10 - 7:20 | 4:30-7:30 | 13:20-15:20 | 4:10 - 7:20 |

EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA
SCHOOL-NOT-IN-SESSION SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

| ERPA | Resident Population |  | Special Facillites | Transiedt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Winh Autos | Without Aution |  |  |
|  | From.To | From. To | From. To | From - To |
| 1 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 | $\square$ | 2:20-3:50 |
| 2 | 2:10 - 3:40 | 2200 - 3:50 | - | 2:10-3:40 |
| 3 | 2:10-3:20 | 2:30-4:00 | - | - |
| 4 | 2:00. 3:10 | 2:10-320 | 1:10-2:00 | 2:00 - 3:10 |
| 5 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:30-4:00 | - | 2:00 - 3:10 |
| 6 | 4:00 - 7:10 | 420-7:30 | - | 4:00 - 7:10 |
| 7 | 1:50 - 3:00 | 1:00 - 2:10 | - | 1:50 - 3:00 |
| 8 | 0:50 - 2:00 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - | 0:50-2:00 |
| 9 | 0:50 - 1:40 | 1:00 - 2:00 | - | 0:50 - 1:40 |
| 10 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:00 - 3:10 | - | 2:10 - 3:40 |
| 11 | 4:00 - 7:10 | 4:10-7:20 | - 1250 | 4:00 - 7:10 |
| 12 | 4:00 - 7:10 | 4:10-720 | 10:50-12:50 | 4:00-7:10 |
| 13 | 4:00 - 6:20 | $420 \cdot 6: 50$ | 13:20-15:20 | 4:00 - $6: 20$ |
| 14 | 1:50 - 3:00 | 200 - 3:00 | - | 1:50 - 3:00 |
| 15 | 1:50 - 3:00 | 1:20-2:30 | - 0.40 | 1:50-3:00 |
| 16 | 0:40-1:50 | 0:40 - 2:00 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-1:50 |
| 17 | 0:40 - 1:40 | 1:10 - 2:00 | - | 0:40 - 0:40 |
| 18 | 0:40-1:40 | 0:50 - 1:40 | - | 0:40-1:40 |
| 19 | 4:00 - 7:10 | 4:10 - 7:00 | - | 3:00 - 4:20 |
| 20 | 3:50 - 7:00 | 4:10 - 7:00 | - ${ }^{-}$ | 3:50-7:00 |
| 21 | 2:30 - 4:10 | 2:40 - 4:20 | 0:40-0:40 | 2:30 - 4:10 |
| 22 | 4:00 - 6:20 | 4:00 - 6:30 | 4:00 - 6:20 | 4:00 - 6:20 |
| ALL | 4:00 - 7:10 | 4:20 • .7:30 | 13:20-15:20 | 4:00-7:10 |

EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA
SUMMER WEEKENDHOLDAY SCENARIO
NORMAL WEATHER

| ERPA | Resident Population |  |  | Speciel Ficcilities |  | Transiemte |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Aution | Without | Autor | From-To |  | From-To |  |
|  | From-To | From-To |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1:50-3.00 | 1:10 | - 200 |  | - |  |  |
| 2 | 2:00 - 3:00 | 1:00 | - 1.50 |  | - |  |  |
| 3 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:10 | - 200 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1:50-2:50 | 2:10 | - 3:10 | 1:10 | - 1:10 | $1: 00$ | - 1:8 |
| 5 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:10 | - 200 |  | - |  |  |
| 6 | 220 - 3350 | 2:30 | - 4:10 |  | - | 1:50 | - 2:50 |
| 7 | 1:50 - 2:50 | 0:50 | - 0.50 |  | - | 1:50 | 2.50 |
| 8 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 | - 1:00 |  | - |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 | - 1:00. |  | - |  |  |
| 10 | 1:50 - 2:50 | 1:50 | - $2 \cdot 50$ |  | - | 1:50 | - 2:50 |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30 | - 4000 |  | -10.30 |  |  |
| 12 | 2:20 - 4:00 | 2:30 | - 4:10 | 9:10 | -10:30 | 220 | -4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30 - 4:00 | 3:00 | - 4:30 | 11:40 | -13:0 | 2:30 | 4:00 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:50 | - 250 |  | - | 1:40 | - 2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40 - 2:50 | 120 | - 120 |  |  | 1:40 |  |
| 16 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:40 | - 0:40 |  | - |  |  |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:10 | - $1: 10$ |  | - |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:50 | - 0.50 |  | - |  |  |
| 19 | 2:20 - 3:50 | 2:30 | - 3:50 |  | - |  |  |
| 20 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:30 | - 3550 |  | - |  |  |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50 | - 2:50 |  | - 4.00 |  |  |
| 22 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40 | - 4:10 | 2:30 | - $4: 00$ | 2:30 | - 4.00 |
| ALl | 2:30 - 4:00 | 3:00 | - 4:30 | 11:40 | -13:00 | 2:30 | - 4:00 |

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TMAE ESTIMATES GY ERPA WINTER WEEKENDHOLDAY SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Receident Population |  | Special Facilities | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Winh Autos | Wimout Autos |  |  |
| ERPA | From-To | From. To | From-To | From-10 |
| 1 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-200 | - |  |
| 2 | 1:00 - 1:50 | 1:00 - 1500 | - |  |
| 3 | 1:00 - 2:10 | 1:10. 2000 | - 1110 |  |
| 4 | 1:00 - 1:00 | 1:10. $7: 10$ | 1:10-9:10 |  |
| 5 | 1:00 - 2:10 | 1:10 - 200 | - |  |
| 6 | 2:20 - 3:50 | 2:30-4:10 |  | 1:00 - 2.10 |
| 7 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 0:50 • 0:50 | - |  |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - |  |
| 9 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - |  |
| 10 | 1:00 - 2:10 | 1:00 - 210 | - |  |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30 - .4:00 | 8.50-10.00 | 2200 - 4:00 |
| 12 | 2:20-4:00 | 2:30-4:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}8: 50 & -10: 00 \\ 1120 & -1200\end{array}$ | 2:30 - 4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30 - 4:00 | 3:00-420 | 1120 -1220 | 0:40-0:40 |
| 14 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50 - 0: | - | 0:40-0:40 |
| 15 | 0:40-0:40 | 120. | - | 0.40 - 0.40 |
| 16 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:40-0.40 | - |  |
| 17 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 1:10-1:10 | - |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50 - 0.50 |  |  |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:20-3:50 | - |  |
| 20 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:20-3:50 | - |  |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50 - 250 | 2.30-4.00 |  |
| 2 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40 - 4:00 | 2:30 - 4:00 |  |
| AL | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00 - 420 | 11:20-12:20 | 2:30 - 4:00 |


| ERPA | Residemt Population |  | Special Freilliee | Tranients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Whin Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
|  | From-T0 | From-To | From-To | From-T0 |
| 1 | 1:10-2:10 | 1:10-2:00 | - |  |
| 2 | 1:10-2:10 | 1:00 - 1:50 | - |  |
| 3 | 1:10-2:20 | 1:10-2:00 | -110-1:00 | $\infty$ |
| 4 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2200 | 1:10 • 1:10 | 1:00 - 1:00 |
| 5 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:00 | - |  |
| 6 | 220-3:50 | 2:30-4:10 | - | 1:00-2:20 |
| 7 | 0:50 - 2:00 | 0:50 - 0:50 | - |  |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 | - |  |
| 9 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - |  |
| 10 | 1:00 - 2:10 | 1:00 - 2:10 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - |  |
| 11 | 2200 - 3:50 | 2:30 - 4:00 | $0.00 \cdot 1000$ | 120. $0^{-00}$ |
| 12 | 2200. 4:00 | 230-4:40 | 9:00-10:00 | 2:20. 4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00 - 4:30 | 1120 -12:30 | 2:30-4:00 |
| 14 | 0:40-2:00 | 0:50 - 2:00 | - | 0:40-2.00 |
| 15 | 0:40-2:00 | 1:20-1:20 | - | 0:40 - 2:0 |
| 16 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:40 - 0:40 | - |  |
| 17 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 1:10. 1:10 | $\bullet$ |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 | - |  |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:20 - 3:50 | - |  |
| 20 | 2:10 - 3:40 | 2:20 - 3:50 | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50-2:50 | 2-30-4.00 | 2.40-4.00 |
| 22 | $=: 40$ - 4:00 | 2:40 - 4:10 | 2:30 • 4:00 | 2:40-4:00 |
| All | 2:40 - 4:00 | 3:00 - 4:30 | 11:20-12:30 | 2:40-4:00 |

EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA
EVENING SCEMARIO
NORMAL WEATHER

| ERPA | Aexiden Population |  | Special Facilitien | Tranionta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Whith Autos | Wuthout Aution |  |  |
|  | From-T0 | From-To | From.To | From. To |
| ERPA | 1:50-3:00 | 1:10-2:00 | - | 1:40 - 2:30 |
| 2 | 2:00 - 3:00 | 1:10-1:50 | - | - |
| 3 | 1:40 - 2:40 | 1:10 - 2:0 | 140-9.90 | 1.00 |
| 4 | 1:50 - 2:50 | 2:10-3:10 | 1:10-1:10 | 1:00 - 1.00 |
| 5 | 1:40-2:30 | 2:10-2:00 | - | 1:40 - 2:40 |
| 6 | 220 - 3:50 | 2:30 - 4:10 |  | 1:50 - 2:50 |
| 7 | 1:50 - 2:50 | 0:50 - 0:50 |  | 1:50 - 2:50 |
| 8 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  |  |
| 0 | 0:50 - 0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 |  |  |
| 10 | 1:40-2:30 | 1:40 - 2:30 | - | 1:40 - 2:30 |
| 11 | 2:20 - 3:50 | 2:30-4:50 | $0 \cdot 20-10 \cdot 40$ |  |
| 12 | 2200 - 4:00 | 2:30 - 4:10 | 9:20-10:40 | 220-4:00 |
| 13 | 3:10 - 5:10 | 3:40-5:30 | 11:50-13:00 | 3:10-5:10 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:50 - 2:50 | - | 1:40-2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | $120 \cdot 120$ | - | 1:40-2:50 |
| 16 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:40-0:40 | - |  |
| 17 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 1:10-1:10 | - |  |
| 18 | 0:40 - 0:40 | 0:50-0:50 | - |  |
| 19 | 2:20 - 3:50 | 2:20-3:50 | - |  |
| 20 | 2:10 - 3:40 | 2:20-3:50 |  |  |
| 21 | 1:40 - 2:40 | 1:50-2:50 |  | 320 • 5:10 |
| 22 | 3:20 - 5:10 | 3:20 - 5:10 | 3:10 - 5:10 | 3:20 - 5:10 |
| ALL | 3:20 - 5:10 | 3:40 - 5:30 | 11:50-13.00 | 320 - 5:10 |

G. 5

EVACUATION TRAVE TME ESTIMATES BY ERPA
CLASSIC WEEKEND SCENARIO
ADVERSE WEATHER

| Aesidat Population |  |  | Spocial Facilities | Transiems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Autios | Without Autos |  |  |
| 1 | 8:20 | 2-20 | - | $8: 20$ |
| 2 | 3:40 | 2:10 | - | - |
| 3 | 820 | 2:20 | - | - |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:50 | 2:00 | 1:50 |
| 5 | 8:20 | 2:25 | - | - |
| 6 | $8: 20$ | 8:50 | - | $8: 20$ |
| 7 | 3:30 | 2:00 | - | 3:30 |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 | - . | - |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - | - |
| 10 | 8:20 | 8:20 | - | 8:20 |
| 11 | 6:10 | $8: 50$ | - | - |
| 12 | 8:50 | 8:50 | 13:30 | 8:40 |
| 13 | 4:50 | 5:20 | 16:30 | 4:50 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:20 | $\therefore \quad-$ | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:20 | $\because \quad$ - | 3:20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 | $\because$ | - |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - | - |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:40 | - | - |
| 19 | 8:10 | 8:20 | - | - |
| 20 | 8:10 | 8:20 | - | - |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | - | - |
| 22 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 4:50 | 4:50 |
| All | 8:50 | 8:50 | 16:30 | 8:40 |

EVACUATION TRAVEL TME ESTIMATES BY ERPA
HARBORFEST WEEKEND SCENARIO
ADVERSE WEATHER

Aesident Population

| ERPA | With Autios | Without Autos | Special Facillitas | Trancionte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6:30 | 220 | - | 0:30 |
| 2 | 2:40 | 2:10 | - |  |
| 3 | 6:30 | 2:20 | - |  |
| 4 | 2:30 | 2:50 | 120 | 1:10 |
| 5 | $6: 20$ | 220 | - |  |
| 6 | 6:30 | 6:30 | - | 6:30 |
| 7 | 2:30 | 2:00 | - |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 | - |  |
| 9 | 0:50 | 1:00 | - |  |
| 10 | 6:20 | 620 | - |  |
| 11 | 4:40 | 620 | - |  |
| 12 | 8.20 | 8:40 | 15:20 | 7:50 |
| 13 | 13:00 | 1320 | 20:10 | 12:40 |
| 14 | 2:20 | 2:30 | - | 220 |
| 15 | 2:20 | 2:20 | - | $2: 20$ |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 18 | 0:40 | 0:50 | - |  |
| 19 | 7:50 | 8:00 | - |  |
| 20 | 7:40 | 8:00 | - |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | - |  |
| 22 | 13:00 | 12:40 | 12:40 | 12:40 |
| All | 13:00 | 13:20 | 20:10 | 12:40 |

## TABLE G-2

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA

## ADVERSE WEATHER

## Note:

(1) The evacuation travel ime ranges presemed in this Table are besed on operational surutegies indiested int the evacuation implementition proceaures.
(2) The evacuation travel time fanges are indiented as nours:minutes. and inchude $\mathbf{2 0}$ minutes of public proparation tima.
(3) Adverse wather conditions are considered to be a sijppery roedwey surface (e.gn due to snow or ice), andior realueed viaibility (e.g., due to fog. heavy ran, of a severe thundernorm which may freate tratic disruptions as a resull of downed troes or powerkinegh.
(4) The population subgroups indieated in this Tabie ara:
(a) reacem posulation (with and withour automebues):
(b) apecial tecilites (sehools, colleges, nursing homes, hoaptata, other healh care facilites, residertial tacilibies such as group homes. conventh. and monasteries):
(c) traneuma (employeea, visitors to parks. resident and dey eamps, hotals, and motela).
(5) Gaps in this Table indicates thet there is no apecial lacility of transiont population in the given ERPA.
(5) The ovacuation travel time ranges presemted in this Table assume a simuktenoous evacuation of the entire EPZ. The ovacuation travel time for eny individual ERPA in a staged evecuation will not exeeed the travel time range indieted in this table.
(n) All times hove been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(B) Special fecility ovecuation travel times include the time for the multi-wave trips to evacuate the non-ambulatory population whe reauire tranaport by ambuance.

## EVACUATION TRAVE TME ESTMATES BY ERPA SCHOOL-IN-SESSHON SCEUR:O <br> aDVERSE WEATHER



EVACUATION TRAVE TME ESTMMTES BY ERPA SCHOOL-NOTANSESSION SCEMARIO ADVERSE WEATHER

| Aesident Population |  |  | Special Freilltion | Tranainti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| 1 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - | 4:30 |
| 2 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - | 4:30 |
| 3 | 4:00 | 4:40 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 4 | 3:50 | 4:00 | 220 | 3:50 |
| 5 | 4:30 | 4:40 | . | 4:00 |
| 6 | 8:50 | 9:10 | - | 8:50 |
| 7 | 3:40 | 2:30 | - | 3:40 |
| 8 | 2:20 | 2.00 | - | 2:20 |
| 9 | 2:00 | $2: 20$ | - | 2:00 |
| 10 | 4:30 | $4: 00$ | - | 4:30 |
| 11 | 8:50 | 9:00 | - | 8:50 |
| 12 | 8:50 | 9:00 | 1520 | 8:50 |
| 13 | 7:50 | 8.20 | 18:10 | 7:50 |
| 14 | 3:40 | 3:30 | - . | 3:40 |
| 15 | 3:40 | 2:50 | - | 3:40 |
| 16 | 2:10 | 2:20 | 1:30 | 2:10 |
| 17 | 2:00 | 2:20 | - | 1:40 |
| 18 | 2:00 | 2:00 | - | 2:00 |
| 19 | 8:50 | 8:30 | - | 5:30 |
| 20 | 8:40 | 8:30 | - | 8:40 |
| 21 | 5:00 | 5:20 | 1:40 | 5:00 |
| 22 | 7:50 | 8:00 | 7:50 | 7:50 |
| ALL | 8:50 | 9:10 | 18:10 | $8: 50$ |

EVACUATION TRAVE, TME ESTUMATES EY ERPA SUMMER WEEKENDRHOLDAY SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER

|  | Fesident | ation | Specin Fecilities | Transionts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | What Autos | Without Autos | Special facimios | Iransioms | 3:30 |
| 1 | 3:30 | 2:20 |  |  | . |
| 2 | 3:40 | 2:10 | $\bullet$ | . | - |
| 3 | 3:30 | 2:20 | 200 |  | 1:50 |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:50 | 2:0 |  |  |
| 5 | 3:30 | 2:20 | - |  | 3:30 |
| 6 | 4:40 | $5: 00$ |  |  | 3:30 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 2:00 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  | 3:30 |
| 10 | 3:30 | 3:30 | - |  | . |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:50 |  |  | 4:50 |
| 12 | $4: 50$ | 5:00 | 12.30 |  | 4:50 |
| 13 | 4:50 | 5:20 | 15:20 |  | 3:20 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:20 |  |  | 320 |
| 15 | 320 | 2:20 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:40 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - |  |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | $3: 20$ |  |  |  |
| 22 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 4:50 |  |  |
| All | 4:50 | 5:20 | 15:20 |  | 4:50 |

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TME ESTMMATES EY ERPA WINTER WEEXEND/HOLDAY SCENARIO adVERSE WEATHER

Pesident Popuiation

| Resident Population |  |  | Spocial Facilitiat | Transient $2 \cdot 10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Aution | Without Autios |  |  |
| 1 | 2:30 | 2:20 | - | 2:10 |
| 2 | 2:10 | 2:10 | - |  |
| 3 | 2:40 | 2:20 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 4 | 1:10 | $2: 00$ | 120 |  |
| 5 | 2:30 | $2: 20$ | - |  |
| 6 | 4:40 | $5: 00$ | - | 2:30 |
| 7 | 1:50 | 2:00 | - |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 | - |  |
| 9 | 0:50 | 1:00 | - |  |
| 10 | $2: 30$ | 2:30 | - |  |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:50 | 118 |  |
| 12 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 11:40 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 4:50 | 5:20 | 14:40 | 4:50 |
| 14 | 0:40 | 0:50 | - | 0:40 |
| 15 | 1:50 | 2:20 | - | $0: 40$ |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 18 | $0: 40$ | 0:50 | - |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:00 | - |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 22 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 4:50 |  |
| ALL | 4:50 | 5:20 | 14:40 | 4:50 |

## EVACLATION TRAVEL TMME ESTINATES BY ERPA <br> evening scemario <br> AOVERSE WEATHER

| Pesidem Population |  |  | Spocial Faciltioe | Tramionts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Autios | Without Autos |  |  | 3:10 |
| - | 3:30 | 2:20 | - |  |  |
| 2 | 3:40 | 2:10 | $\bullet$ |  |  |
| 3 | 3:10 | 2:20 | 200 |  | 1:50 |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:50 |  |  | - |
| 5 | 3:10 | 220 | - |  | 3:10 |
| 6 | 4:40 | 5:00 |  |  | 3:30 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 2:00 | - |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 | - |  |  |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  | 3:10 |
| 10 | 3:10 | 3:00 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:50 |  |  | 4:50 |
| 12 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 12:30 |  | 6.20 |
| 13 | 6:20 | 6:50 |  |  | 3:20 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:20 |  |  | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:20 |  |  | 320 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |  |
| 17 | :1 1:40 | $1: 50$ | - |  |  |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:40 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - |  |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | 6.20 |  | 6:20 |
| 22 | 6:20 | 6:30 | 0.0 |  |  |
| All | 620 | 6:50 | 15:30 |  | $6: 20$ |

EVACUATION TRAVE TMME ESTMMATES BY ERPA
NIGHTTIME SCENARIO
ADVERSE WEATHER

| ERPA | Aosidem Population |  | Speciel Facilliten | Transionts $2: 50$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| 早 | 2:40 | 2:20 | - | 2.50 |
| 2 | 2:40 | 2:10 | - | - |
| 3 | 2:40 | 220 | 120 | 1:10 |
| 4 | 2:30 | 2:50 | 120 | - |
| 5 | $2: 40$ | 220 |  | 2:40 |
| 6 | 4:40 | 5.00 |  |  |
| 7 | 2:30 | 200 |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 | $\bullet$ |  |
| 9 | 0:50 | 1:00 |  |  |
| 10 | 2:40 | 2:40 |  |  |
| 11 | $4: 40$ | 4:50 | 11:50 | 4:50 |
| 12 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 14:40 | 5:00 |
| 83 | 5.00 | 520 | 14.40 | 220 |
| 14 | 220 | 230 |  | 220 |
| 15 | 2:20 | 220 |  |  |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40 | 0:50 | - |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 |  |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | 4:50 | 5:00 |
| 22 | 5:00 | 5:00 |  |  |
| A | 5:00 | 5:20 | 14:40 | 5.00 |

## TABLE G-3

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA SCHOOL-IN-SESSION SCENARIO .NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Population |  | Special Facilties | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| ERPA | From-T0 | From - To | From - To | From - To |
| 1 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 | - | 2:20-3:50 |
| 2 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:20-3:50 | - | 2:10-3:40 |
| 3 | 1:50-2:50 | 2:30-4:00 | -110-200 | - |
| 4 | 1:00-1:50 | 1:10-2:40 | 1:10-2:00 | 1:00-1:50 |
| 5 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:30-4:00 | - | 1:50-2:50 |
| 6 | 4:00-7:10 | 4:20-7:30 | - | 4:00-7:10 |
| 7 | 1:00-2:30 | 1:00-2:40 | - | 1:00-2:30 |
| 8 | 0:50-2:30 | 1:00-2:10 | - | 0:50-2:30 |
| 9 | 0:50-1:40 | 1:00-2:00 | - | 0:50-1:40 |
| 10 | 2:10-.3:40 | 1:50-2:50 | - | 2:10-3:40 |
| 11 | 4:00-7:10 | 4:40-7:30 | 10:50-12:40 | 4:00-7:10 |
| 12 | 4:10-7:20 | 4:20-7:30 | 10:50-12:40 | 4:10-7:20 |
| 13 | 4:00-6:30 | 4:30-6:50 | 13:20-15:20 | 4:00-6:30 |
| 14 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 | - . | -. |
| 15 | 0:50-2:30 | 1:20-3:00 | - - | 0:50-2:30 |
| 16 | 0:40-2:20 | 0:40-2:20 | 0:40-2:20 | 0:40-2:20 |
| 17 | 0:40-2:10 | 1:20-2:20 | 0:40-1:50 | 0:40-1:50 |
| 18 | 0:40-1:40 | 0:50-2:00 | - | 0:40-1:50 |
| 19 | 4:00-7:10 | 4:10 - 7:00 | - | 2:50-4:10 |
| 20 | 3:50-7:10 | 4:10-7:00 | - | 3:50-7:10 |
| 21 | 2:40-4:30 | 2:50-4:40 | 2:40-4:30 | 2:40-4:30 |
| 22 | 4:00-6:30 | 4:10-6:40 | 4:00-6:30 | 4:00-6:30 |
| ALL | 4:10 - 7:20 | 4:30-7:30 | 13:20 - $15: 20$ | 4:10-7:20 |

Notes:
(1) The evecustion traval time ranges presented in this Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the evacuation implementation procedures. Lower bound evacuation travel times (shorter times) can be antieipated when:
(a) Unexpected tong-iemm eapacity restrictions on key highwity links owing to incidems such as accidents, vehiete breakdowns, and highway construction, do not oefur;
(b) A high state of operational readineas fraffic control effieers nobilized, traffic control devices operational, all buses stetioned to begin their infial runs) is attained;
(c) An informed and cooperative public follow directions as instructed.
(d) Dry roachway conditions exist.

Upper bound evacuation travel times (longer simes) are represantative of a aluution where:
(a) Capacity restrietions actversely sffect treffic fiow, but not to the point where a breakdown in tratic flow would resutt
(b) A low state of operational readiness results from minimal mobilization of the emergency workforce;
(c) A low degree of cooperation from the public oceurs.
(d) A light rein or snow shower results in wet pavement.
(2) The evacuation travel time ranges are indicated as hoursminutes, and include 20 minutes of public praparation time.
(3) The population aubgroups indieated in this Table ars:
(a) resident population (with and without automobiles):
(b) special facilities (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospitals, other health eare facillties, residential facilites such as group homes, convents, and monesteries);
(c) transionts (employees, visitors to parks, resident and day eamps, hotels, and motela).
(4) Gaps in this Table indieates that there is no special facility or transient population in the given ERPA.
(5) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Table assume a simuhaneous evacuation of the entire EPZ. The ovacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacuation will not exceed the travel time range indieated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the multi-wave trips to evacuate the nor-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulanes.

TABLE G-4

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA <br> SCHOOL-IN-SESSION SCENARIO adVERSE WEATHER

| Resident Population |  |  | Soecial Facillites |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | Whth Autos | Without Autos |  | Transients |
| 1 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - | 4:30 |
| 2 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - | 4:30 |
| 3 | 3:30 | 4:40 | 2 | $2 \cdot 10$ |
| 4 | 2:10 | 3:10 | 2:20 | 2:10 |
| 5 | 4:30 | 4:40 | . - | 3:20 |
| 6 | 9:00 | 9:10 | - | 9:00 |
| 7 | 3:00 | 3:10 | - | .00 |
| 8 | 3:00 | 2:30 | - | 3:00 |
| 9 | 2:00 | 2:10 | - | 2:00 |
| 10 | 4:30 | 3:20 | - | 4:30 |
| 11 | 9:00 | 9:10 | 15.10 | 9:00 |
| 12 | 9:00 | 9:10 | 15:10 | 9:00 |
| 13 | 8:00 | 8:30 | 18:30 | 8:00 |
| 14 | 1:40 | 0:50 | - | 3.00 |
| 15 | 3:00 | 3:20 | $5{ }^{-}$ | 3:00 |
| 16 | 2:50 | 2:50 | 2:50 | 2:50 |
| 17 | 2:30 | 2:50 | 2:10 | 2:10 |
| 18 | 1:50 | 2:20 | - | 2:10 |
| 19 | 8:50 . | 8:40 | - | 5:10 |
| 20 | 8:50 | 8:40 | 5.30 | 8:50 |
| 21 | 5:30 | 5:40 | 5:30 | 5:30 |
| 22 | 8:00 | 8:10 | 8:00 | 8:00 |
| $\mathrm{A} L$ | 9:00 | 9:10 | 18:30 | 9:00 |

Note:
(1) The evecuation travel time ranges preserted in this Table are based on operational strategies indiented in the evecuation implomertation procedures.
(2) The evaeuation travel time ranges are indicated as hoursiminutes, and include 20 minutot of publie praparation tima.
(3) Adverse weather conditions are considerad to be a slippery roadway aurtece (e.g., due so snow or ice). and/or redueed visibility (e.g., due to fog, heavy rain, or a severe thunderstorm which may erete trafice diaruptions as a reack of downed trees or powerlines).
(4) The population subgroups indieated in this Table are:
(a) resident population (with and without automobiles);
(b) special facilities (cchoots, colleges, nurwing homes, hosplats, other heath oare feciltios, residertial tacilities such as group homes, comvents, and monasteries);
(c)traneions (employees, visitors to parks, residerd and dey eamps, hotels, and motels).
(5) Gaps in this Table inclicetes that there is no special facility or transiont pepulation in the given ERPA.
(b) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Table assume a simultancous overuetion of the ertire EPZ The ovacustion travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged veveuntion will not cxceed the travel time range indieatad in this table.
(7) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(B) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the multi-weve trips to evacuate the nor-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

TABLE G-5

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA SCHOOL-NOT-IN-SESSION SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Population |  | Soecial Facilities | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| ERPA | From-To | From - To | From - To | From - To |
| 1 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 | - | 2:20-3:50 |
| 2 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:20-3:50 | - | 2:10-3:40 |
| 3 | 2:10-3:20 | 2:30-4:00 | 1:10-2:00 | 0 |
| 4 | 2:00-3:10 | 2:10-3:20 | 1:10-2:00 | 2:00-3:10 |
| 5 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:30-4:00 | - | 2:00-3:10 |
| 6 | 4:00-7:10 | 4:20 - 7:30 | - | 4:00-7:10 |
| 7 | 1:50-3:00 | 1:00-2:10 | - | 1:50-3:00 |
| 8 | 0:50-2:00 | 1:00 - 1:00 | - | 0:50-2:00 |
| 9 | 0:50-1:40 | 1:00-2:00 | - | 0:50-1:40 |
| 10 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:00-3:10 | - | 2:10-3:40 |
| 11 | 4:00-7:10 | 4:10-7:20 |  | 4:00-7:10 |
| 12 | 4:00-7:10 | 4:10-7:20 | 10:50-12:50 | 4:00 - 7:10 |
| 13 | 4:00-6:20 | 4:20-6:50 | 13:20-15:20 | 4:00-6:20 |
| 14 | 1:50-3:00 | 2:00-3:00 | - . | 1:50-3:00 |
| 15 | 1:50-3:00 | 1:20-2:30 | . $40-0.40$ | 1:50-3:00 |
| 16 | 0:40-1:50 | 0:40 - 2:00 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-1:50 |
| 17 | 0:40-1:40 | 1:10-2:00 | - | 0:40-0:40 |
| 18 | 0:40-1:40 | 0:50 - 1:40 | - | 0:40-1:40 |
| 19 | 4:00-7:10 | 4:10 - 7:00 | - | 3:00-4:20 |
| 20 | 3:50-7:00 | 4:10 - 7:00 |  | 3:50-7:00 |
| 21 | 2:30-4:10 | 2:40-4:20 | 0:40-0:40 | 2:30-4:10 |
| 22 | 4:00-6:20 | 4:00-6:30 | 4:00-6:20 | 4:00-6:20 |
| ALL | 4:00-7:10 | 4:20 7:30 | 13:20-15:20 | 4:00-7:10 |

Notes:
(1) The evacuation travel time renges preserted in this Table are based on oparational strategiet indicated in the ovacuation implementation procedures. Lower bound ovecustion trevel times (shortar times) ean be anticipated when
(a) Unexpected long-term eapaetiy restrictions on kry highway links owing to incidents such as accidents,vehicle breakdowns, and highway construetion, do not oceur,
(b) A high state of operational readiness firatfic cortrol officers mobilized, traffic control devices operational, all buses stationed to begin their initial nurs) is attained; -
(c) An informed and cooperative public follow directions as instrusted.
(d) Dry roactwaly conditions exist

Upper bound evacuetion traval times (longer times) are sapresentative of a situraion where:
(a) Capacity restrictions adversely effect traffic flow, but not to the point whore a breakdown in truffic flow would resuk;
(b) A low state of operational readinest results from minimal mobiliextion of the emergency workioree;
(c) A low degree of cooperation from the public oecurs.
(d) A light rain or snow shower results in wet pavement
4) The evacuation traval time ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of publie preperation time.
(3) The population subgroups indieated in this Table are:
(a) resident population (with and without automobiles):
(b) special facilities (schoois. eolieges, nursing homes, hospitals, other health eare facilities, residential facilitios weh ses group homes, converts, and monasteries):
(c) transiants (employees, visitors to parks, resident and day eampt, hotels, and motels).
(4) Gaps in this Table indicates that there is no apecial facility or transient population in the given ERPA.
(5) The evacuation travel time ranges presemted in this Table assume a simultanous evacuation of the antire EPZ. The ovacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged wacuation will not exeeed the travel time range indicated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the muli-wave trips to evacuate the non-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

## TABLE G-6

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA SCHOOL-NOT-IN-SESSION SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER

| Resident Pooutation |  |  |  |  | Transierts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Autos | Without Autis | Special Facilties |  |  |
| 1 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - |  | 4:30 |
| 2 | 4:30 | 4:40 | . $\cdot$ |  | 4:30 |
| 3 | 4:00 | 4:40 | $20^{\circ}$ | . | $5{ }^{-}$ |
| 4 | 3:50 | 4:00 | 2:20 |  | 3:50 |
| 5 | 4:30 | 4:40 | . - |  | 4:00 |
| 6 | 8:50 | 9:10 | . - |  | 8:50 |
| 7 | 3:40 | 2:30 | - |  | 3:40 |
| 8 | 2:20 | 2:00 | - |  | 2:20 |
| 9 | 2:00 | 2:20 | - |  | 2:00 |
| 10 | 4:30 | 4:00 | - |  | 4:30 |
| 11 | 8:50 | 9:00 | 15:20 |  | 8:50 |
| 12. | 8:50 | 9:00 | 15:20 |  | 8:50 |
| 13 | 7:50 | 8:20 | 18:10 |  | 7:50 |
| 14 | 3:40 | 3:30 | - |  | 3:40 |
| 15 | 3:40 | 2:50 | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 3:40 |
| 16 | 2:10 | 2:20 | 1:30 |  | 2:10 |
| 17 | 2:00 | 2:20 | - |  | 1:40 |
| 18 | 2:00 | 2:00 | - |  | 2:00 |
| 19 | 8:50 | 8:30 | - |  | 5:30 |
| 20 | 8:40 | 8:30 | * |  | 8:40 |
| 21 | 5:00 | 5:20 | 1:40 |  | 5:00 |
| 22 | 7:50 | 8:00 | 7:50 |  | 7:50 |
| ALL | 8:50 | 9:10 | 18:10 |  | 8:50 |

Noter:
(1) The evecuation travel time ranges prosentadin thiss Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the wacuation implementation procodures.
(2) The ovecuation travel time ranges are indicated as hours:minutes, and inelude 20 minctes of public proparation time.
(3) Adverse walther conditions are considered to be a slippery roadway surtace (e.g., due to znow or iop), and/or reduced visibility (e.f., due to fog, heavy rein, or a sovere thunderntorm which may create trafic disnuptions as a reauth of downed trees or powerines).
(4) The populetion subgroupt indieated in this Table are:
(a) resident population (with and without automobies);
(B) special tacillies (schook, colloges, nursing homes, hospitals, other haalith cire freilises, reaidential facilibes weh as group homes. corverts, and monasteries);
(e) transionts (employees, visitors to parks, rosident and day campa, hotels, and motats).
(5) Gape in this Table indieates that there is no spociel fecility or transient population in the given ERPA.
(G) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in tris Table sasume a simultaneous ovecuntion of the artire EPZ The ovacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacuation will not excoed the travel time range indiemed in this table.
(n) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(8) Special facility evacuation travel times inelude the time for the multi-wave trips to evacuate the non-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

TABLE G-7
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA
SUMMER WEEKEND/HOLIDAY SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Popuriation |  | Special Facilties | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| ERPA | From-To | From - To | From-To | From - To |
| 1 | 1:50-3:00 | 1:10-2:00 |  | 1:50-2:50 |
| 2 | 2:00-3:00 | 1:00-1:50 | - |  |
| 3 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:10-2:00 |  |  |
| 4 | 1:50-2:50 | 2:10-3:10 | 1:10-1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 5 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:10-2:00 |  |  |
| 6 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:10 | - | 1:50-2:50 |
| 7 | 1:50-2:50 | 0:50-0:50 |  | 1:50-2:50 |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 | - |  |
| 10 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:50 - 2:50 | - | 1:50-2:50 |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 |  |  |
| 12 | 2:20-4:00 | 2:30-4:10 | 9:10-10:30 | 2:20-4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00-4:30 | 11:40-13:00 | 2:30-4:00 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:50-2:50 |  | 1:40-2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:20-1:20 | - | 1:40-2:50 |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-0:40 | - | - |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:10-1:10 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  |  |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-3:50 | - | - |
| 20 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:30-3:50 | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50-2:50 |  |  |
| 22 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40-4:10 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:30-4:00 |
| ALL | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00-4:30 | 11:40-13:00 | 2:30-4:00 |

Notes:
(1) The ovacuation trevel time ranges presanted in this Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the evacuation implementation procedures. Lower bound evacuation travel times (shortor times) ean be anticipated when:
(a) Unexpected long-term capacity restrietions on key highway links owring to incidents such as accidents,vehiele breakdowns, and highway construetion, do not oceur.
(b) A high state of operational readiness fireffic eertrol efficers mobifized, traffic control devices operational, al buses stationed to begin their initial rurs) is atteined;
(c) An informed and cooperative public follow directions as instrueted.
(d) Dry roadway conditions exist

Upper bound evacuation travel times (longer times) are represertetive of a sturation where:
(a) Capacity restrietions edversaly dftect tratfic flow, but not to the poirt where a breakdown in tratrie fiow would reaulty
(b) A low state of operitional readiness results from minimal mobiliestion of the emergency workforce:
(c) A low degree of eooperition from the public oeeurs.
(d) A light rain or snow shower results in wet pevemert
(2) The evecuation travel time ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of publie praparation time.
(3) The population subgroups indieated in this Table ars:
(a) residant population (with and withour automobiles);
(b) special facilities (sehools, colleges, nursing homes, hospitals, other heath care facilities, residential feeilities weh es group homes, convents, and monasteries):
(c) transients (employees, visitors to parks, residem and day eamps, hotets, and motets).
(4) Gaps in this Table indieates that there is no special facility or transient population in the given ERPA.
(5) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Table assume a aimultaneous wacuation of the entire EPZ The ovacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacuation will not excoed the truvel time range indieated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the multiwave trips to evacuate the non-ambulatory population who require transpon by ambulance.

TABLE G-8

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA SUMMER WEEKEND/HOLIDAY SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER

| Resident Poputation |  |  | Special Facilities | $\frac{\text { Transients }}{3: 30}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| 1 | 3:30 | 2:20 |  |  |
| 2 | 3:40 | 2:10 |  |  |
| 3 | 3:30 | 2:20 | 2.00 | 1:50 |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:50 | 2.00 |  |
| 5 | 3:30 | 2:20 | - | 3:30 |
| 6 | 4:40 | 5:00 |  | 3:30 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 2:00 |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 |  | - |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  | 3:30 |
| 10 | 3:30 | 3:30 | - | 3.30 |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:50 | 12:30 | 4:50 |
| 12 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 15:20 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 4:50 | 5:20 | 15:20 | 3:20 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:20 |  | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:20 |  | 3.20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:40 |  |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 |  |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | 4.50 |  |
| 22 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 4:50 |  |
| AH | 4:50 | 5:20 | 15:20 | 4:50 |

Noter:

1) The evacuntion travel time ranges precented in this Tabie are beeed on operational strategies indiented in the evacuation implemantetion procedures.
$*$ The ovacuation travel time ranges are indicated as hours:minutes, and include 20 mirutes of public praparation time.
(3) Adverse weather conditions are eorsidered to be a slippery roedway surtaee ( 0.0 , dua to snow or ien), andlor reduced vieibility (e.g., due to fog, heavy riin, or a sovere thunderterm which may ereate trulfic dieruptions as a meun of downed trees or powerlines).
(4) The population subgroups indiceted in this Table ser:
(a) resident population (with and without automebies);
(b) special facilties (schools, colleges, nuring homes, boepithls, other health care facillies, residerial faclitios weh as group homes, corverts, and monastimies):
(c) trensiorts (employ ees, visitors to parks, residerd and dey eampa, hotais, end motets).
(5) Gape in this Table inclicates that there is no special faciliay of trassient population in the givan ERPA.
(6) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Table assume a simultaneous evacuation of tive antire Epz. The vacurtion traval time for any individual EPPA in a staged evacuation will not exeeed the travel time range indiemed in this table.
(7) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(8) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the multiwave trips to evacuate the non-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

TABLE G-9

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA WINTER WEEKEND/HOLIDAY SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Poputation |  | Special Facilities | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| ERPA | From-To | From-To | From-To | From-To |
| 1 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:00 | - | 1:00-1:50 |
| 2 | 1:00-1:50 | 1:00-1:50 | - | - |
| 3 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:00 | 1:10-1:10 |  |
| 4 | 1:00-1:00 | 1:10-1:10 | 1:10-1:10 | - |
| 5 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:00 | - | 1:00-2.10 |
| 6 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:10 | - - | 1:00-2:10 |
| 7 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:50-0:50 | - | - |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 | - | - |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 | - | - |
| 10 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:00-2:10 | - . | - |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 | 9.50-10:00 | 2:20-4:00 |
| 12 | 2:20-4:00 | 2:30-4:10 | 8:50-10:00 | 2:20-4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00 - 4:20 | 11:20-12:20 | 2:30-4:00 |
| 14 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 | - | 0:40-0:40 |
| 15 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:20-1:20 | - | 0:40-0:40 |
| 16 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | 0:40-0:40 | - | - |
| 17 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | 1:10-9:10 | - | - |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 | - | - |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:20-3:50 | - | - |
| 20 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:20-3:50 | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50-2:50 | 2:30-4:00 | - |
| 22 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40-4:00 | 2:30-4:00 | - |
| ALl | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00-4:20 | 11:20-12:20 | 2:30-4:00 |

Notes:
(1) The evacuation travel time ranges preserted in this Table are besed on operational strategies indieated in the ovacuation implememtation procedures. Lewer bound evacuation travel times (shortar times) ean be anticipated when:
(a) Unexpected long-tem eapacity restrictions on key highway links owing to incidents such as aeciderts,vehiele braakdowns, and higinwly construction, do not oeeur.
(b) A high state of operational readiness fratfic eortrol officers mobilized, tratic control dovices operational, all buses stationed to begin their initial rurs) is treined;
(c) An informed and cooperative public foliow direstions as instrueted.
(d) Dry roadway conditions most

Upper bound evacuation truvel times (longer times) are representative of a situetion whera:
(a) Capacity restrictions adversely sffect traflie flow, but not to the point where a breakdown in tratie flow would reauth
(b) A low stete of operational readiness results from minimal mobilization of the emergency workforee;
(c) A low degree of coopertion from the public oceurs.
(d) A fight rein or snow shower results in wat pevement.
(2) The evacuation travel time ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of public preparation time.
(3) The population subgroups indieated in this Teble are:
(a) resident population (with ard without automobiles);
(b) special facilitios (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospltals, othat hatith eare facilities, residemial facllities such as group homes, convents, and monasteries);
(c) transients (employees, visitors to parks. residant and day eamps, hotels, and motels).
(4) Gaps in this Table indicates that there is no special facility or transient population in the given ERPA.
(5) The evacuation travel time ranges presemed in this Table assume a simultaneous evaeustion of the entire EPZ The evacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evaeuation will not exceed the travel time range indicated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility ovacuation travel times include the time for the multi-wave trips to ovaciuate the nomambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

## TABLE G-10

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA WINTER WEEKEND/HOLDAY SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER .

| Resident Population |  |  |  | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | Whth Autos | Whthout Autos | Special Facillies |  |
| 1 | 2:30 | 2:20 | - | 2:10 |
| 2 | 2:10 | 2:10 | - |  |
| 3 | 2:40 | 2:20 | 120 |  |
| 4 | 1:10 | 2:00 | 120 | , |
| 5 | 2:30 | 2:20 | . - | $2 \cdot$ |
| 6 | 4:40 | 5:00 | - | 2:30 |
| 7 | 1:50 | 2:00 | - |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50 | 1:00 | - |  |
| 10 | 2:30 | 2:30 | - |  |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:50 | 11.40 | 4.50 |
| 12 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 11:40 | 4.50 |
| 13 | 4:50 | 5:20 | 14:40 | 4:50 |
| 14 | 0:40 | 0:50 | - | 0:40 |
| 15 | 4:50 | 2:20 | - | 0.40 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 18 | 0:40 | 0:50 | - |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | - |
| 22 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 4:50 |  |
| All | 4:50 | 5:20 | 14:40 | 4:50 |

Note:
(1) The aveuation travel time ranges precerted in this Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the ovecuation implememtation procedures.
( 4 ) The ovacuation traval time ranges are indieated es hours:minutes, and inelude 20 minutes of public preparation time.
(3) Adverse wather conditions are conaidered to be a slippery roedway surtsee (0.0., due to snow or ied), and/or reduced viaibility (e.o., due to fog, heavy rain, or a severe thundertorm which may ereate trulic dianuptions as a meuli of downed trees or pewerlinesy.
(4) The population subgroups indieated in this Table ere:
(a) resident population (with and without autromobiles);
 group homes, comments, and monmenteries);
(c) tramionts (employees, visiters to parks, resident and day campe, hotels, and motels).
(G) Gaps in this Table indieates that there is no special facility of transiant poputaion in the given EPPA.
(6) The ovacuation treval time ranges presentad in this Table aseume e simultanoous ovacuation of the entire EPZ The cvacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacuation will not exceed the travel time renge indiented in this table.
(7) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(B) Speeial facility evacuation travel times inciude the time for the mubi-wave trips so evecuate the non-ambulatery population who require transport by ambulance.

## TABLE G-11

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA EVENING SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Population |  | Special Facillies | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| ERPA | From-To | From - To | From - To | From-To |
| 1 | 1:50-3:00 | 1:10-2:00 | - | 1:40-2:30 |
| 2 | 2:00-3:00 | 1:10-1:50 | - | - |
| 3 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:10-2:00 | 1:10-1:10 | 1.00-1:00 |
| 4 | 1:50-2:50 | 2:10-3:10 | 1:10-1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 5 | 1:40-2:30 | 1:10-2:00 | - |  |
| 6 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:10 | - | 1:40-2:40 |
| 7 | 1:50-2:50 | 0:50-0:50 | - | 4:50-2:50 |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 | - | - |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 | - | 1,40-2.30 |
| 10 | 1:40-2:30 | 1:40-2:30 | - | 1:40-2:30 |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 | - - 10.40 | - |
| 12 | 2:20-4:00 | 2:30-4:10 | 9:20-10:40 | 2:20-4:00 |
| 13 | 3:10-5:10 | 3:40-5:30 | 11:50-13:00 | 3:10-5:10 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:50-2:50 | - | 1:40-2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:20-1:20 | - | 1:40-2:50 |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-0:40 | - | - |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:10-1:10 | - | - |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 | - | - |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:20-3:50 | - | - |
| 20 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:20-3:50 | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50-2:50 | - ${ }^{-}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| 22 | 3:20-5:10 | 3:20-5:10 | 3:10-5:10 | 3:20-5:10 |
| All | 3:20-5:10 | 3:40-5:30 | 11:50-13:00 | 3:20-5:10 |

## Noter:

(1) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Table are besed on operational managies indiested in the evacuation implemertation procedures. Lower bound evecuation travel times (shoner times) ean be anticipated when:
(a) Unexpected longiterm eapacity restrietions on key highway links owing to incidents such ss accidents, vehiele breakdowns, and higinway construction, do not oecur,
(b) A high state of operational readinest fraffic control officens mobilized, trefie contel deviees operutional, all buses atationed to begin their initial runs) is athined;
(c) An informed and eooperative public follow directions as inwructed.
(d) Dry rondway conditions exist.

Upper bound evacuation travel times (longer times) ere represertative of a situetion where:
(a) Cepmeity reatrietions adversely tifect triffic fiow, but not to the poirt where abreakdown in tratic flow would rount
(b) A low state of opertional readinest results from minimal mobilization of the ernergency workforee:
(c) A low degree of eooperation trom the public oceurs.
(d) A light rein of snow shower resulss in wet pavemert
(2) The evacuation travel tirte ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of public proparation time.
(3) The population subgroups indieated in this Teble are:
(a) reaident population (with and without automobiles);
(b) specia facilities (schools, colleget, nursing homes, hospitals, other health care facilities, residertial facilties such as group homes, corverts, and monasteries);
(c) transioms (employees, visitors to parks, resident and day eampt, hotels, and metels).
(4) Gaps in this Table indientes that there is ne special facility or transient population in the given ERPA
(5) The evacuation traval time ranges presented in this Table assume a simultaneous ovaeuation of the ertire EPZ. The evacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged ovecuation will not exceed the travel time range indieated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility evacuation treval times include the time for the multi-wave trips to evacuate the nor-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA EVENING SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER

| Resident Poputation |  |  | Special Facilties | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |
| . 1 | 3:30 | 2:20 |  |  |
| 2 | 3:40 | 2:10 | - |  |
| 3 | 3:10 | 2:20 | 2.00 |  |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:50 | 2:00 | 1:50 |
| 5 | 3:10 | 2:20 |  |  |
| 6 | 4:40 | 5:00 | - - | 3.10 3.30 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 2:00 |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 |  |  |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - | $3 \cdot 10$ |
| 10 | 3:10 | 3:00 |  | $3: 10$ |
| 11. | 4:40 | 4:50 | $12 \cdot 30$ | 4:50 |
| 12 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 12:30 | 6:20 |
| 13 | 6:20 | 6:50 | 15.30 | 3:20 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:20 | - | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:20 |  | 3.20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 4:50 | - |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:40 | - |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | $6 \cdot 20$ | 6.20 |
| 22 | 6:20 | 6:30 | 6:20 | 6:20 |
| ALL | 6:20 | , 6:50 | 15:30 | 6:20 |

## Notes

1) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Tabie are based on operabional atratogies indieated in the evacuation implementetion procedures.
(4) The evaeuation traval time ranges are indieated as hoursiminutes, and include 20 minuthe of public praparation time.
(3) Adverse weather condibions are considernd to be a slippery roachway surfee ( 0.0 , due to snow or iee), andfor reduced viaibility ( $0 . g$, due to fog, heavy rain, or a sovere thunderstorm which may create yratic diarrptions as a reaut of downed trees or powertines).
(4) The population subgroups indiented in this Table are:
(d) rouident population (with and without autemobiva);
 group homes, convents, and montesteries);
(c) tranaients (employees, vistitors to parke, reaident and day eamps, hotets, and motele).
(5) Gaps in this Table indieates that there is no special tecility or tranciem population in the given ERPA
(5) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Table aseume a simumancous evacuation of the entire EPZ The ovacuation travel time for ary individual ERPA in a staged evecuation will not meeed the travel time range indieated in this table.
(7) All times have been rounded to the nearest 90 mirutres
(8) Special facility ovacuation travel times include the time for the multiwave trips to ovacuate the non-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA NIGHTTIME SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Poputation |  | Special Facillies |  | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autos | Without Autos |  |  |  |
| ERPA | From - To | From-To | Fro | -T0 | From-To |
| 1 | 1:10-2:10 | 1:10-2:00 |  | - | 1:10-2:10 |
| 2 | 1:10-2:10 | 1:00-1:50 |  | - | - |
| 3 | 1:10-2:20 | 1:10-2:00 |  | - |  |
| 4 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:20 | 1:10 | -1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 5 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:00 |  | - | 1:00-2:20 |
| 6 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:10 |  | - | 1:00-2:20 |
| 7 | 0:50-2:00 | 0:50-0:50 | , | - | - |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  | - | - |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  | - | - |
| 10 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:00 - 2:10 |  | - | - |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30 - 4:00 |  | - | 2-20-4:00 |
| 12 | 2:20-4:00 | 2:30-4:10 | 9:00 | -10:00 | 2:20-4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00 - 4:30 | 11:20 | -12:30 | 2:30-4:00 |
| 14 | 0:40-2:00 | 0:50-2:00 |  | - | 0:40-2:00 |
| 15 | 0:40-2:00 | 1:20-1:20 |  | - | 0:40-2:00 |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-0:40 |  | - | - |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:10-1:10 |  | - | - |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  | - | - |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:20-3:50 |  | - | - |
| 20 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:20-3:50 |  | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50-2:50 |  | - | 2,40-4:00 |
| 22 | 2:40-4:00 | 2:40-4:10 | 2:30 | -4:00 | 2:40-4:00 |
| ALL | 2:40-4:00 | 3:00-4:30 | 11:20 | -12:30 | 2:40-4:00 |

Notes:
(1) The evaeuation travel time ranges presented in this Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the ovaeuation implementation procedures. Lower bound waeuation travel times (ahorter times) ean be anticipated whon:
(a) Unexpected long-term capacity restrictions on key highway links owing to incidents such as aceidents,vehicie breakdowns, and highway construction, do not oceur;
(b) A high stete of operational readiness (traffic control officers mobilized, grafic control devices operational, all buses stationed to begin their initial nuns) is attained;
(c) An informed and cooperaive public follow directiens as indrueted.
(d) Dry roadway conditions exist

Upper bound evacuation traval times (longar times) are representative of a simation where:
(i) Capacity restrictions actversely affect traffic fiow, bua not to the point where a breakdown in traffic flew would result;
(b) A low state of opertional neadiness results trom minimal mobilization of the emergency wortarce;
(c) A low degree of cooperation from the public oceurs.
(d) A light rain or snow thower results in wit povernent
(A) The evacuation traval time ranges ars indieated as hours:minutes, and inelude 20 minuthe of public preparation time.
(3) The population subgroups indiented in this Teble ara:
(a) residem population (with and without automebiles);
(b) special facilities (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospitats, other health care facilities, residential facillives such at group homes, comverts, and monatieries):
(c) transiants (employees, visitors to parks, resident and day eamps, hotels, and motels).
(4) Gaps in this Table incleates that there is no special facility or transient populetion in the given ERPA
(5) The evecuation travel time ranges presented in this Table assume a simultaneous ovacuation of the entire EPZ The evacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged ovacuation will not exceed the travel time range indieated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the muli-wave trips to evacuate the non-anbulation population who require transpor by ambulance.

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA NIGHTTIME SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER .

| Resident Population |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | With Autios | Without Autos | Special Facillties | Transients |
| 1 | 2:40 | 2:20 | - | 2:50 |
| 2 | 2:40 | 2:10 | - | - |
| 3 | 2:40 | 2:20 | 12 | $1: 10$ |
| 4 | 2:30 | 2:50 | 1:20 | 1:10 |
| 5 | 2:40 | 2:20 | . |  |
| 6 | 4:40 | 5:00 | - | 2:40 |
| 7 | 2:30 | 2:00 |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 | - |  |
| 9 | 0:50 | 1:00 |  |  |
| 10 | 2:40 | 2:40 |  |  |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:50 |  | 4.50 |
| 12 | 4:50 | 5:00 | $11: 50$ $14: 40$ | 5:00 |
| 13 | 5:00 | 5:20 2:30 | 14:40 | 5:20 |
| 14 15 | 2:20 | 2:30 | - | 2:20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 18 | 0:40 | 0:50 | - |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 20 | 4:30 | 4:40 | - |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | 4.50 |  |
| 22 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 4:50 | 5:00 |
| ALL | 5:00 | 5:20 | 14:40 | 5:00 |

Notes:
(1) The evacuation travel time ranges presarted in this Table are based on operationel strategies indieated in the evacuation implememation procedurea.
(2) The avacuation travel time ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of public proparation ime.
(3) Adverse weather conditions are considered to be a slippery roedway surtace (e.9., due to snow er iee), and/or roduced visibility (e.g., due so fog, heavy rain, or a severe thundertorm which may creste traffic dienuptione as a result of downed trese or powerines).
(4) The populetion subgroupe indieated in this Teble aro:
(a) rosident population (with and without automobiles);
 group homes, corverts, and monasteries);
(e) tranaionts (employess, vistions to parks, resident and day campe, hotets, and motela).
(5) Gape in this Table indieates thed there is no special facility or traseiem pepulation in the given ERPA.
(G) The evacuation travel time ranges prasented in this Table ascume a simultaneous evacuation of the entire EPZ The evacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacuation will not exceed the travel time range indieated in utis teble.
(7) All times have been rounded so the nearost 10 minutes.
(8) Spocial facility ovacuation travel times include the time for the multi-wave trips to ovacuate the nomambulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

## TABLE G-15

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA CLASSIC WEEKEND SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Population |  | Special Facilities |  | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | What Autos | Without Autos |  |  |  |
| ERPA | From - To | From - To | Fro | -To | $\frac{\text { From - To }}{4 \cdot 00-6.40}$ |
| 1 | 4:00-6:40 | 1:10-2:00 |  | - | 4:00-6:40 |
| 2 | 2:00-3:00 | 1:00-1:50 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4:00-6:50 | 1:10-2:00 |  | -1:10 |  |
| 4 | 1:50-2:50 | 2:10-3:10 | 1:10 | - 1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 5 | 4:00-6:40 | 1:10-2:00 |  | - |  |
| 6 | 4:00-6:50 | 4:20-7:10 |  | - | 4:00-6:50 |
| 7 | 1:50-2:50 | 0:50 - 0:50 |  | - | 1:50-2:50 |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 |  | - |  |
| 10 | 4:00-6:40 | 4:00-6:40 |  | - | 4:00-6:40 |
| 11 | 2:50-5:00 | 4:20-7:10 |  | 19.20 |  |
| 12 | 4:20-7:20 | 4:20-7:10 | 9:40 | -11:20 | 4:10-7:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 3:00 - 4:30 | 12:20 | -14:00 | 2:30-4:00 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:50-2:50 |  | - | $1: 40-2: 50$ $1: 40-2: 50$ |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:20-1:20 |  | - | 1:40-2:50 |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-0:40 |  | - | - |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:10 - 1:10 |  | - |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  | - | - |
| 19 | 3:50-6:40 | 4:10-6:50 |  | - | , |
| 20 | 3:50-6:40 | 4:10-6:50 |  | - | - |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50-2:50 |  | - 400 | 2.30-4.00 |
| 22 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40-4:10 | 2:30 | - 4:00 | 2:30-4:00 |
| ALH | 4:20-7:20 | 4:20 - 7:10 | 12:20 | -14:00 | 4:10-7:00 |

Notes:
(1) The evacuation traval time ranges preaented in this Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the overeuation implementation procedures. Lower bound ovacuation travel times (shorter times) ean be anticipated when:
(a) Unexpected tongterm capactiy reatrictions on key highway links owing to incidents sueh as aecidents,vehicle braakdowns, and highway construction, do not oceur,
(b) A high state of operational readinest fraffic eontrol onfieers mobiliced, traffic control deviees operational, all buses stationed to begin their initial rums) is atseined;
(c) An informed and cooperative public follow directions as instructed.
(d) Dry roscwway condition exist

Upper bound evacution trevel times (longer times) are representstive of a situation where:
(a) Cepecity restrictions edversely atfiett tratie flow, but not to the point where a breakdown in trafic flow mould reatle
(b) A low state of operational resdinese realbe from minimal mobilication of the emergency worlateree;
(c) A low degree of cooperation trom the public oceurs.
(d) A light rain or snow shower results in wet pevement
(Z) The ovacuation travel time ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include $\mathbf{2 0}$ minutes of public prepartion time.
(3) The population subgroups indieated in this Table are:
(a) residemt population (with and without automobiles);
(b) special faeilities (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospltets, other heath care facilities, mesidertial facilities such es group homes, convents, and monasteries);
(c) transients (employees, vistions to parks, rasident and day eamps, hotels, and motels).
(4) Gaps in this Table indieates that there is no special facility or transient populision in the given ERPA
(5) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Tabte assume a simukaneous wacuation of the entire EPZ The ovacuation travel time for any individum ERPA in a staged evacuation will not exceed the travel time range indieated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the muti-wave trips to evacuate the non-ambulatory population who require transport by ambulanee.

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA CLASSIC WEEKEND SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER .

| Resident Population |  |  | Special Facilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERPA | Winh Autos | Whthout Autos |  | Transients |
| $\frac{1}{1}$ | 8:20 | 2:20 |  | 8:20 |
| 2 | 3:40 | 2:10 |  |  |
| 3 | 8:20 | 2:20 | 2.00 | 1:50 |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:50 | 2:00 | 1.50 |
| 5 | 8:20 | 2:20 | . - | $8 \cdot 20$ |
| 6 | 8:20 | 8:50 |  | 3:30 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 2:00 | - | 3.30 |
| 8 | 1:50 | 1:00 |  |  |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  | 8:20 |
| 10 | 8:20 | 8:20 | - | 8.20 |
| 11 | 6:10 | 8:50 | $13 \cdot 30$ | 8:40 |
| 12 | 8:50 | 8:50 | 16.30 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 4:50 | 5:20 | 16:30 | 3:20 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:20 | - | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:20 | - | 3.20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:50 | - |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:40 |  |  |
| 19 | 8:10 | 8:20 | - |  |
| 20 | 8:10 | 8:20 | - |  |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:20 | 4.50 | 4:50 |
| 22 | 4:50 | 5:00 | 4:50 | 4.50 |
| ALL. | 8:50 | 8:50 | 16:30 | 8:40 |

Noter:
(1) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in thist Table are based on operational stratogies indieated in the ovacuation implementation procedures.
(2) The evacuation traval time ranges are indiested as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of publie praparation time.
(3) Adverse weather conditions are considarad to be a eilippery roedway surtace (e.g., due to snow or ico), andor moduced visibilly (e.g., due to tog, heavy rain, of a severe thunderstorm which may, eresta suffie dieruptions as a reaut of downed trese or powerlines).
(A) The population eubgroups indieated in this Table are:
(d) rosidort population (with and without automobilea);
 group hornes, converts, and monacteries);
(c) transients (omployees, visitors to parks, resident and day campe, hotelis, and motela).
(5) Gaps in this Table indieates that there is no speciel tacility or transient populetion in the given ERPA.
(6) The ovacuation travel time ranges proserted in this Table ascurne a simutanoous evecuation of the entire EPZ The evacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacumion will not excesd the travel time range indiented in this table.
(7) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(B) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the multi-wave tripe to ovacuate the nomambulatory population whe require transport by ambulance.

## TABLE G-17

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA HARBORFEST WEEKEND SCENARIO NORMAL WEATHER

|  | Resident Population |  | Special Facilties |  | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Autios | Without Autos |  |  |  |
| ERPA | From- To | From - To | From | - 7 | $\frac{\text { From }-10}{3: 10-5: 10}$ |
| 1 | 3:10-5:10 | 1:10-2:00 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1:10-2:10 | 1:00-1:50 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3:10-5:10 | 1:10-2:00 | 1:10 | -1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 4 | 1:00-2:10 | 1:10-2:20 | 1.10 |  |  |
| 5 | 3:10-5:10 | $1: 10-2: 00$ $3: 20-5: 20$ |  |  | 3:10-5:10 |
| 6 | .3:10-5:10 | $3: 20-5: 20$ $0: 50-0: 50$ |  | - |  |
| 7 | 0:50-2:00 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 1:00 - 1:00 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 3:10-5:10 | $3: 10-5: 10$ $3 \cdot 10-5: 10$ |  |  |  |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 3:10-5:10 $5: 00-7: 10$ |  | -12:50 | 4:20-6:20 |
| 12 | 4:40-6:50 <br> $\mathbf{6} 40$ <br> 10 | 5:00-7:10 | 10:50 | -12:50 | 6:10-10:10 |
| 13 | 6:40-10:30 | 6:50-10:50 0 0 |  |  | 0:40-2:00 |
| 14 | 0:40-2:00 | 0:50-20.:20 |  | - | 0:40-2:00 |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-0:40 |  | - |  |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 1:10-1:10 |  | - |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  |  | - |
| 19 | 4:20-6:20 | 4:20-6:30 |  | - |  |
| 20 | 4:10-6:20 | 4:20-6:30 |  | - |  |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:50-2:50 |  | . 10 | 6:10-10:10 |
| 22 | 6:30-10:30 | 6:20-10:20 | $6: 10$ | -10:10 |  |
| AlL | 6:40-10:30 | 6:50-10:50 | 13:20 | -16:40 | 6:10-10:10 |

Notes:
(1) The wreustion travel time ranges presemed in this Tabe are besed on oparwional aralegies indieatad in the implemermwion procedures. Lowar bound evecuation travel times (ahortar tumes) amber anch as accidents, vehiele
(a) Unexpected long-term eapacity restrietions on key hig
(b) A high tate of operational readiness (tratfic control officers mobilized, truttic control devices operational, all buses stationed to begin their inltial runs) is atrained;
(c) An informed and cooperative public follow directions as instructed.
(d) Dry roadway conditions exist

Upper bound evacuation trivel times (longer times) are represertutive of a situstion where:
(a) Capacity restrictions adversely affect traffic flow, but not to the point where a breakdown in truffic flow would reaule
(b) A low state of operational readiness resulas from minimel mobilization of the emergency workfores;
(c) A low degree of cooperation from the public occurs.
(d) A light rain or snow shower results in wet povemert
(4) The evacuation travel time renges ave indieated as hours:minutes, and inelude 20 minutes of public praparation time.
(3) The population subgroups indicated in this Table are:
(a) resident population (with and without automebiles);
(b) special tacilties (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospitats, other health eare facilities, residential fecilties such as group homes, comverts, and monasteries);
(c). trensients (omployees, visitors to parks, resident and dey camps, hotets, and mosets).
4) Gaps in this Table indieates that there is no special facility or transiem population in the given ERPA
5) The ovecuation travel time ranges presented in this Tabie assume a simuhtaneous ovacuation of the entire EPZ. The ovecuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacuation will not exceed the travel time range indieated in this Table.
(6) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(7) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the multi-wave trips to evacuate the non-ambulatory population who require transpor by ambulance.

## TABLE G-18

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA <br> HARBORFEST WEEKEND SCENARIO ADVERSE WEATHER .



Nous:
(1) The evacuation travel time sanges preserted in this Table are besed on operational strategies indieated in the ovecuation implamentation procedures.
(2) The evacuation travel tirme ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of public preparation time.
(3) Advarse weather conditions are considered to.be a slippery roadwa surfeee (e.g., due to snow or ice), and/or roduced visibility (e.g., due to fog, heavy rain, or a severe thunderstorm which may ereate treffic dismptions as a rasell of downed trees of powntines).
(4) The population aubgroups indieated in this Table are:
(a) resident population (with and without automobiles);
(b) special tacilities (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospitals, other health care tacilmiea, residerdial facilitios auch as group homes, corvents, and monetseries);
(c) transients (omployeef, vishors to parks, resident and day eamps, hotels, and motels).
(5) Gaps in this Table indicates thit there is no special facilly or transient population in the given ERPA.
(6) The evacuation trevel time ranges presemed in this Table assume a simutraneous evacuation of the emtire EPZ. The vacuation travel time for any individual ERPA in a staged evacuation will not exeeed the travel time range indieated in this rable.
(7) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(8) Special facility evacuation travel times include the time for the multiwave trips to ovecuate the non-arnbulatory population who require transport by ambulance.

TABLE G-19
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES WEEKDAY SCHOOL-IN-SESSION SCENARIO


Notes:
(1) The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this Table are based on operational strategies indieated in the ovacuation implementation procedures. Lower bound ovecuation yraval times (shorter times) ean be anticipated when:
(a) Unexpected long-term capacity restrictions on key highway links owing to incidents such as accidents.vehiele braakdowns, and highway construction, do not oceur,
(b) A high state of operational readiness (ixatfic control officers mobitizad, tratific control deviees operational, all buses stationed to begin their initial runss) is atmined;
(c) An informed and coopersive public follow directions es insuructad.
(d) Dry roadway conditions exist.

Uppap bound ovacuation travel times flongar timea) are representative of a slasation where:
(a) Capacity pestrictions adversely affect tratic flow, but not to the point where a breakdown in trufic fiow would result:
(b) A low state of operational readinesa results from minimal mobilization of the emergency workiorce:
(c) A low dagree of cooperation from the public oceurs.
(d) A light rain or snow shower results in wet pavement.
(4) The evacution travel time ranges are indieated as hours:minutes, and include 20 minutes of public praparation time.
(3) Normal wather cenditions are considered to be elear sky and dry roactway pavernant for the above scenario.
(4) Adverse wather eonditions are considared to be a slippery roadwey surface (e.g., due to snow or iee), and/or reduced visibility (e.g., due to fog, heavy rain, or a severe thunderstorm which may ereate tratie dianupions as a result of downed trees or powerlines).
(5) All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
(6) Falermo Elementary Sehool is located outside of the EPZ. Evacuation times are consistent with the olememery schools loemed in Maxico Acaderny Sehool District
(7) The location of the schools are listed by ERPA, however, the variations in ovacuation times to the New York Fairgrounds for facilities located within the same ERPAs oceur because schools are located within different traffic zones which comprise the ERPA.
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## APPENDIX H

## RIDESHARING METHODȮLOGY

Experience with "both natural and man-made emergencies occurring in communities throughout the country has shown that the great majority of persons in the general population would, in the event of an emergency requiring evacuation, prefer to evacuate by private vehicle with family, neighbors and friends, rather than by some form of mass transportation. Those people with automobiles would use them to selfevacuate, taking along family members, neighbors and coworkers who may themselves not have an automobile.

Ridesharing is defined as the concept whereby those members of the transit dependent portion of the general population who do not own private vehicles would ride with a friend, neighbor or relative who has a vehicle, to a point outside the affected area in the event they are required to evacuate from their homes.

The methodology used to calculate the updated evacuation travel time estimates incorporates the assumption that $50 \%$ of the transit dependent population would evacuate by means of ridesharing and therefore would not require any public or emergency transportation resources to evacuate from the affected area.

Using standard and accepted traffic engineering techniques, the number of private vehicles and buses, respectively, using the roadway network in the course of an evacuation must be estimated in order to prepare evacuation time estimates. In preparing the Oswego evacuation time estimate update, it was reasonable to make assumptions on ridesharing, which in turn is used to determine the number of buses which may be required for purposes of servicing the general population. The updated analysis initially started with a determination, using 1990 Census data, of the number of households within each ERPA which did not report ownership of a motor vehicle. Conirasting this data with analogous data derived from the 1980 census as employed in the initial time estimates prepared in 1980 shows an average decline throughout the Oswego EPZ of households not reporting ownership of a vehicle from $10.1 \%$ in the 1980 census to $8.9 \%$ in the 1990 census. (The 1990 number is an estimate).

The incorporation of the assumption that $50 \%$ of the transit dependent population will rideshare is a result of a conservative application of a safety margin to a study which concluded that there would be $66 \%$ rideshare, or there would be two persons availing themselves of ridesharing for every person requiring bus transportation. It should be noted that a $12 \%$ reduction in permanent resident population without automobiles has occurred over the past seven years within the EPZ. This assumption is based on several factors:

1. Through a telephone survey conducted in 1987 of approximately twenty (20) nuclear sites throughout the country conducted by New York Power Authority and Con Edison representatives for the Indian Point Facility, it was learned that many utilities which are assuming ridesharing in the calculation of their evacuation time estimates assume that $66 \%$ or more of the population will rideshare. For example, at Rochester Gas and Electric's Ginna site in upstate New York, which has submitted their time estimates to, and received 350 approval from FEMA, a 50\% rideshare assumption is utilized.
2. A survey of 461 household heads residing within the Indian Point EPZ conducted by the firm of Yankelovich, Skelly and White (1980)* showed that $74 \%$ of those persons driving their own car would be willing to pick up other persons as necessary. A Roger Seasonwein Associates'Inc. survey** of 500 residents in Westchester County (1983) commissioned by Westchester County indicated that only $3 \%$ of the population would leave the area by bus, whereas twice that number - $6 \%$ of the survey respondents - would effectuate ridesharing with a friend or neighbor. Taken together, these surveys demonstrate that there would be ample opportunity for those seeking a ride to obtain it.
3. Actual historical evacuation experience has shown that ridesharing occurs in very large numbers. The train derailment and resuttant tank car explosions which occurred in Mississauga, Canada in 1979 resulted in the evacuation of nearly 217,000 members of the general population. Subsequent surveys of residents who evacuated the area revealed that only $2 \%$ used public transit or taxi.*** This means that over $87 \%$ of those not using or having their own vehicles evacuated by getting a ride with someone else. It is interesting to note that this occurred despite the absence of any preplanning for such an evacuation.

An explosion and fire at the Union Carbide Taft Plant near Taft, Louisiana in 1982 necessitated the evacuation of an estimated 16,000 people. Based on 1980 census data, there were an estimated 2,000 people in the evacuated area who did not own cars. Approximately 1,600 to 1,700 of the people without cars (roughly $83 \%$ ) received rides out of the area with friends and neighbors. Local emergency plans assumed the need for approximately 44 school buses to evacuate those people without cars. However, during the emergency, only three (3) buses were actually needed.****
4. Current literature assumes that ridesharing will occur. Work by Drabek and Stephenson, as cited in studies conducted by Alan M. Voorhees for FEMA, has documented that the transit assistance provided by local authorities may be deciined in favor of ridesharing with a friend, neighbor or relative. In these Voorhees studies, evacuation time estimates for eleven (11) of the most densely populated nuclear sites are assessed. Voorhees' analysis includes the assumption that $50 \%$ of non-vehicle owning househoids would be evacuated by friends, relatives or neighbors.'
5. FEMA has given indication that the use of a ridesharing assumption is acceptable and appropriate.

KLD Associates, Inc., which on occasion has been contracted by FEMA to perform evacuation related studies, states that in the case of the Seabrook site,

* A Report on Temporary Housing Needs Related to Evacuation of the Indian Point Power Plant Area, Yankelovich, Skelly and White, Inc., 1980.
** Public Opinion Poll conducted by Roger Seasonwein Associates, Inc., 1983.
*** Mississauga Evacuates: A Report on the Closing of Canada's Ninth Largest City, prepared by NUS Corporation for the Power Authority of the State of New York.
**** Detailed Report on the Evacuation of December 11, 1982, prepared by
Environsphere Company for Louisiana Power and Light Company, 1983.
*****National Environmental Studies Project Planning Concepts and
Decision Criteria for Sheltering and Evacuation in a Nuclear Power Plant Emergencv, AIF/NESP-031, 1985

FEMA has suggested that $80 \%$ of the transit dependent population could evacuate by sharing space in their neighbor's private vehicles*. In telephone conversations with the Emergency Planning Manager at the Seabrook site, it was stated that the Regional Assistance Committee (RAC) suggested that Seabrook consider incorporating ridesharing in their evacuation time estimates based on documentation supported by events such as the Mississaugua evacuation. Even though ridesharing was over $80 \%$ at Mississaugua, the Seabrook site decided on a conservative factor of $50 \%$. As the result of contentions raised during the Atomic Safety Licensing Board hearings at Seabrook with regard to the validity of the site's evacuation time estimates, FEMA requested the RAC to review the time estimates, specifically evaluating them against the guidance set forth in NUREG0654. Dr. Thomas Urbanik, a recognized expert in the field, also reviewed the time estimates. The conclusion of the RAC, Dr. Urbanik. and FEMA was that the evacuation time estimates (which included a $50 \%$ rideshare assumption) sufficiently complied with NUREG-0654 so as to serve as an adequate basis for protective action decision-making.

Based on the foregoing supportive documentation, actual evacuation experience has shown that as much as $87 \%$ of the transit dependent population will evacuate an affected area utilizing rideshare arrangements. Personal surveys indicate that ridesharing will occur; i.e., the need for a ride can be met by those willing to provide a ride. It was therefore determined that the best estimate of the transit-dependent population is $33 \%$ of the EPZ population from households reporting no automobile ownership in the 1990 census. This ratio of one bus rider to two ridesharers is the same as the ratio found in the Seasonwein survey and is in the mainstream of planning practices nationally. Nonetheless, for the purpose of these evacuation time estimates it was determined that the size of the transit dependent population should be increased by $50 \%$ above the base case, resulting in an assumption of $50 \%$ (rather than 33\%) transit vehicles. In light of this margin, it is concluded that the assumption that $50 \%$ of the transit dependent population will rideshare in the event that an evacuation is necessary, is both a valid and conservative assumption.
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## APPENDIXI

## METHODOLOGY TO ESTIMATE ROADWAY TRAVEL TIMES

DURING AN EVACUATION

## A. Introduction

This Appendix describes the traffic engineering computer model used to estimate roadway travel times during an evacuation of the JAF/NMP EPZ. The model used in this study has also been applied to the Indian Point (New York), Three Mile Island (Pennsylvania), and Salem/Hope Creek (New Jersey and Delaware) Emergency Planning Zones. To evaluate the computer model used in the aforementioned studies, a separate analysis was conducted using a different model for the purpose of comparing results. The Indian Point EPZ was selected for the comparative study because of the diverse characteristics of its roadway network and population density.

As described later in this Appendix, the comparative study showed that both models provide quite similar estimates of evacuation travel time. Thus, it is concluded that the model used to estimate travel times for the JAF/NMP EPZ can be applied with a high degree of confidence.

The remaining sections of this Appendix discuss the traffic essignment process used for the JAF/NMP EPZ, present the detailed results of the comparative study; and summarize the conclusions drawn from the comparison of traffic models.

## B. Static Traffic Assignment Process

## 1. inputs

The static traffic assignment process developed to estimate roadway travel times during an evacuation requires three basic types of input. The first type relates to the characteristics of the evacuation roadway network, which is comprised of one-directional links, each having its own attributes. The links are described in terms of their capability to accommodate evacuating traffic (evacuation capacity), length, and free-flow speed (speed limit).

The second type of input required for this assignment process is zonal vehicle trip generation data. The EPZ is disaggregated into traffic zones, and the numbers of trips by each vehicle type (e.g., autos, buses, ambulances) are estimated in terms of passenger car equivalents .(PCE's) for each traffic zone. Buses are weighted as the equivalent of two passenger cars in this analysis. In addition, a terminal time for all trip types for each traffic zone is input.

The third input type used in the static assignment process is evacuation path data. Evacuation routes are designated fixed paths extending from the traffic zones to the Sector boundary via specific roadways. Separate paths are developed for each trip type and are expressed in terms of connecting link numbers. Destinations (e.g., reception centers) are defined for each trafic zone and input for the purpose of determining the number of vehicles and passengers expected at each destination. Average vehicle occupancies are used to estimate the number of passengers arriving in vehicles at the destination.

## 2. Static Assignment Algorithm

A computer program was written to process the above input data and compute roadway travel times for each trip type by traffic zone. A flow chart of the static traffic assignment computer program is included at the end of this Appendix.

Initially, the program calculates the total vehicular demand volume (in PCE's) on each link in the network by aggregating the vehicle trips generated by each traffic zone along the evacuation path. Implicit in this assignment is the assumption that all vehicles from all zones using a given evacuation route are on each link along the designated route concurrently. The assignment process is thus considered "static", because the spatial movement of vehicles across the network is not explicitly recognized as a function of time.

For each link in the network, three additional computations are performed. First, the free-flow speed is calculated as the quotient of the link length and the free flow speed. Second, the total vehicular demand volume is divided by the hourly evacuation capacity (for the appropriate weather condition) of the link to obtain the volume/capacity ( $/ C$ ) relationship for the link. Finally, the evacuation speed or delay time is computed for each link, depending on whether the $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio is less than or greater than 1.0 , respectively. The formula contained in the Federal Highway Administration Traffic Assignment Manual, August 1973, was adopted and modified as follows for use in computing the speed at which evacuees will travel when capacity exceeds demand.


Following these calculations, the model computes the roadway travel time for each traffic zone's evacuation route (or routes since some buses and special vehicles had separate routes) by scanning the links comprising the evacuation route to determine maximum V/C ratio along the route.

When the hourly evacuation capacity exceeds the total demand volume $N / C$ ratio less than 1.0) for all links along the route, the link evacuation speeds are used to compute link travel time, and the travel times for each link along the path are summed to obtain the traffic zone-to-Sector boundary roadway travel time for the route.

When the total demand volume exceeds the hourly evacuation capacity $N / C$ ratio greater than 1.0) along any link of a traffic zone's evacuation route, the roadway travel time is represented by the maximum link delay time incurred along the route. Link delay time is calculated as the volume/capacity ratio in hours for each link along the route. The link with the maximum V/C ratio is identified as the bottleneck link for the evacuation route for use in future planning. Other links along the route where the V/C ratio exceeds 1.0 are also identified for planning purposes.

The roadway travel time as determined above is added to the terminal time and the free-flow travel time for each zone trip type to determine the total roadway evacuation travel time. The total roadway evacuation travel time resulting from this analysis represents the time for the last vehicle in the zone to clear the Sector.

## 3. Qutputs

The computer program developed for the static assignment process provides five basic reports which are used in the evacuation planning process. The reports are described below:
a. Summary of link statistics: link number, description, length, free-flow speed and time, vehicular demand volume, evacuation capacity, and volume/capacity ratio.
b. Summary of traffic zone statistics: number of trips, evacuation route, destination, terminal time, free-flow travel time, roadway travel time, total evacuation time, and bottleneck link; for each trip type, sorted in ascending order by total evacuation time.
c. Summary of all bottleneck links and the traffic zones which are routed over them.
d. Summary of all destinations and the estimated number of vehicles (by type) and passengers assigned to each.
e. Distribution of the percent of the total population evacuated as a function of time.

## C. Comparison of Static and Dynamic Traffic Assignment Processes

Because of the importance of the assignment process in the overall procedure to estimate evacuation travel times, it was decided to evaluate the static traffic assignment model used in the evacuation planning process. Travel times estimated by the static model were compared with times estimated by a state-of-the-art dynamic traffic assignment model.

The dynamic assignment model used in the comparative analysis is an offspring of the TRANSYT model ${ }^{*}$, presently included in the Federal Highway Administration computer program batteries. The model employs principles of flow continuity and flow dynamics to move traffic on each link in the network towards its ultimate destination. Traffic flow representation changes with time to reflect changes in demand and roadway conditions. Traffic movement on each link in the network is constrained by roadway geometrics, control devices, and other vehicles present on the roadway.

Various types of test routes were selected for this comparison and were located in Rockland and Westchester Counties in the indian Point EPZ in New York State. Input requirements for both models were basically identical with one exception, which relates to the time varying nature of vehicles entering the evacuation network. The static assignment process assumed a concurrent loading of the entire network; the distribution over time of vehicle trips feeding the network was not addressed by the static model. However, because of the time dependent nature of the dynamic simulation model, it was possible to input trip generation data which varied with time at each load point in the network. This time-based distribution curve used in the comparison of assignment processes was provided by the New York State Office of Disaster Preparedness.

[^2]Separate comparative analyses and evaluations of the static and dynamic model results were made using Level of Service $D$ and Level of Service $E$ evacuation capacities. Table I-1 presents a comparison of the percent of total vehicles (in PCEs) evacuated for each route by-time in the test network. The comparison was made between the static and dynamic assignment results when one or the other reached a time period when the total vehicles traveling the evacuation route had cleared the EPZ boundary. In all cases, the static assignment evacuation reached 100 percent completion either before or at the same time as the dynamic assignment evacuation. The percentages enclosed by parentheses in Table 1-1 correspond to static and dynamic evacuation roadway travel times using Level of Service D capacities. Percentages without parentheses correspond to static and dynamic evacuation roadway travel times estimated using Level of Service E capacities.

Examination of Table l-1 shows a 97 percent correlation between the two assignment model results on an aggregate basis for the sample Indian Point roadway network east of the Hudson River in Westchester County. That is, at the time that the static assignment estimated complete evacuation of vehicles beyond the EPZ, the dynamic assignment estimated 97 percent of the vehicles would have cleared the EPZ. On the west side of the Hudson River near Indian Point, where both Levels of Service E and $D$ were analyzed by both models, the two model results were 99 percent and 98 percent, respectively. Overall, for the entire test evacuation network, comparison of the static and dynamic assignment results at Level of Service E indicated a 99 percent correlation. in other words, when the static model estimated the network would be cleared (total vehicle evacuation), the dynamic model estimated 99 percent of the vehicles would have cleared the EPZ boundary. The dynamic assignment results indicated that complete evacuation of all vehicles beyond the EPZ boundary would occur 15 minutes later than the static assignment estimate at Level of Service $E$.

In addition to the evacuation times generated by each assignment technique, the location of bottlenecks by each methodology was compared. The critical bottleneck links identified by the static model were identified in the dynamic assignment results as well. The dynamic assignment produced the percent of vehicles topped at each link during the evacuation. This statistic was used as a measure of the congestion level on each link. For the identified bottleneck links, the average percentage of stops as indicated by the dynamic mode output was roughly 45 percent higher than on noncritical links, indicating that an increase in congestion was appropriately simulated by the static model on the critical links.

## D. Conclusions

The results of the comparative analysis presented in this Appendix indicate that the static traffic assignment model can be applied to highway networks to estimate evacuation roadway travel times with a high degree of confidence.

Under almost identical circumstances, the static assignment model results have proven comparable with those produced by a state-of-the art, complex dynamic assignment model, which simulates the evacuation process within the framework of time. Roadway travel times were estimated and congested roadways identified with a high degree of correlation using the less complex static assignment methodology. A close correlation between assignment procedures exists for varying roadway types, weather conditions, and loading characteristics. Thus the use of the static assignment model to estimate evacuation travel times in the JAF/NMP EPZ is appropriate.
table 1-1

## COMPARISON OF STATIC AND DYNAMIC ASSIGNMENT RESULTS
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

The US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) require nuclear power plant licensees and state/local agencies to take necessary actions to prepare for evacuation of populations within a 10 -mile radius around nuclear power plants. This area corresponds to a plume exposure pathway referred to as the Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ). Part of these regulatory requirements include the preparation of travel time estimates for the evacuation of the EPZ as outlined in Criteria for the Preparation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants (NUREG-0654, FEMA-REP-1: Rev. 1, November 1980 [NUREG-0654]). Specifically, the approach for preparing evacuation time estimates is documented in Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654.

This report is prepared in response to Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654 and represents an update and addition to a report prepared in July 1993 for the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick (NMP/JAF) site in Oswego County, New York (PBQD, Evacuation Travel Time Estimates for the James A. FitzPatrickNine Mile Point Emergency Planning Zone, Rev. July 1993). This previous report presented travel time estimates for eight different evacuation scenarios as follows:

- School-in-Session;
- School-not-in-Session;
- Summer/Holiday Weekend;
- Winter/Holiday Weekend;
- Evening;
- Nighttime;
- A"Special Event Scenario for "Classic Weekend" at the Oswego Speedway; and
- A Special Event Scenario for "Harborfest" in the City of Oswego.

This update evaluates an additional Special Event Scenario for venues held at the Oswego County Airport. While the airport is not located within the EPZ, travel routes to and from the facility often coincide with designated evacuation routes. Therefore, traffic generated by event goers at the airport could potentially affect the efficient movement of persons out of the EPZ under current evacuation procedures.

The basis for the Airport Special Event Scenario was developed in response to a planned 2-day concert (7:00 PM Saturday to 11:30 PM on Sunday) at the Oswego County Airport in July 1999. However, the assumptions, methodology, and modeling approach/output would be similar and applicable under any similar type of multi-day venue held at this facility (e.g., festival, air show, etc.).

### 1.1 Site Location

The JAP/NMF site location is depicted in Figure 1-1. The site is located on the shore of Lake Ontario in the Town of Scriba, Oswego County, New York. The site consists of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Stations, Units 1 and 2, operated by the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation and the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, operated by the New York State Power Authority, . The site is approximately seven miles northeast of the City of Oswego and 36 miles northwest from the City of Syracuse.

The EPZ encompasses a 10-mile radius around the NMP/JAF site. As shown in Figure 1.1, over Lake Ontario, this is comprised of a circle defining the plume exposure pathway. However, over land areas, the perimeter of the 10 -mile radius is defined by an irregularly shaped boundary following physical and/or political subdivisions as much as practicable to facilitate recognition by the public.

The Oswego County Airport, the subject of this update of the 1993 report, is located along NYS Rt. 176 in the Town of Volney, New York, immediately northeast of the City of Fulton. While this airport is technically outside the NMP/JAF EPZ, it is within two miles of the 10 -mile radius from the site. Given the geography of the Lake Ontario shoreline, regional transportation routes and proximity of adjacent communities that could support residents and visitors in an evacuation, the primary pattern of evacuation would be from north to south, passing in close proximity to the airport.

### 1.2 General Methodology

This update includes the use of a computer modeling technique to estimate evacuation travel time estimates. This model was formulated in 1984 and updated in the 1993 evacuation time estimate report to reflect: the 1990 Census data; changes in assumptions regarding long-term construction worker population at the NMP/JAF site; changes in emergency preparedness procedures employed by Oswego County; and changes in the location of various transient and special facilities populations.
The methodology for developing this update included a review of the inputs and assumptions used in 1993 to determine their continued relevance and accuracy, as well as formulation of reasonable assumptions associated with a special event held at the Oswego County Airport. This included:

- Meetings and contacts with county, local, and NMP/JAF personnel regarding significant changes since 1993 in development in the county, distribution of population, and special facilities/transient population locations;
- Review of the latest update of the Oswego County Radiological Emergency Preparedness Plan (REPP) (i.e., December, 1998), which outlines all procedures undertaken during an evacuation of the NMP/JAF EPZ, as well as updates of the locations and characteristics of various types of populations within the EPZ;

- On-site review of applicable evacuation routes and review of assumptions regarding lane width and capacity; and
- Discussions with the Oswego County Sheriff's Department and review of the NYS Health Department Mass Gathering Permit information for the July, 1999 concert at the Oswego County Airport to determine appropriate assumptions for anticipated attendance, trip generation, anticipated time periods for arrival and departure of event goers, and route selection to ingress and egress at the airport site.

With this information, evacuation travel time estimates were generated for a series of cases under an Airport Special Event Scenario, using the computer modeling technique formulated for the 1993 report. Each case was run for adverse and normal weather conditions for permanent residents, transients, and persons in special facilities, as specified in NUREG-0654. Specifically, the following cases were analyzed:

- Case 1, consisting of a concurrent evacuation of all populations in the EPZ and all attendees at an Airport Special Event at the peak period of event attendance;
- Case 2, consisting of an evacuation of all populations in the EPZ during the course of the early departure periods immediately following the end of an Airport Special Event (i.e., Sunday night), in which it was assumed that a portion of the special event traffic is already on the road network when the EPZ evacuation begins; and
- Case 3, consisting of an evacuation of all populations within the EPZ during the day following the end of the Airport Special Event (i.e., Monday daytime), in which a portion of the special event traffic is already on the road network when the EPZ evacuation begins.

Following review of the estimated travel times, an additional case (Case 4) was formulated and assessed as a potential measure to mitigate travel time delays resulting from the interaction of EPZ evacuation and Airport Special Event traffic.

### 1.3 Report Format

Following the introduction in Section 1, Section 2 outlines and reviews the continued applicability of the basic parameters, inputs, and limitations used in the computer model for evacuation travel time estimates in the 1993 report. Section 3 discusses the parameters/assumptions involved with the conduct of an Airport Special Event and their implications to estimating evacuation travel times in the EPZ. Section 4 describes the specific components of each case analyzed and presents estimated travel time estimates. Section 5 compares these estimates with 1993 estimates.

## 2 EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATION MODEL DESCRIPTION

In order to understand the assumptions used to generate evacuation travel time estimates under an Airport Special Event Scenario, it is necessary to summarize the basic parameters used in the 1993 report. This section discusses the geographic areas on which the modeling approach is based, describes the various populations that are included in the model, and discusses the transportation network designated to move persons out of the 10-mile NMP/JAF EPZ during an evacuation. A full discussion of the approach used in the 1993 report in presented in Appendix B.

### 2.1 Sectors, Emergency Response Planning Areas, and Traffic Zones

### 2.1.1 Sectors

In order to allow for the estimate and actions to address various evacuation scenarios, the NMP/JAF EPZ described in Section 1 is subdivided into various types of smaller geographic areas. As discussed in Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654, the EPZ is first subdivided into areas referred to as Sectors. These are defined by first delineating areas within approximately two-, five-, and 10-mile radii from the NMP/JAF site. These radii areas are further subdivided into 90 -degree quadrants along north-south and east-west axes.

### 2.1.2 Emergency Response Planning Areas

Sectors are further comprised of smaller units called Emergency Response Planning Areas (ERPAs), which generally follow political and/or physical boundaries. An ERPA is the fundamental planning area identified in the Oswego County REPP. Under the REPP, each ERPA as a unit follows a specific protective response action in the event of an incident at the NMP/JAF site requiring an evacuation. While identification of evacuation travel time estimates by Sector provide a characterization of the time period necessary to clear the 10-mile EPZ during an incident at the NMP/JAF site, in practice, identification of these estimates by ERPA provide the most critical information in formulating procedures necessary to address a given evacuation scenario. Therefore, this report provides evacuation time estimates by ERPA to characterize an Airport Special Event Scenario.

ERPAs used in the 1993 evacuation travel time estimation report and in the current Oswego County REPP are depicted in Figure 2-1. In total, there are 29 designated ERPAs in the NMP/JAF EPZ. However, it should be noted that the figure and subsequent modeling includes only 22 of these areas (i.e., ERPA Nos. 1 through 22). The remaining ERPAs represent over-water areas. Specifically, ERPA Nos. 23,24 , and 25 are defined as areas within the EPZ along the Oswego River, while ERPA Nos. 18, 19, 26, and 27 include areas over Lake Ontario.


As previously discussed in Section 1, the Oswego County Airport is not located within the EPZ, and thus not located within any of the ERPAs. The nearest ERPA in proximity to the airport is ERPA No. 20, whose southern boundary is located roughly 1.5 miles north of the facility.

### 2.1.3 Traffic Zones

For modeling purposes, ERPAs are further subdivided into traffic zones. These zones represent population clusters in particular geographic areas, which follow specified evacuation routes leaving the EPZ. In the model, each traffic zone is assigned a primary evacuation route for each mode of travel emanating from that zone. Traffic zone boundaries in the 1993 model were developed to minimize the amount of cross traffic required to access a zone's primary evacuation routes. The primary evacuation routes are depicted in Figure 2-1. It should be noted that individual traffic zones within each ERPA were not displayed to permit readability of the map.

### 2.2 Populations

The evacuation travel time model used in the 1993 report identified three primary population groups in the NMP/JAF EPZ, as required in NUREG-0654. These population groups include permanent residents, transients, and persons in special facilities. The following sections discuss the characteristics of each population, methods used to estimate these populations in the 1993 report, and rationale used to determine whether these estimates are still reasonable for use in this update for an Airport Special Event Scenario.

### 2.2.1 Permanent Resident Population

Permanent residents, as defined in Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654, are those persons who reside in the EPZ, including schoolchildren, but excluding persons residing in institutions identified as special facilities in the Oswego County REPP (see Section 2.2.3). Data derived from the 1990 decennial Census of Population, adjusted to exclude institutional population was used for the 1993 report. Specifically, these data were disaggregated to block group data, corresponding to appropriate ERPAs and traffic zones. This was further broken down to households with and without automobiles. This yielded an estimate of 36,450 persons with autos, 3280 persons without autos, or a total of 39,730 permanent residents in the EPZ.

Based upon discussions with NMP/JAF and county/local officials, it was concluded that it was reasonable to continue to use the 1990 Census data as the basis for identifying existing resident population, given that this provides the most detailed breakdown available at this time. The 2000 Census data at the block will likely not be completed for public use until roughly 2002.

### 2.2.2 Transient Population

The transient population includes employees not residing in the EPZ, people staying at hotels/motels in the EPZ, and visitors to parks and recreational areas within the EPZ. In the 1993 report, the Oswego County Emergency Management Office (EMO) inventoried parks and recreational facilities, such as beaches and campgrounds. Hotel/motel estimates were developed from data from the Oswego Accommodations Guide, using a two person per room estimate for rooms located in the EPZ. Employment within the EPZ was estimated using New York State Department of Labor Employment Statistics.

These estimates yielded a total transient population of 19,005 persons within the EPZ. Following a review of additional background data on transient facilities and discussions with county/local officials, it was determined that no major changes have occurred since 1993 that would require revising this estimate in the model. There have been no major shifts in county employment (e.g., plant openings/closings), or development of any major motels/hotels or recreational facilities that would cause a significant shift in the 1993 estimates.

### 2.2.3 Special Facilities Population

Special facilities residents include persons in hospitals and other health care facilities, nursing homes, schools (including public and private, day care, nursery, elementary, middle, and high school), universities (i.e., SUNY Oswego), day camps, and correctional facilities. Population in these facilities was estimated at 15,586 in the EPZ in the 1993 report.

The Oswego County EMO maintains inventories of various types of special facilities. EMO officials and the REPP identified some small changes to the inventory of special facilities since 1993, including:

- Expansion of available County Correctional facility beds from 96 to 150; and
- Opening of the Seneca Hill Nursing Facility, a 120-bed facility, on NYS Rt. 481.

Further review of the inventory indicated some concurrent decreases of special facility populations, specifically lower summer student population at SUNY Oswego and the closing of some smaller day care facilities and nursing homes. Therefore, it was determined that the 1993 estimate of special facilities population in the EPZ is still reasonable for modeling purposes.

### 2.3 Transportation Facilities

As with population estimates used in the 1993 model, the 1993 road network/evacuation route assumptions (e.g., number of lanes, highway capacity, etc.) were reviewed to determine if any significant changes have occurred that would have implications on the modeling results. This was
achieved through site reconnaissance on May 18 and June 9, 1999 and through contacts with local/county and state officials. The only identified road projects included reconstruction/repaving projects without increases in capacity. The most recent in the network in the vicinity of the EPZ included the repaving and striping of NYS Rt. 49through the Town of Hastings, although this road is not within the EPZ. Therefore, it was concluded that the 1993 assumption for the roadway network was sufficient for use in this update.

### 2.4 Limitations of the Model Related to an Airport Special Event Scenario

The 1993 model used to generate evacuation travel time estimates exhibits some limitations for the development of an Airport Special Event Scenario. These limitations required some adjustments to the typical application of the model. First, because the Oswego County Airport is actually located outside the $10-\mathrm{mile}$ EPZ, it is not possible to specifically assign special event traffic to the roadway network in the model, because the model operates by assigning blocks of traffic to a specific traffic zone within an ERPA. However, given the proximity of the airport to the EPZ, it is obvious that the occurrence of a concurrent evacuation of the NMP/JAF site and the airport would result in impacts to the travel time estimates.

In order to overcome this limitation, it was necessary to create a new traffic zone within the closest ERPA to the airport, in this case ERPA No. 20. All event-related traffic was assigned on applicable roadway links within this newly created zone and designated as transient population. This resulted in an approach that approximates the interaction of evacuation traffic with special event traffic movements. However, use of this technique created some inordinately high evacuation time estimates for ERPA No. 20, because the model assumes that the special event population is in this area, when in reality, it has already cleared the 10 -mile EPZ.

Secondly, the traffic assignment process for special event traffic may somewhat overestimate the negative implications of an evacuation occurring at the same time as a special event evacuation or typical departure after its end. This is because the 1993 model uses a "static" assignment process, which assumes a concurrent loading of the entire network; the distribution over time of vehicle trips progressively feeding the network is not addressed. However, comparison of this technique at other sites indicates an almost $97 \%$ correspondence between static and dynamic approaches was determined to still be appropriate (see Appendix B).

## 3 AIRPORT SPECIAL EVENT SCENARIO ASSUMPTIONS

This section describes the basic assumptions that were used to characterize an Airport Special Event Scenario. As stated in Section 1, these assumptions were based upon the event procedures to be implemented for a two-day concert planned in July 1999. However, similar procedures and parameters would be used for other similarly sized and staged events at the Oswego County Airport.

The parameters discussed in the following sections were derived from meeting with the representatives of the Oswego County Sheriff's, meetings/discussions with NMP/JAF, county, and local officials, and review of information contained within the Mass Gathering Permit application submitted by the concert promoter for the July 1999 concert.

### 3.1 General Overview

The Airport Special Event Scenario involves the conduct of a two-day venue (i.e., Saturday 7:30 PM to Sunday, 11:30 PM) with parking and camping facilities provided on the airport site. In order to facilitate early attendees at the event, the gates will open on Friday, 12:00 noon. The gates will remain open through Friday evening and all day Saturday to accept event goers. The gates will close roughly one half hour before the official start of the event (Saturday, 7:00 PM). From this point until 11:00 PM on Sunday, the gates will be closed for entry/exit except for emergency departure of event goers.

### 3.2 Attendance and Trip Generation Assumptions

The information contained within the Mass Gathering Permit application for the July 1999 concert event states that the airport will accommodate approximately 65,000 attendees over the two-day event. Notwithstanding, based upon discussions with NMP/JAF and local/county officials, the total attendance that is assumed for this scenario is 100,000 persons. This reflects information on similar events conducted in similarly sized communities in other east coast states. Use of this attendance figure also assumes that a portion of event-goers will not camp on the airport site, but will seek appropriate campsites/lodging in other nearby locations.

Vehicle occupancy rates for this scenario was assumed to average 3.5 persons per vehicle. This rate is consistent with other special event scenarios included in the 1993 evacuation travel time estimates (i.e., for events such as "Harborfest" and "Classic Weekend"). This rate would yield a trip generation assumption of 28,571 vehicles entering and leaving the area for the event.

### 3.3 Ingress/Egress Assumptions

Figure 3-1 depicts the Oswego County Sheriff's Department suggested regional access routes to and from the Oswego County Airport under this scenario. The suggested routes include:

- A route from the north on I-81 south, to Exit 34 (Rt. 104), then proceeding west along NYS Rt. 104 to NYS Rt. 3, then continuing along Rt. 3 to the airport;
- A route from Oswego south along Rt. 481 and 57 to the airport;
- A route from I-90 to Exit 36 (1-81 North), to NYS Rt.481, then north on Rt. 481, to County Rt. 6, then proceeding north along Rt. 6 to Rt. 3, then Rt. 3 to the airport (note; on Friday evening, this route would shift to $1-690$, then north along NYS Rt. 48 to Rt. 3 in Fulton); and
- A route from the west along Rt. 104 to Rt. 3, then east along Rt. 3 to the airport.

In addition to these routes, one additional route is available from the l-90 at Exit 40 (Weedsport), then north along NYS Rt. 34 to Rt. 3, then east on Rt. 3 to the airport. Overall, the Sheriff's Department is discouraging use of this route, yet traffic will not be manually detoured.

While suggested routes to and from the regional road network would be similar for ingress and egress to the Oswego County Airport Facility, access points immediately around the airport would vary for ingress before and egress after the special event (see Figures 3-2 and 3-3). Ingress to the airport would be routed to a single access gate to be located on Howard Road to facilitate payment of admission fees and ticket taking. After the end of the event or during an emergency evacuation, several access points would be utilized, including the main airport gate, Howard Road, Calkins Road, Muckey Road, Baldwin Road, and Weller Road.

Given the nature of the event, an assumption of the progression of traffic into and out of the airport over a five-day period was developed in conjunction with the County Sheriff's Department, based upon information provided by the planned July 1999 event, coupled with information developed for similar events in other east coast communities. These traffic flow assumptions are presented in Tables 3-1 and 3-2. As is shown, the peak ingress period is projected to be in the period between late Friday evening and early Saturday morning. The peak egress period is projected to be between Monday morning and Monday afternoon.
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Figure 3-2




Figure 3-3
Egress Routes from Oswego County Airport During Events

| Table 3-1 <br> Ingress Distribution Assumptions |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Time Period | Number of <br> Auto Trips | Percent of Total |
| Thursday, 11 PM - Friday, 5 AM | 1,429 | $5 \%$ |
| Friday, 5 AM - Friday, 5 PM | 2,857 | $10 \%$ |
| Friday, 5 PM - Saturday, 1 AM | 14,285 | $50 \%$ |
| Saturday, 1 AM - Saturday, 8 AM | 1,429 | $5 \%$ |
| Saturday, 8 AM - Saturday, 12 Noon | 5,714 | $20 \%$ |
| Saturday 12 Noon - Saturday, 7 PM | 2,857 | $10 \%$ |
| Total | 28,571 | $100 \%$ |


| Table 3-2 <br> Egress Distribution Assumptions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | Number of Auto Trips | Percent of Total |
| Sunday, 11 PM - Monday, 5 AM | 10,000 | 35\% |
| Monday, 5 AM - Monday, 8 AM | 1,429 | 5\% |
| Monday, 8 AM - Monday 5 PM | 17,142 | 60\% |
| Total | 28,571 | 100\% |

## 4 ANALYSIS OF EVACUATION TRAVEL TIMES

This section presents the evacuation travel time estimates for an Airport Special Event Scenario under the three cases described in Section 1.2. As discussed in Section 2.4, for each of the cases, the Airport Special Event traffic was assigned to a newly created traffic zone in ERPA No. 20, and distributed between two designated evacuation routes that pass the Oswego County Airport. These include Calkins Road and Howard to Rt. 57, which pass to the west of the airport and Silk Road, which passes the airport on the east.

Each case includes a series of runs corresponding to potential conditions/time periods in the NMP/JAF EPZ as follows:

- Nighttime, when most persons in the general population are in their residences, institutions have minimal staff, and relatively few businesses are operating;
- Summer Weekend/Holidays, during which it is assumed that recreational activities predominate, reflected in increased camp attendance and recreational facility usage;
- Evenings, which is distinguished from nighttime conditions because of increased staffing levels at institutional facilities and increased activities for the general population (e.g., shopping, entertainment); and
- Weekday, School-out-of-Session, which can be characterized as "normal" workday traffic patterns, except for the fact that school-based trips would not occur, replaced by an increase in recreational activities by school children.

For each case described below, evacuation travel time estimates are summarized in terms of the percentage of population being able to clear the 10 -mile EPZ. Full runs of individual travel time estimates from each ERPA are presented in Appendix A.

### 4.1 Case 1: Concurrent Evacuation of EPZ and Airport Special Event

This case consists of a full evacuation of the NMP/JAF EPZ and a concurrent evacuation of the Airport Special Event during its peak attendance (i.e., between Saturday, 7:00 PM and Sunday, 11:00 PM). This event could be the result of simultaneous occurrence of nuclear incident at the NMP/JAF site and a general emergency at the Airport (e.g., fire, explosion) or a general evacuation of the 10 -mile EPZ and adjoining areas in the event of a significant nuclear incident.

Figures 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3 illustrate the estimated evacuation travel times for this case under three separate potential times that this type of case could occur (i.e., Evening, Nighttime, and Summer/Holiday Weekend). It is clear that this case would result in the greatest evacuation times to clear the 10 -mile EPZ. For example, under an evening timeframe during adverse weather,

Figure 4-2
Case 1-100\% Evacuation-Nighttime


Figure 4-3
Case 1-100\% Evacuation-Summer/Holiday Weekend

evacuation of roughly $85 \%$ of the total EPZ population would occur within 7 hours of the event. However, the last $15 \%$ of the EPZ population would require as much as 28 hours to clear the EPZ.

### 4.2 Case 2: Evacuation of the EPZ Immediately After the End of Airport Special Event

This case consists of a full evacuation of the NMP/JAF EPZ during the period immediately following the end of an Airport Special Event (i.e., between Sunday, 11:00 PM and Monday, 8:00 AM). This case assumes that the Airport Special Event has begun its typical exit procedures discussed in Section 3 and that $40 \%$ of event traffic is already on the road network (based upon the assumptions in Table 3-2) when the nuclear incident occurs.

Figures 4-4 and 4-5 illustrate the estimated evacuation travel times for this case under two separate potential times that this type of case could occur (i.e., Nighttime and Weekday, School-out-ofSession). While the least severe among the three cases examined, this case still results in some significantly extended evacuation travel times. Under the School-out-of-Session timeframe, it would take in excess of 14 hours to clear the 10-mile EPZ.

### 4.3 Case 3: Evacuation of the EPZ the Day Following the End of the Airport Special Event

This case consists of a full evacuation of the NMP/JAF EPZ during the period of the day after the end of an Airport Special Event (i.e., between Monday, 8:00 AM and Monday, 5:00 PM). This case assumes that $40 \%$ of event traffic have already exited and cleared from the area over the previous nine-hour period. During this period, the $60 \%$ remaining event traffic would be proceeding on the road network (based upon the assumptions in Table 3-2) when the nuclear incident occurs.

Figures 4-6 and 4-7 illustrate the estimated evacuation travel times for this case under two separate potential times that this type of case could occur (i.e., Evening and Weekday, School-out-ofSession). This case would also result in significant time being expended to totally clear the EPZ, although not as great as Case 1. For example, for the evening time frame under adverse weather conditions, over $90 \%$ of the population would clear the EPZ in roughly 7 hours, but the balance of the population would require as much as 17 hours.

### 4.4 Case 4: Potential Re-Route of EPZ Evacuation Pattern

In recognition of the potential implications of the evacuation travel time estimates for three cases examined, a fourth case was formulated to assess whether the effects of concurrent evacuations of the EPZ and an Airport Special Event could be mitigated through selective re-directing of

Figure 4-4 Case 2-40\% on Road - Nighttime


Figure 4-5
Case 2-40\% on Road-School-Out-of-Session


Figure 4-6

## Case 3-60\% on Road - Evening



Figure 4-7
Case 3-60\% on Road-School-out-of-Session

evacuation routes out of the EPZ. The intent of such an approach would be to segregate EPZ and Airport Event traffic to the greatest extent possible.

The assumptions used in the formulation of this case are depicted in Figure 4-7A. As is shown, four designated evacuation routes (Rt. 176, Silk Road, Rt. 6, and Rt. 264) would be re-directed to east along Rt. 3 and Rt. 49 through the positioning of police roadblocks at key locations as follows:

- Rt. 176 and Rowlee Road;
- Silk Road and Rowlee/Hawk Roads;
- Baldwin and Hawk Roads;
- Rt. 6 and Rt. 3; and
- Rt. 264 and Rt. 49.

The Rt. 49 corridor is particularly suited for a re-route of EPZ evacuation traffic, given that it has recently been repaved and striped. It provides a direct connection to I-81 south, where traffic could then be directed to staging areas in Syracuse. This would allow Airport Special Event traffic more flexibility in route selection and flow.
Figures 4-8, 4-9, and 4-10 depict the evacuation travel time estimates of Case 1 ( $100 \%$ Evacuation of both EPZ and Airport Special Event) using the Rt. 49 re-route to segregate the two types of traffic. As is shown, for all time periods examined (i.e., Evening, Nighttime, and Summer/Holiday Weekend) the EPZ could be almost $100 \%$ cleared in roughly 6 to 7 hours.

### 4.5 Estimate of Critical Bottlenecks

One of the key factors used to determine where to place traffic control and emergency personnel and where to specify key backup routes is information from the computer assignment model that identifies critical bottlenecks along each evacuation route in the network. These links represent the locations of potential maximum delays. Figure 4-11 depicts the critical bottlenecks under Case 1 and is generally characteristic of all cases examined. Not surprisingly, these bottlenecks are primarily centered where evacuation routes feed into areas around the Oswego County Airport during an Airport Special Event Scenario.


Figure 4-8
Case 4-100\% Evacuation-Re-Route-Evening


Figure 4-9 Case 4-100\% Evacuation-Re-Route - Nighttime


Figure 4-10
Case 4-100\% Evacuation-Re-Route-Summer/Holiday Weekend



## APPENDIX A EVACUATION TRAVEL TIMES BY EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING AREA

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIMES

## BY EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANNING AREAS

This appendix includes evacuation travel time estimates by ERPA for a simultaneous full-EPZ evacuation during a special event at the Oswego County Airport. Evacuation travel time estimates are presented for the following scenarios, weather conditions, and population groups:

## - Scenarios

School-not-in Session
Weekend/Holiday Summer
Evening
Nighttime

## - Weather Conditions

Normal
Adverse

## - Population Groups

## Resident Population with Autos <br> Resident Population without Autos <br> Special Facilities Population <br> Transient Population

A total of 20 tables are included in this appendix. A range of values depict the lower and upper bound limits of the evacuation times for normal weather and dry roadway conditions to a light rain which results in wet pavement. Evacuation travel time estimates for adverse weather approximate travel conditions during severe hail storms or thunderstorms.

A suggested approach to read the table in this appendix is outlined below:
Step 1 Determine the appropriate case and scenario (i.e., 100\% Evacuation-Evening).
Step 2 Determine the approximate weather condition:
Lower bound normal weather conditions - dry pavement.
Upper bound normal weather conditions - wet pavement.
Adverse weather conditions - pavement conditions during a thunderstorm/hailstorm.
Step 3 For lower bound normal weather ETTE tables, read the left of the two columns to interpret ETTEs by population group for each ERPA and/or the entire EPZ.
For upper bound normal weather ETTE tables, read the right of the two columns to interpret ETTEs by population group for each ERPA and/or the entire EPZ.
Adverse weather ETTEs are documented on separate tables for each scenario.
A possible evacuation scenario is detailed as follows:
A full EPZ evacuation scenario has been ordered on a Saturday at 6:00 PM in July. An evacuation of a special event at the Oswego County Airport is also occurring. The weather conditions are observed to be poor (heavy rain, thunderstorm, hailstorm, or heavy fog) and the
forecast is that these weather conditions will continue over the next two days. (See Table A-1 for ETTEs).
Q. How long will it take for the permanent resident population with autos to:

- Fully evacuate ERPA 5?
A. 26:20
Q. How long will it take for the permanent resident population without autos to:
- Fully evacuate ERPA 16 ?
A. 1:30
Q. How long will it take for the special facilities population to:
- Fully evacuate ERPA 4?
- Fully evacuate the EPZ?
A. 2:00
A. 4:10 (Multiple wave evacuation substantially increase special facility evacuation times.)
Q. How long will it take for the transient population to:
- Fully evacuate ERPA 4?
A. 1:50 (Transient population can evacuate more quickly than permanent resident population in the same ERPA. This typically occurs when the transient population is located in a traffic zone closer to the EPZ boundary).
- Fully evacuate ERPA 14 ?
A. 3:20 (Transient population and permanent resident population typically require the same time to evacuate an ERPA when the population dispersion of each is similar throughout the ERPA.
- Fully evacuate ERPA 22?
A. 6:20


## NOTES FOR EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA <br> NORMAL WEATHER

1. The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this table are based on operations strategies indicated in the evacuation implementation procedures.
Lower bound evacuation travel times (shorter times) can be anticipated when:
a. Unexpected long-term capacity restrictions on key highway links owing to incidents such as accidents, vehicle breakdowns, and highway construction, do not occur.
b. A high state of operational readiness (traffic control officers mobilized, traffic control devices operational, al buses stationed to begin their initial runs) is attained.
c. An informed and cooperative public follow directions as instructed.
d. Dry roadway conditions exist.

Upper bound evacuation travel times (longer times) are representative of a situation where:
a. Capacity restrictions adversely affect traffic flow, but not to the point where a breakdown in traffic flow would result.
b. A low state of operations readiness results from minimal mobilization of the emergency workforce.
c. A low degree of cooperation from the public occurs.
d. A light rain results in wet pavement.
2. The evacuation travel time ranges are indicated as hours: minutes, and include 20 minutes of public preparation time.
3. The population subgroups indicated in this table are:
a. Resident population (with and without automobiles).
b. Special facilities (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospitals, other health care facilities, resident facilities such as group homes, convents, and monasteries).
c. Transient (employees, visitors to parks, resident and day camps, hotels, and motels).
4. Gaps in this table indicate that there is no special facility or transient population in the given ERPA.
5. The evacuation travel time ranges presented in the table assume a simultaneous evacuation of the entire EPZ. The evacuation travel time for any individual ERPA is a staged evacuation and will not exceed the travel time range indicated in this table.
6. All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
7. Special facility evacuation travel times include time for multi-wave trips to evacuate the population which requires transport by ambulance.

## NOTES FOR EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME ESTIMATES BY ERPA ADVERSE WEATHER

1. The evacuation travel time ranges presented in this table are based on operational strategies indicated in the evacuation implementation procedures.
2. The evacuation travel time ranges are indicated as hours: minutes, and include 20 minutes of public preparation time.
3. Adverse weather conditions are considered to be a slippery roadway surface and/or reduced visibility (e.g., due to fog, heavy rain; or a severe thunderstorm which may create traffic disruptions as a result of downed trees or powerlines).
4. The population subgroups indicated in this table are:
a. Resident population (with and without automobiles).
b. Special facilities (schools, colleges, nursing homes, hospitals, other health care facilities, resident facilities such as group homes, convents, and monasteries).
c. Transient (employees, visitors to parks, resident and day camps, hotels, and motels).
5. Gaps in the table indicate that there is no special facility or transient population in the given ERPA.
6. The evacuation travel time ranges presented in the table assume a simultaneous evacuation of the entire EPZ. The evacuation travel time for any individual ERPA is a staged evacuation and will not exceed the travel time range indicated in this table.
7. All times have been rounded to the nearest 10 minutes.
8. Special facility evacuation travel times include time for multi-wave trips to evacuate the population which requires transport by ambulance.

TABLE A-1
CASE 1 - 100\% EVACUATION - EVENING ADVERSE WEATHER

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY

IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No. | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities | (Hrs:Mins) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | (Hrs:Mins) | (Hansients |
| :---: |
| 1 |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-2
CASE 1 - 100\% EVACUATION - EVENING NORMAL WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No. | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Spacial <br> Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $11: 30-21: 10$ | $11: 30-21: 10$ |  | $11: 30-21: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $11: 10-21: 10$ | $10: 50-20: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $11: 30-20: 40$ | $11: 30-20: 40$ |  | $1: 10-1: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $1: 10-1: 00-1: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $11: 30-21: 10$ | $11: 00-21: 00$ |  | $11: 30-20: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $11: 30-20: 40$ | $2: 10-3: 50$ |  | $1: 50-2: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $0: 50-0: 50$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  | $11: 30-20: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $0: 50-0: 50$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  | $2: 20-3: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $11: 30-21: 10$ | $11: 10-20: 20$ |  | $3: 20-5: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $2: 10-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ |  | $1: 40-2: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ | $2: 20-3: 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $3: 20-5: 10$ | $3: 20-5: 10$ | $2: 10-3: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $1: 40-2: 50$ | $1: 30-2: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $1: 40-2: 50$ | $1: 00-1: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 20-0: 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 50-0: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $11: 30-21: 00$ | $11: 30-21: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | $11: 30-21: 00$ | $11: 20-21: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $1: 40-2: 40$ | $1: 30-2: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $3: 20-5: 10$ | $3: 00-5: 00$ | $3: 10-5: 10$ | $3: 20-5: 10$ |  |  |  |  |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-3
CASE 1 - 100\% EVACUATION - NIGHTTIME adVERSE WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SÚMMARY
IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA No. | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 26:20 | 26:20 |  | 26:20 |
| 2 | 26:10 | 26:00 |  |  |
| 3 | 25:20 | 25:20 |  |  |
| 4 | 2:30 | 2:30 | 1:20 | 1:10 |
| 5 | 26:10 | 26:10 |  |  |
| 6 | 25:20 | 4:40 |  | 25:20 |
| 7 | 2:30 | 1:40 |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50 | 0:40 |  |  |
| 10 | 26:10 | 25:00 |  |  |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:30 |  |  |
| 12 | 4:50 | 4:40 | 20:20 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 23:20 | 5:00 |
| 14 | 2:20 | 2:10 |  | 2:20 |
| 15 | 2:20 | 2:00 |  | 2:20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40 | 0:30 |  |  |
| 19 | 26:00 | 26:00 |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | 26:00 | 26:00 |  | 28:30 |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:00 |  |  |
| 22 | 5:00 | 4:40 | 4:50 | 5:00 |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-4
CASE 1 - 100\% EVACUATION - NIGHTTIME
NORMAL WEATHER EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY
IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No. | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |
|  | $11: 20-21: 10$ | $11: 20-21: 10$ |  | $11: 20-21: 10$ |
| 2 | $11: 00-21: 10$ | $10: 50-20: 50$ |  |  |
| 3 | $11: 20-20: 20$ | $11: 20-20: 20$ |  | $1: 00-1: 00$ |
| 4 | $1: 00-2: 10$ | $0: 50-2: 00$ | $1: 10-1: 10$ |  |
| 5 | $11: 20-21: 00$ | $11: 00-21: 00$ |  |  |
| 6 | $11: 20-20: 20$ | $2: 10-3: 50$ |  | $11: 20-20: 20$ |
| 7 | $0: 50-2: 00$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |
| 8 | $0: 50-0: 50$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  |  |
| 9 | $0: 50-0: 50$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  | $2: 20-3: 50$ |
| 10 | $11: 20-21: 00$ | $11: 00-20: 00$ |  | $0: 40-2: 00$ |
| 11 | $2: 10-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ |  |  |
| 12 | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ | $13: 30-16: 40$ |  |
| 13 | $2: 30-4: 00$ | $2: 40-4: 10$ | $16: 10-19: 20$ | $2: 30-4: 00$ |
| 14 | $0: 40-2: 00$ | $0: 30-1: 40$ |  | 0 |
| 15 | $0: 40-2: 00$ | $1: 00-1: 00$ |  |  |
| 16 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 20-0: 20$ |  |  |
| 17 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 50-0: 50$ |  |  |
| 18 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |
| 19 | $11: 20-20: 50$ | $11: 20-20: 50$ |  |  |
| $20 *$ | $11: 20-20: 50$ | $11: 10-21: 00$ |  |  |
| 21 | $1: 40-2: 40$ | $1: 30-2: 30$ |  | $2: 40-4: 00$ |
| 22 | $2: 40-4: 00$ | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 30-4: 00$ | 200 |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-5
CASE 1 - 100\% EVACUATION - SUMMER/HOLIDAY WEEKEND ADVERSE WEATHER

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY

IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA No. | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 26:20 | 26:20 |  | 26:20 |
| 2 | 26:20 | 26:00 |  |  |
| 3 | 26:10 | 26:10 |  |  |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:30 | 2:00 | 1:50. |
| 5 | 26:10 | 26:10 |  |  |
| 6 | 26:10 | 4:40 |  | 26:10 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 1:40 |  | 3:30 |
| 8 | 1:50 | 0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 10 | 26:10 | 25:40 |  | 26:00 |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:30 |  |  |
| 12 | 4:50 | 4:40 | 20:50 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 23:50 | 5:00 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:00 |  | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:00 |  | 3:20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 18 | 1:40 | 1:20 |  |  |
| 19 | 26:00 | 26:00 |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | 26:00 | 26:00 |  | 28:30 |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:00 |  |  |
| 22 | 5:00 | 4:40 | 4:50 | 5:00 |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-6

## CASE 1 - 100\% EVACUATION - SUMMER/HOLIDAY WEEKEND NORMAL WEATHER <br> EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY <br> IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERPA } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 11:40-21:10 | 11:40-21:10 |  | 11:40-21:10 |
| 2 | 11:10-21:10 | 10:50-20:50 |  |  |
| 3 | 11:40-21:00 | 11:40-21:00 |  |  |
| 4 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:10-1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 5 | 11:40-21:00 | 11:00-21:00 |  | 11:40-21:00 |
| 6 | 11:40-21:00 | 2:10-3:50 |  | 1:50-2:50 |
| 7 | 1:50-2:50 | 0:30-0:30 |  |  |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:40-0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:40-0:40 |  | 11:40-21:00 |
| 10 | 11:40-21:00 | 11:20-20:40 |  |  |
| 11 | 2:10-3:50 | 2:10-3:40 | 13:50-17:10 | 2:20-3:50 |
| 12 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:10-3:40 | 16:30-19:50 | 2:30-4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40-4:10 |  | 1:40-2:50 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:30-2:30 |  | 1:40-2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  |  |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:20-0:20 |  |  |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:30-0:30 |  |  |
| 19 | 11:40-21:00 | 11:40-21:00 |  | 14:10-23:30 |
| 20* | 11:40-21:00 | 11:30-21:00 |  |  |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:30-2:30 | 2.30-4.00 | 2:40-4:00 |
| 22 | 2:40-4:00 | 2:20-3:50 | 2.30-4.00 | 2.40-4.00 |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-7
CASE 2 - 40\% EVACUATION -NIGHTTIME ADVERSE WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No. | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $11: 50$ | $11: 50$ |  | $11: 50$ |
| 2 | $11: 40$ | $11: 30$ |  |  |
| 3 | $11: 50$ | $11: 50$ |  | $1: 30$ |
| 4 | $2: 30$ | $2: 30$ |  | $1: 10$ |
| 5 | $11: 40$ | $11: 40$ |  | $11: 50$ |
| 6 | $11: 50$ | $4: 40$ |  |  |
| 7 | $2: 30$ | $1: 40$ |  |  |
| 8 | $1: 50$ | $0: 40$ |  |  |
| 9 | $0: 50$ | $0: 40$ |  | $4: 50$ |
| 10 | $11: 40$ | $11: 20$ |  | $2: 20$ |
| 11 | $4: 40$ | $4: 30$ |  | $15: 00$ |
| 12 | $4: 50$ | $4: 40$ |  | $18: 00$ |
| 13 | $5: 00$ | $5: 00$ |  |  |
| 14 | $2: 20$ | $2: 10$ |  |  |
| 15 | $2: 20$ | $2: 00$ |  |  |
| 16 | $1: 40$ | $1: 30$ |  |  |
| 17 | $1: 40$ | $1: 30$ |  |  |
| 18 | $0: 40$ | $0: 30$ |  |  |
| 19 | $11: 40$ | $11: 40$ |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | $11: 40$ | $11: 30$ |  |  |
| 21 | $3: 10$ | $3: 00$ |  |  |
| 22 | $5: 00$ | $4: 40$ |  | $4: 50$ |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-8
CASE 2 - 40\% EVACUATION -NIGHTTIME NORMAL WEATHER

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No: | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $5: 30-9: 30$ | $5: 30-9: 30$ |  | $5: 30-9: 30$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $5: 10-9: 30$ | $4: 50-9: 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $5: 30-9: 30$ | $5: 30-9: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $1: 00-2: 10$ | $0: 50-2: 00$ | $1: 10-1: 10$ | $1: 00-1: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $5: 30-9: 30$ | $5: 00-9: 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $5: 30-9: 30$ | $2: 10-3: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $0: 50-2: 00$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $0: 50-0: 50$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $0: 50-0: 50$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $5: 30-9: 30$ | $5: 10-9: 10$ |  | $2: 20-3: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $2: 10-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ |  | $0: 40-2: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ | $10: 30-12: 30$ | $2: 40-2: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $2: 30-4: 00$ | $2: 40-4: 10$ | $13: 10-15: 10$ | $2: 30-4: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $0: 40-2: 00$ | $0: 30-1: 40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $0: 40-2: 00$ | $1: 00-1: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 20-0: 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 50-0: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $5: 20-9: 20$ | $5: 20-9: 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | $5: 20-9: 20$ | $5: 10-9: 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $1: 40-2: 40$ | $1: 30-2: 30$ |  | $2: 50-8: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $2: 40-4: 00$ | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 30-4: 00$ | $2: 40-4: 00$ |  |  |  |  |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-9
CASE 2 - 40\% EVACUATION - SCHOOL OUT-OF-SESSION ADVERSE WEATHER EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA No. | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 14:20 | 14:20 |  | 14:20 |
| 2 | 14:10 | 14:00 |  | 14:10 |
| 3 | 13:00 | 13:00 |  |  |
| 4 | 3:50 | 3:40 | 2:20 | 3:50 |
| 5 | 14:10 | 14:10 |  | 13:00 |
| 6 | 13:00 | 8:40 |  | 13:00 |
| 7 | 3:40 | 2:10 |  | 3:40 |
| 8 | 2:20 | 1:40 |  | 2:20 |
| 9 | 2:00 | 2:00 |  | 2:00 |
| 10 | 14:10 | 12:40 |  | 14:10 |
| 1.1 | 8:50 | 8:40 |  | 8:50 |
| 12 | 8:50 | 8:40 | 18:30 | 8:50 |
| 13 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 21.30 | 3:40 |
| 14 | 3:40 | 3:10 |  | 3:40 |
| 15 | 3:40 | 2:30 | 1:30 | 2:10 |
| 16 | 2:10 | 2:00 | 1.30 | 1:40 |
| 17 | 2:00 | 2:00 |  | 2:00 |
| 18 | 2:00 | 1:40 |  | 12:50 |
| 19 | 14:00 | 14:00 |  | 14.00 |
| 20* | 14:00 | 14:00 | $1 \cdot 40$ | 5:00 |
| 21 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 7.50 | 7.00 |
| 22 | 8:00 | 7:40 | 7.50 | 7.50 |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-10
CASE 2 - 40\% EVACUATION - SCHOOL OUT-OF-SESSION
NORMAL WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No: | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities: <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $6: 10-11: 30$ | $6: 10-11: 30$ |  | $6: 10-11: 30$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $6: 10-11: 30$ | $6: 00-11: 10$ |  | $6: 10-11: 30$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $6: 00-10: 30$ | $6: 00-10: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $2: 00-3: 10$ | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $1: 10-2: 00$ | $2: 00-3: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $6: 10-11: 30$ | $6: 00-11: 20$ |  | $6: 00-10: 30$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $6: 00-10: 30$ | $3: 50-7: 00$ |  | $6: 00-10: 30$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $0: 40-1: 50$ |  | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $0: 50-2: 00$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  | $0: 50-2: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $0: 50-1: 40$ | $0: 40-1: 40$ |  | $0: 50-1: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $6: 10-11: 20$ | $5: 40-10: 10$ |  | $6: 10-11: 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $4: 00-7: 10$ | $3: 50-7: 00$ |  | $4: 00-7: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $4: 00-7: 10$ | $3: 50-7: 00$ | $12: 30-15: 20$ | $4: 00-7: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $4: 00-6: 30$ | $4: 00-6: 30$ | $15: 10-18: 00$ | $4: 00-6: 30$ |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $1: 40-2: 40$ |  | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $1: 00-2: 10$ |  | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $0: 40-1: 50$ | $0: 20-1: 40$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 40-1: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $0: 40-1: 40$ | $0: 50-1: 40$ |  | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $0: 40-1: 40$ | $0: 30-1: 20$ |  | $0: 40-1: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $6: 00-11: 20$ | $6: 00-11: 20$ |  | $5: 50-10: 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | $6: 00-11: 20$ | $6: 00-11: 20$ |  | $6: 00-11: 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $2: 30-4: 10$ | $2: 20-4: 00$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $2: 30-4: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $4: 00-6: 30$ | $3: 40-6: 10$ | $4: 00-6: 20$ | $4: 00-6: 20$ |  |  |  |  |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-11
CASE 3-60\% EVACUATION - EVENING ADVERSE WEATHER EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No. | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $16: 40$ | $16: 40$ |  | $16: 40$ |
| 2 | $16: 40$ | $16: 20$ |  | $3: 30$ |
| 3 | $16: 40$ | $16: 40$ |  |  |
| 4 | $3: 30$ | $3: 30$ |  | $1: 00$ |
| 5 | $16: 40$ | $16: 30$ |  |  |
| 6 | $16: 40$ | $4: 40$ |  | $16: 40$ |
| 7 | $3: 30$ | $1: 40$ |  | $3: 30$ |
| 8 | $1: 50$ | $0: 40$ |  |  |
| 9 | $1: 40$ | $1: 30$ |  | $16: 40$ |
| 10 | $16: 40$ | $16: 20$ |  | $4: 50$ |
| 11 | $4: 40$ | $4: 30$ |  | $6: 20$ |
| 12 | $4: 50$ | $4: 40$ |  | $3: 50$ |
| 13 | $6: 20$ | $6: 30$ |  | $4: 10$ |
| 14 | $3: 20$ | $3: 00$ |  | $3: 20$ |
| 15 | $3: 20$ | $2: 00$ |  |  |
| 16 | $1: 40$ | $1: 30$ |  |  |
| 17 | $1: 40$ | $1: 30$ |  |  |
| 18 | $1: 40$ | $1: 20$ |  |  |
| 19 | $16: 30$ | $16: 30$ |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | $16: 30$ | $16: 30$ |  |  |
| 21 | $3: 10$ | $3: 00$ |  | $6: 20$ |
| 22 | $6: 20$ | $6: 10$ |  | $6: 20$ |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-12
CASE 3 - 60\% EVACUATION - EVENING NORMAL WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA No: | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 7:40-13:30 | 7:40-13:30 |  | 7:40-13:30 |
| 2 | 7:10-13:30 | 7:00-13:10 |  |  |
| 3 | 7:40-13:30 | 7:40-13:30 |  |  |
| 4 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:10-1:10 | 1:00-1:00 |
| 5 | 7:40-13:30 | 7:00-13:20 |  | 7.40-13:30 |
| 6 | 7:40-13:30 | 2:10-3:50 |  | 1:50-2:50 |
| 7 | 1:50-2:50 | 0:30-0:30 |  |  |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:40-0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:40-0:40 |  | 7:40-13:30 |
| 10 | 7:40-13:30 | 7:20-13:00 |  |  |
| 11 | 2:10-3:50 | 2:10-3:40 | 2:20-3:10 | 2:20-3:50 |
| 12 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:10-3:40 | 2.20-3.10-3:30 | 3:20-5:10 |
| 13 | 3:20-5:10 | 3:20-5:10 |  | 1:40-2:50 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:30-2:30 |  | 1:40-2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  |  |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:20-0:20 |  |  |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:30-0:30 |  |  |
| 19 | 7:30-13:20 | 7:30-13:20 |  | 7.00-12:50 |
| 20* | 7:30-13:20 | 7:20-13:20 |  |  |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:30-2:30 |  | 3:20-5:10 |
| 22 | 3:20-5:10 | 3:00-5:00 | 3:10-5:10 |  |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-13
CASE $3-60 \%$ EVACUATION - SCHOOL OUT-OF-SESSION ADVERSE WEATHER EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No. | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $19: 10$ | $19: 10$ |  | $19: 10$ |
| 2 | $19: 00$ | $18: 50$ |  | $19: 00$ |
| 3 | $17: 40$ | $17: 40$ |  |  |
| 4 | $3: 50$ | $3: 40$ | $2: 20$ | $3: 50$ |
| 5 | $19: 00$ | $19: 00$ |  | $17: 30$ |
| 6 | $17: 30$ | $8: 40$ |  | $17: 30$ |
| 7 | $3: 40$ | $2: 10$ |  | $3: 40$ |
| 8 | $2: 20$ | $1: 40$ |  | $2: 20$ |
| 9 | $2: 00$ | $2: 00$ |  | $2: 00$ |
| 10 | $19: 00$ | $17: 10$ |  | $19: 00$ |
| 11 | $8: 50$ | $8: 40$ |  | $8: 50$ |
| 12 | $8: 50$ | $8: 40$ | $20: 20$ | $8: 50$ |
| 13 | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $23: 20$ | $8: 00$ |
| 14 | $3: 40$ | $3: 10$ |  | $3: 40$ |
| 15 | $3: 40$ | $2: 30$ |  | $3: 40$ |
| 16 | $2: 10$ | $2: 00$ |  | $1: 30$ |
| 17 | $2: 00$ | $2: 00$ |  | $2: 10$ |
| 18 | $2: 00$ | $1: 40$ |  | $1: 40$ |
| 19 | $18: 50$ | $18: 50$ |  | $2: 00$ |
| $20^{*}$ | $18: 50$ | $18: 50$ |  | $17: 20$ |
| 21 | $5: 00$ | $6: 00$ |  | $18: 50$ |
| 22 | $8: 00$ | $7: 40$ |  | $7: 50$ |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-14
CASE $3-60 \%$ EVACUATION - SCHOOL OUT-OF-SESSION NORMAL WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No: | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special <br> Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $8: 10-15: 20$ | $8: 10-15: 20$ |  | $8: 10-15: 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $8: 10-15: 20$ | $7: 50-15: 00$ |  | $8: 10-15: 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $8: 00-14: 10$ | $8: 00-14: 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $2: 00-3: 10$ | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $1: 10-2: 00$ | $2: 00-3: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $8: 10-15: 20$ | $8: 00-15: 10$ |  | $8: 00-14: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $8: 00-14: 10$ | $3: 50-7: 00$ |  | $8: 00-14: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $0: 40-1: 50$ |  | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $0: 50-2: 00$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  | $0: 50-2: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $0: 50-1: 40$ | $0: 40-1: 40$ |  | $0: 50-1: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $8: 10-15: 20$ | $7: 40-13: 50$ |  | $8: 10-15: 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $4: 00-7: 10$ | $3: 50-7: 00$ |  | $4: 00-7: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $4: 00-7: 10$ | $3: 50-7: 00$ | $13: 30-16: 40$ | $4: 00-7: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $4: 00-6: 30$ | $4: 00-6: 30$ | $16: 10-19: 20$ | $4: 00-6: 30$ |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $1: 40-2: 40$ |  | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $1: 00-2: 10$ |  | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $0: 40-1: 50$ | $0: 20-1: 40$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 40-1: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $0: 40-1: 40$ | $0: 50-1: 40$ |  | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $0: 40-1: 40$ | $0: 30-1: 20$ |  | $0: 40-1: 40$ |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $8: 00-15: 10$ | $8: 00-15: 10$ |  | $7: 50-14: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | $8: 00-15: 10$ | $8: 00-15: 10$ |  | $8: 00-15: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $2: 30-4: 10$ | $2: 20-4: 00$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $2: 30-4: 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $4: 00-6: 30$ | $3: 40-6: 10$ | $4: 00-6: 20$ | $4: 00-6: 20$ |  |  |  |  |

*Assumed ERPA where all airport special event traffic initially assigned as transients.

TABLE A-15
CASE 4 - 100\% EVACUATION - EASTERN RE-ROUTE EVENING ADVERSE WEATHER

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY

IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA No. | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 3:30 | 3:30 |  | 3:30 |
| 2 | 3:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 3 | 3:10 | 3:10 |  |  |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:30 | 1:50 | 1:40 |
| 5 | 3:10 | 2:00 |  |  |
| 6 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  | 3:10 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 1:40 |  | 3:30 |
| 8 | 1:50 | 0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  | $3 \cdot 10$ |
| 10 | 3:10 | 2:40 |  | 3:10 |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:30 |  |  |
| 12 | 4:50 | 4:40 | 3:50 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 6:20 | 6:30 | 4:10 | 6:20 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:00 |  | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:00 |  |  |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 18 | 1:30 | 1:20 |  |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  | 27.10 |
| 20* | 4:30 | 24:50 |  | 27.10 |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:00 |  |  |
| 22 | 6:20 | 6:10 | 6:20 | 6:20 |

*Assumed ERPA where all concert traffic initially assigned as transients. Does not reflect actual travel times for the existing ERPA population.

TABLE A-16
CASE 4 - 100\% EVACUATION - EASTERN RE-ROUTE EVENING NORMAL WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY
IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA No. | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 1:50-3:00 | 1:50-3:00 |  | 1:50-3:00 |
| 2 | 2:00-3:00 | 0:50-1:30 |  |  |
| 3 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:40-2:40 |  |  |
| 4 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:50-2:50 | 1:00-1:00 | 0:50-0:50 |
| 5 | 1:40-2:30 | 0:50-1:40 |  |  |
| 6 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:10-3:50 |  | 1:40-2:40 |
| 7 | 1:50-2:50 | 0:30-0:30 |  | 1:50-2:50 |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:40-0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-0:40 |  | $1.40-2 \cdot 30$ |
| 10 | 1:40-2:30 | 1:20-2:10 |  | 1:40-2.30 |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:10-3:40 |  |  |
| 12 | 2:20-4:00 | 2:10-3:50 | 2:20-3:10 | 2:20-4:00 |
| 13 | 3:20-5:10 | 3:20-5:10 | 2:10-3:30 | 3:20-5:10 |
| 14 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:30-2:30 |  | 1:40-2:50 |
| 15 | 1:40-2:50 | 1:00-1:00 |  | 1:40-2:50 |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:20-0:20 |  |  |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:30-0:30 |  |  |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:20-3:50 |  | 13.00-22.20 |
| 20* | 2:10-3:40 | 10:20-19:50 |  | 13.00-22.20 |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:30-2:30 |  |  |
| 22 | 3:20-5:10 | 3:00-5:00 | 3:10-5:10 | 3:20-5:10 |

*Assumed ERPA where all concert traffic initially assigned as transients. Does not reflect actual travel times for the existing ERPA population.

## TABLE A-17

CASE 4 - 100\% EVACUATION - EASTERN RE-ROUTE NIGHTTIME ADVERSE WEATHER

## EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERPA } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | ( $2: 40$ | 2:40 |  | 2:40 |
| 2 | 2:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 3 | 2:40 | 2:40 |  |  |
| 4 | 2:30 | 2:30 | 1:10 | 1:00 |
| 5 | 2:40 | 2:00 |  |  |
| 6 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  | 2:40 |
| 7 | 2:30 | 1:40 |  |  |
| 8 | 1:50 | 0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:50 | 0:40 |  |  |
| 10 | 2:40 | 2:20 |  |  |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:30 |  |  |
| 12 | 4:50 | 4:40 | 20:20 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 23:20 | 5:00 |
| 14 | 2:20 | 2:10 |  | 2:20 |
| 15 | 2:20 | 2:00 |  | 2:20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40 | 0:30 |  |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  |  |
| 20* | 4:30 | 24:50 |  | 27:10 |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:00 |  |  |
| 22 | 5:00 | 4:40 | 4:50 | 5:00 |

*Assumed ERPA where all concert traffic initially assigned as transients. Does not reflect actual travel
times for the existing ERPA population.

TABLE A-18
CASE $4-100 \%$ EVACUATION - EASTERN RE-ROUTE NIGHTTIME NORMAL WEATHER
EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY
IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPANo: | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 1:10-2:10 | 1:10-2:10 |  | 1:10-2:10 |
| 2 | 1:10-2:10 | 0:50-1:30 |  |  |
| 3 | 1:10-2:20 | 1:10-2:20 |  |  |
| 4 | 1:00-2:10 | 0:50-2:00 | 1:00-1:00 | 0:50-0:50 |
| 5 | 1:00-2:10 | 0:50-1:40 |  |  |
| 6 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:10-3:50 |  | 1:10-2:20 |
| 7 | 0:50-2:00 | 0:30-0:30 |  |  |
| 8 | 0:50-0:50 | 0:40-0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:40-0:40 |  |  |
| 10 | 1:00-2:10 | 0:30-1:50 |  |  |
| 11 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:10-3:40 |  |  |
| 12 | 2:20-4:00 | 2:10-3:50 | 13:30-16:40 | 2:20-4:00 |
| 13 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40-4:10 | 16:10-19:20 | 2:30-4:00 |
| 14 | 0:40-2:00 | 0:30-1:40 |  | 0:40-2:00 |
| 15 | 0:40-2:00 | 1:00-1:00 |  | 0:40-2:00 |
| 16 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:20-0:20 |  |  |
| 17 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:50-0:50 |  |  |
| 18 | 0:40-0:40 | 0:30-0:30 |  |  |
| 19 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:20-3:50 |  |  |
| 20* | 2:10-3:40 | 10:20-19:50 |  | 13:00-22:20 |
| 21 | 1:40-2:40 | 1:30-2:30 |  |  |
| 22 | 2:40-4:00 | 2:20-3:50 | 2:30-4:00 | 2:40-4:00 |

*Assumed ERPA where all concert traffic initially assigned as transients. Does not reflect actual travel times for the existing ERPA population.

TABLE A-19

## CASE 4 - 100\% EVACUATION - EASTERN RE-ROUTE SUMMER/HOLIDAY WEEKEND <br> ADVERSE WEATHER <br> EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY <br> IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ERPA } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Population Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Population With Auto (Hrs:Mins) | General Population Without Auto (Hrs:Mins) | Special Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients <br> (Hrs:Mins) |
| 1 | 3:30 | 3:30 |  | 3:30 |
| 2 | 3:40 | 1:50 |  |  |
| 3 | 3:30 | 3:30 |  |  |
| 4 | 3:30 | 3:30 | 1:50 | 1:40 |
| 5 | 3:30 | 2:00 |  |  |
| 6 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  | 3:30 |
| 7 | 3:30 | 1:40 |  | 3:30 |
| 8 | 1:50 | 0:40 |  |  |
| 9 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 10 | 3:30 | 3:10 |  | 3:30 |
| 11 | 4:40 | 4:30 |  |  |
| 12 | 4:50 | 4:40 | 20:50 | 4:50 |
| 13 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 23:50 | 5:00 |
| 14 | 3:20 | 3:00 |  | 3:20 |
| 15 | 3:20 | 2:00 |  | 3:20 |
| 16 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 17 | 1:40 | 1:30 |  |  |
| 18 | 1:30 | 1:20 |  |  |
| 19 | 4:40 | 4:40 |  |  |
| 20* | 4:30 | 24:50 |  | 27:10 |
| 21 | 3:10 | 3:00 |  |  |
| 22 | 5:00 | 4:40 | 4:50 | 5:00 |

*Assumed ERPA where all concert traffic initially assigned as transients. Does not reflect actual travel
times for the existing ERPA population.

TABLE A-20

## CASE $4-100 \%$ EVACUATION - EASTERN RE-ROUTE SUMMER/HOLIDAY WEEKEND NORMAL WEATHER EVACUATION TRAVEL TIME SUMMARY IN HOURS AND MINUTES

| ERPA <br> No. | Population Category <br> Population <br> With Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) |  |  |  |  | General <br> Population <br> Without Auto <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Facilities <br> (Hrs:Mins) | Transients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $1: 50-3: 00$ | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  | $1: 50-3: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $2: 00-3: 00$ | $0: 50-1: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $1: 50-2: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $1: 00-1: 00$ | $0: 50-0: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $0: 50-1: 40$ |  | $1: 50-2: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 50$ |  | $1: 50-2: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $0: 50-0: 50$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  | $1: 50-2: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 40-0: 40$ |  | $2: 20-4: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $1: 50-2: 50$ | $1: 30-2: 30$ |  | $2: 30-4: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ |  | $1: 40-2: 50$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $2: 20-4: 00$ | $2: 10-3: 50$ | $13: 50-17: 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $2: 30-4: 00$ | $2: 40-4: 10$ | $16: 30-19: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $1: 40-2: 50$ | $1: 30-2: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $1: 40-2: 50$ | $1: 00-1: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 20-0: 20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 50-0: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $0: 40-0: 40$ | $0: 30-0: 30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 20-3: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20^{*}$ | $2: 10-3: 40$ | $10: 20-19: 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $1: 40-2: 40$ | $1: 30-2: 30$ |  | $2: 30-4: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $2: 40-4: 00$ | $2: 20-3: 50$ | $2: 40-4: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |

*Assumed ERPA where all concert traffic initially assigned as transients. Does not reflect actual travel
times for the existing ERPA population.
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## METHODOLOGY TO ESTIMATE ROADWAY TRAVEL TIMES

DURING AN EVACUATION

## A. Introduction

This Appendix describes the traffic engineering computer model used to estimate roadway travel times during an evacuation of the JAF/NMP EPZ. The model used in this study has also been applied to the Indian Point (New York), Three Mile Island (Pennsylvania), and Salem/Hope Creek (New Jersey and Delaware) Emergency Planning Zones. To evaluate the computer model used in the aforementioned studies, a separate analysis was conducted using a different model for the purpose of comparing results. The Indian Point EPZ was selected for the comparative study because of the diverse characteristics of its roadway network and population density.

As described later in this Appendix, the comparative study showed that both models provide quite similar estimates of evacuation travel time. Thus, it is concluded that the model used to estimate travel times for the JAF/NMP EPZ can be applied with a high degree of confidence.

The remaining sections of this Appendix discuss the traffic assignment process used for the JAF/NMP EPZ, present the detailed results of the comparative study; and summarize the conclusions drawn from the comparison of traffic models.

## B. Static Traffic Assignment Process

## 1. Inputs

The static traffic assignment process developed to estimate roadway travel times during an evacuation requires three basic types of input. The first type relates to the characteristics of the evacuation roadway network, which is comprised of one-directional
links, each having its own attributes. The links are links, each having its own attributes. The links are described in terms of their capability to accommodate evacuating traffic (evacuation capacity), length, and free-flow speed (speed limit).

The second type of input required for this assignment process is zonal vehicle trip generation data. The EPZ is disaggregated into traffic zones, and the numbers of trips by each vehicle type (e.g., autos, buses, ambulances) are estimated in terms of passenger car equivalents (PCE's) for each trafic zone. Buses are weighted as the equivalent of two passenger cars in this analysis. In addition, a terminal time for all trip types for each traffic zone is input.

The third input type used in the static assignment process is evacuation path data. Evacuation routes are designated fixed paths extending from the traffic zones to the Sector boundary via specific roadways. Separate paths are developed for each trip type and are expressed in terms of connecting link numbers. Destinations (e.g., reception centers) are defined for each traffic zone and input for the purpose of determining the number of vehicles and passengers expected at each destination. Average vehicle occupancies are used to estimate the number of passengers arriving in vehicles at the destination.

## 2. Static Assignment Algorithm

A computer program was written to process the above input data and compute roadway travel times for each trip type by traffic zone. A flow chart of the static traffic assignment computer program is included at the end of this Appendix.

Initially, the program calculates the total vehicular demand volume (in PCE's) on each link in the network by aggregating the vehicle trips generated by each traffic zone along the evacuation path. Implicit in this assignment is the assumption that all vehicles from all zones using a given evacuation route are on each link along the designated route concurrently. The assignment process is thus considered "static", because the spatial movement of vehicles across the network is not explicitly recognized as a function of time.

For each link in the network, three additional computations are performed. First, the free-flow speed is calculated as the quotient of the link length and the free flow speed. Second, the total vehicular demand volume is divided by the hourly evacuation capacity (for the appropriate weather condition) of the link to obtain the volume/capacity (N/C) relationship for the link. Finally, the evacuation speed or delay time is computed for each link, depending on whether the V/C ratio is less than or greater than 1.0, respectively. The formula contained in the Federal Highway Administration Traffic Assianment Manual, August 1973, was adopted and modified as follows for use in computing the speed at which evacuees will travel when capacity exceeds demand.

0.25 Demand +1 Capacity (for demand < capacity)

Following these calculations, the model computes the roadway travel time for each trafic zone's evacuation route (or routes since some buses and special vehicles had separate routes) by scanning the links comprising the evacuation route to determine maximum $V / C$ ratio along the route.

When the hourly evacuation capacity exceeds the total demand volume $N / C$ ratio less than 1.0) for all links along the route, the link evacuation speeds are used to compute link travel time, and the travel times for each link along the path are summed to obtain the traffic zone-to-Sector boundary roadway travel time for the route.

When the total demand volume exceeds the hourly evacuation capacity $N / C$ ratio greater than 1.0) along any link of a traffic zone's evacuation route, the roadway travel time is represented by the maximum link delay time incurred along the route. Link delay time is calculated as the volume/capacity ratio in hours for each link along the route. The link with the maximum V/C ratio is identified as the bottleneck link for the evacuation route for use in future planning. Other links along the route where the $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio exceeds 1.0 are also identified for planning purposes.

The roadway travel time as determined above is added to the terminal time and the free-flow travel time for each zone trip type to determine the total roadway evacuation travel time. The total roadway evacuation travel time resulting from this analysis represents the time for the last vehicle in the zone to clear the Sector.

## 3. Outputs

The computer program developed for the static assignment process provides five basic reports which are used in the evacuation planning process. The reports are described below:
a. Summary of link statistics: link number, description, length, free-flow speed and time, vehicular demand volume, evacuation capacity, and volume/capacity ratio.
b. Summary of traffic zone statistics: number of trips, evacuation route, destination, terminal time, free-flow travel time, roadway travel time, total evacuation time, and bottleneck link; for each trip type, sorted in ascending order by total evacuation time.
c. Summary of all bottleneck links and the traffic zones which are routed over them.
d. Summary of all destinations and the estimated number of vehicles (by type) and passengers assigned to each.
e. Distribution of the percent of the total population evacuated as a function of time.

## C. Comparison of Static and Dynamic Treffic Assignment Processes

Because of the importance of the assignment process in the overall procedure to estimate evacuation travel times, it was decided to evaluate the static traffic assignment model used in the evacuation planning process. Travel times estimated by the static model were compared with times estimated by a state-of-the-art dynamic traffic assignment model.

The dynamic assignment model used in the comparative analysis is an offspring of the TRANSYT model ${ }^{*}$ presently included in the Federal Highway Administration computer program batteries. The model employs principles of flow continuity and flow dynamics to move traffic on each link in the network towards its ultimate destination. Traffic flow representation changes with time to reflect changes in demand and roadway conditions. Traffic movement on each link in the network is constrained by roadway geometrics, control devices, and other vehicles present on the roadway.

Various types of test routes were selected for this comparison and were located in Rockland and Westchester Counties in the Indian Point EPZ in New York State. Input requirements for both models were basically identical with one exception, which relates to the time varying nature of vehicles entering the evacuation network. The static assignment process assumed a concurrent loading of the entire network; the distribution over time of vehicle trips feeding the network was not addressed by the static model. However, because of the time dependent nature of the dynamic simulation model, it was possible to input trip generation data which varied with time at each load point in the network. This time-based distribution curve used in the comparison of assignment processes was provided by the New York State Office of Disaster Preparedness.

* The dynamic evacuation model, named DYNEV, was provided by KLD Associates, Inc.

Separate comparative analyses and evaluations of the static and dynamic model results were made using Level of Service $D$ and Level of Service E evacuation capacities. Table $1-1$ presents a comparison of the percent of total vehicies (in PCEs) evacuated for
each route by time in the test network. The comparison was made between the static and dynamic assignment results when one or the other reached a time period when the total vehicles traveling the evacuation route had cleared the EPZ boundary. In all cases, the static assignment evacuation reached 100 percent completion either before or at the same time as the dynamic assignment evacuation. The percentages enclosed by parentheses in Table 1-1 correspond to static and dynamic evacuation roadway travel times using Level of Service D capacities. Percentages without parentheses correspond to static and dynamic evacuation roadway travel times estimated using Level of Service E capacities.

Examination of Table 1-1 shows a 97 percent correlation between the two assignment model results on an aggregate basis for the sample Indian Point roadway network east of the Hudson River in Westchester County. That is, at the time that the static assignment estimated complete evacuation of vehicles beyond the EPZ, the dynamic assignment estimated 97 percent of the vehicles would have cleared the EPZ. On the west side of the Hudson River near Indian Point, where both Levels of Service E and $D$ were analyzed by both models, the two model results were 99 percent and 98 percent, respectively. Overall, for the entire test evacuation network, comparison of the static and dynamic assignment results at Level of Service $E$ indicated a 99 percent correlation. In other words, when the static model estimated the network would be cleared (total vehicle evacuation), the dynamic model estimated 99 percent of the vehicles would have cleared the EPZ boundary. The dynamic assignment results indicated that complete evacuation of all vehicles beyond the EPZ boundary would occur 15 minutes later than the static assignment estimate at Level of Service E.

In addition to the evacuation times generated by each assignment technique, the location of bottlenecks by each methodology was compared. The critical bottleneck links identified by the static model were identified in the dynamic assignment results as well. The dynamic assignment produced the percent of vehicles topped at each link during the evacuation. This statistic was used as a measure of the congestion level on each link. For the identified bottleneck links, the average percentage of stops as indicated by the dynamic mode output was roughly 45 percent higher than on noncritical links, indicating that an increase in congestion was appropriately simulated by the static model on the critical links.

## D. Conclusions

The results of the comparative analysis presented in this Appendix indicate that the static traffic assignment model can be applied to highway networks to estimate evacuation roadway travel times with a high degree of confidence.

Under almost identical circumstances, the static assignment model results have proven comparable with those produced by a state-of-the art, complex dynamic assignment model, which simulates the evacuation process within the framework of time. Roadway travel times were estimated and congested roadways identified with a high degree of correlation using the less complex static assignment methodology. A close correlation between assignment procedures exists for varying roadway types, weather conditions, and loading characteristics. Thus the use of the static assignment model to estimate evacuation travel times in the JAF/NMP EPZ is appropriate.

COMPARISON OF STATIC AND DYNAMIC ASSIGNMENT RESULTS

Thallic:
Assigume:n Assigninemt

Peacent of Tolal Vehiclas Evacataled During the Following Time Peatod'



| East of River (Wissicliester Counly) |  | Static <br> Dynamic | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | $-$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $100$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,360 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Route 120 | 5 | Static | 100 | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Dynamic | 33 | - | - | 100 | - | - |  | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Route 9A | 8.690 | Static | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Dynansic | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 5,155 | Static | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | -. | - | - |  |
| Taconic Parkway |  | Dynamic | - | -- | 92 | - | - |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 2,5\% | Static | - | - | - | - | - | 100 89 | - | $100$ | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - |
| Alnawalk Roal |  | Dynamir, | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total East Routes | 20.785 | Static | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | 07 | - | 100 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Total fast nowes |  | Dynamic | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West of River (Rockland Commir) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | $1100)$ | - | $(100)$ | - |
| Pallisiclis liak kway | 8.655 | Static: <br> Dynamic | - | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 97 | 100 | 100 |  | - | (! $)^{-}$ | - | (100) |  |
|  |  | Oy...... |  | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 00 \end{array}$ | 100 | - | - | - |  | (100) |
| Houte 9W | 3.850 | Static Dynamic | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 99 | 100 | - | - | - | (1) | - |
|  | 3.310 | Static | - | 100 | - | - | $(100)$ | $100$ | $(1, \overline{0})$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Roure 303 |  | Dynamic | - | 90 | - | - | (90) | 100 |  |  | (100) | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fnute 15 | 1.020 | Static | - | - | - | 100 08 | - | 100 | - | - | (100) | 1 - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
|  |  | Dynamin: | - | - | - | 98 |  |  |  | - | 100 | - | - | - | $(100)$ | - |  |  |  |
|  | 3,025 | Static. | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | 99 | 100 | - | - | (95) |  | (100) |  | - |
| Tillle Tor Roal |  | Dynamic | - | - |  | 10 | - | - |  |  |  | (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Roule 304 | 3,655 | Static | - | - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 09 \end{array}$ |  | 100 | - | - |  | (100) | 101 | = |  |  | .. | 11010 |  |
| Ronite 3 |  | Dypamimic. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | -- |  | . | $\ldots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $100$ | 100 | - |  | - | $(90)$ | (100) |
| Toral West lionltes | 24.415 | Stalic: | $\cdots$ | -- |  |  | -- |  | -- | - | - | - |  | 100 | -- |  | - | - | - |
| F-......... ... | 15,200 |  | -- | -.. | -- | . | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | --- | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 90 \end{array}$ | $100$ | $\cdots$ | -- | - | - |  |
| Tolal Nu: wonk lioulu: |  | Stalic. Dynamic. | -- | - |  | - | -. | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Nolt: Numbers enalosed by parentheses represent the percent of total veliches along a route evacuated dinting the using leval of Service (1) capacities.
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[^0]:    ——— Normal Weather - Lower - D- Normal Weather - Upper ———Adverse Weather Bound Bound

[^1]:    * Seabrook Station Evacuation Time Estimates and Traffic Management Plan Update, KLD Associates, Inc., 1986.

[^2]:    * The dynamic evacuation model, named DYNEV, was provided by KLD

    Associates, Inc.

