

M. S. Tuckman
Executive Vice President
Nuclear Generation

Duke Power CompanyA Duke Energy Company
EC07H
526 South Church Street
PO. Box 1006
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

(704) 382-2200 OFFICE (704) 382-4360 FAX

March 8, 2000

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Subject: Duke Energy Corporation

Oconee Nuclear Station Units 1,2, and 3

Docket Nos. 50-269/270/287

McGuire Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2

Docket Nos. 50-369/370

Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2

Docket Nos. 50-413/414 Fitness-For-Duty Program

Report of Unsatisfactory Laboratory Performance

Pursuant to 10 CFR 26, Appendix A, 2.8(e)(4), attached is a report on an incident involving unsatisfactory laboratory testing performance.

Should there be any questions concerning this report, please contact C. J. Thomas at (704) 373-3810.

11. 2. 1 accomen

M. S. Tuckman

Attachment

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission March 8, 2000 Page Two

cc: w/ Attachment

L. A. Reyes
Regional Administrator, Region II
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atlanta Federal Center, Suite 23T85
61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303-3415

C. P. Patel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C.

F. Rinaldi U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C.

D. E. Labarge U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C.

M. C. Shannon NRC Senior Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

S. M. Shaeffer NRC Senior Resident Inspector McGuire Nuclear Station

D. J. Roberts NRC Senior Resident Inspector Catawba Nuclear Station U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission March 8, 2000 Page Three

bcc: w/ Attachment

L. E. Nicholson

M. T. Cash

G. D. Gilbert

W. E. Dukes, MD

P. L. Loper, MD

P. R. Fowler

C. J. Thomas

 ELL





EC02O 526 South Church Street P.O. Box 1006 Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

March 1, 2000

SUBJECT: False Negative Blind Performance Urine Drug Screen

One spiked positive blind performance urine drug screen for cocaine was incorrectly identified as negative by the SmithKline Beecham Laboratory (now part of Quest Diagnostics, Inc.) in Atlanta. The specimen was submitted on November 18, 1999. Dr. Jerry McHan of Quality Assurance Associates, who supplied the blind, notified Duke Energy of the results on February 7, 2000.

The specimen should have been positive for cocaine. The laboratory's investigation revealed that the EMIT response was 0.828 (cutoff is equal to 1.000). It was just a little under the cutoff level. All control specimens in the assay were acceptable. This specimen's EMIT value is close to the cutoff level and is likely a random laboratory error of analytical variation.

The laboratory did correctly identify all 8 other specimens spiked with cocaine during the fourth quarter of 1999. All of the blind cocaine specimens came from the same lot number. Also, they correctly identified all other blind specimens submitted. No corrective action is warranted at this time. However, if more blind negatives occur, further investigation or action will be initiated.

W. E. Dukes, Jr., MD

Corporate Medical Director

Enclosure

Quest Diagnostics Incorporated

3175 Presidential Drive Atlanta, Georgia 30340 770.452.1590



February 28, 2000

Via Facsimile Number 704-382-8075

Duke Power W.E. Dukes, JR. MD. PO Box 1006-EC202 Charlotte, NC 28201

Subject: Specimen Number 7087926/Donor ID number 410131234

Dear Dr. Dukes,

I have reviewed the data generated on the above specimen. The immunoassay gave a response for cocaine of 0.828. All quality control material was acceptable. The 300 ng/ml cut-off is 1.000 and therefore was not considered a screening positive, thus no confirmation was performed. The specimen has been discarded and no further testing can be done. If you have any questions or concerns please contact me at 800-729-6432, extension 6120.

Sincerely,

Ben R. Wells

Manager/Forensic Toxicology

But hell