

David H. Oatley Vice President-Diablo Canyon Operations and Plant Manager

Diablo Canyon Power Plant P.O. Box 56 Avila Beach, CA 93424

805.545.6000

February 29, 2000

PG&E Letter DCL-00-032

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Docket No. 50-275, OL-DPR-80
Docket No. 50-323, OL-DPR-82
Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2
Semi-Annual Fitness for Duty Performance Report for July through December 1999

Dear Commissioners and Staff:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 26.71(d), PG&E is submitting the enclosed Fitness for Duty (FFD) Performance Report for July through December 1999. The performance data have been compiled on standard forms developed by the Nuclear Energy Institute and are provided in Enclosure 1. As noted in Enclosure 1, individuals being screened for initial unescorted security access (preemployment testing 60 days prior to being cleared) are tested at lower cutoff levels for marijuana and amphetamines, and for three additional substances. This testing is reported under the preaccess category and is conducted in accordance with 10 CFR 26.

Enclosure 2 provides the results of statistical analysis of the data. Enclosure 3 provides a summary of FFD Program activities for the reporting period.

No licensed operators or supervisors tested positive during this period, and no significant FFD event reports were made during the period.

If you have any questions regarding the information enclosed or other FFD Program activities, please contact William F. Ryan, Access/FFD Supervisor, at (805) 545-3329.

Sincerely,

R.A. Walter for David H. Oatley Document Control Desk February 29, 2000 Page 2

CC:

Steven D. Bloom

Richard E. Enkeboll, NEI

Thomas P. Gwynn David L. Proulx Diablo Distribution

Enclosures

TLH/2200

FITNESS FOR DUTY PERFORMANCE DATA JULY THROUGH DECEMBER 1999

Fitness for Duty Program Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10 CFR 26

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

DECEMBER 31, 1999

Company

6 Months Ending

DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT

Location

WILLIAM F. RYAN, ACCESS/FITNESS FOR DUTY SUPERVISOR

(805) 545-3329

Contact Name

Phone (including area code)

Cutoffs: Screen/Confirmation (ng/ml)

Appendix A to 10 CFR 26

Pre-employment Screening

<u>Marijuana 20 / 10</u>

Amphetamines 300 / 250

300 / 250 Barbiturates

300 / 150 Cocaine

Phencyclidine 25 / 25

Benzodiazepines 300 / 250

300 / 300 Opiates

Alcohol (% BAC) 0.04% Methaqualone 300 / 100

Testing Results Average Number with Unescorted Access: 2004		Licensee Employees		Long-Term Contractor Personnel 489*		Short-Term Contractor Personnel		
Categories		# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive	
Pre-Access		35	0	25	0	993	24	
For Cause	Post-accident	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Observed behavior	1	0	0	0	3	3	
Random		418	0	88	0	31	0	
Follow-up		39	0	3	0	61	1	
Other**		14	0	22	0	16	0	
Total		507	0	138	0	1104	28	

Includes long and short term contractors; differentiation between the two is not obtainable

Testing of FFD Administrative Staff and return-to-duty testing of Corporate Personnel

Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Amphe- tamines		Alcohol	Refusal to Test	**
Licensee Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
Long-Term Contractors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Short-Term Contractors	21*	0	0	4	0	3	0	0
Total	21	0	0	4	0	3	0	0

* Combination:

1 Meth/THC

2 Meth/THC/Cocaine

3 Cocaine/THC

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FOR REPORTING PERIOD JULY THROUGH DECEMBER 1999

Analysis of the data indicates that 52.5 percent of the average eligible population was randomly tested during 1999.

The random positive rate for all workers at DCCP for the year was 0.37 percent. There were no random positive tests recorded during the second half of 1999.

The overall positive test rate for the year was 1.61 percent. Preaccess tests for short term contractor personnel accounted for 84.5 percent of all positive tests. The large number of positive tests recorded for short term contractor personnel in the preaccess testing category is attributable to Unit 1 ninth refueling (1R9) and Unit 2 ninth refueling (2R9) outages.

PG&E submitted blind performance specimens in accordance with 10 CFR 26, Appendix A, Section 2.8 (e)(2), requirements. There were no reportable events.

SUMMARY OF FITNESS FOR DUTY PROGRAM ACTIVITIES FOR JULY THROUGH DECEMBER 1999

Activity 1

During the period January through June 1999 it was noted that the random positive test rate was higher than in recent years. The following observations are made regarding this trend:

- 1. During the second six months of 1999 there were no random positive tests recorded.
- 2. The random positive rate for all workers at Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) from January through June 1999 was 0.74 percent. The random positive rate for all workers at DCPP during all of 1999 was 0.37 percent.
- 3. Two of the random positive results in 1999 occurred for donors that were retested. The retests were conducted, at the direction of the Medical Review Officer, due to low specific gravity and creatinine readings. In 1999, DCPP gave donors less advance notice of a retest to help prevent specimen dilution.

Activity 2

On September 9, 1999, an unattended jacket found in the plant cafeteria was turned over to plant security. Upon looking in the jacket to identify the owner, security personnel discovered a small brown paper bag which contained an unopened 1.5 oz bottle of brandy. Security personnel maintained control of the jacket and brandy until the owner could be located.

During a subsequent search of the jacket a numbered dog tag was found at the bottom of a jacket lining pocket. The dog tag number was turned over to PG&E corporate security for follow-up. PG&E corporate security found the jacket owner based on the dog tag number and interviewed the jacket owner. The interview revealed that the jacket owner had forgot the brandy was in his jacket and the owner was aware of the DCPP site prohibition regarding alcohol. The employee's supervisor was contacted who reported never seeing any abnormal behavior by the employee and that the employee's behavior has always been at a high level. Based on this information, the employee was disciplined for noncompliance with site rules regarding alcohol.