

W. R. McCollum, Jr. Vice President

Duke Power

Oconee Nuclear Site 7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, SC 29672 (864) 885-3107 OFFICE (864) 885-3564 FAX

February 23, 2000

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station Docket Nos. 50-287 Licensee Event Report 287/2000-02, Revision 0 Problem Investigation Process No.: 0-99-4936

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73 Sections (a)(1) and (d), attached is Licensee Event Report 287/2000-02, Revision 0, concerning operation prohibited by Technical Specifications due to a missed surveillance.

This report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B). This event is considered to be of no significance with respect to the health and safety of the public.

Very truly yours,

W. R. McCollum,

Attachment

7522

Document Control Desk Date: February 23, 2000 Page 2 cc: Mr. Luis A. Reyes Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 61 Forsyth Street, S. W., Suite 23T85 Atlanta, GA 30303 Mr. D. E. LaBarge U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Washington, D.C. 20555 INPO Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway, NW Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

> Mr. M. C. Shannon NRC Senior Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998)						APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information									
						collection request 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments									
(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)						Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request. 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104). Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control nurmber, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.									
FACILITY NAME (1)						DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)									
Oconee	Nuclea	ar Stat	ion, Un:	it 3				050	05000 - 287				1 OF 4		
TITLE (4)															
Missed	Surve	llance	due to	Inco	rrect	Inte	erpr	eta	ation o	f Requi	remen	ts		346 17.1	
EVENT	DATE (5)		R NUMBER (RT DATE	E (7)	OTHER FACILITIES IN				VOLVED (8)			
MONTH E	AY YEAF	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISIO N NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR					5000	, moent		
5 (99 99	2000	- 02 -	00	2	23	00	FACI	LITY NAME		1	DOCKET NUMBER			
OPERATIN	G				JI				MENTS OF 10	eck one or more) (11)					
MODE (9	1	20.22	01(b)		20.2203	8(a)(2)(v)			X 50.73(a))(2)(i)(B)			B(a)(2)		
POWER	n 100		03(a)(1)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)			50.73(a)(2)(x)				
LEVEL (10	/		3(a)(2)(i) 3(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203(a)(3)(ii) 20.2203(a)(4)				50.73(a) 50.73(a)			73.71			
100 St.			03(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)	<u> </u>		50.73(a				OTHER		t below or	
			03(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)	ir	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A				
NAME				LICEN	SEE CON	ITACT FO	OR THI	S LEF	<u> </u>	MARER (Include Acc					
									I ELEPHONE N	JMBER (Include Are	ea Code)				
L.E. Ni	cholse	on, Reg	ulatory	Comp	lianc	e Mar	nage	r	(864) 885-3292						
		COMPLE	TE ONE LINE	FOR EAC	HCOMPO	ONENT F	AILUR	E DE	SCRIBED IN	THIS REPORT	r (13)				
CAUSE	CAUSE SYSTEM		COMPONENT MANUFACTURER		PORTABLE TO EPIX	ORTABLE CAUSE		ISE	SYSTEM COMPONENT M		MANUFA	NUFACTURER REPORTA		ORTABLE O EPIX	
	S	UPPLEMEN	AL REPORT	EXPECTE	ED (14)						MONTH	DA	Y .	YEAR	
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).				EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)											
			, approximatel		e-spaced	X NO) (16)		E (15)	1			· · ·	
<pre>Improved Technical Specification (ITS) Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.5.3.1 was added when Oconee converted to ITS on March 27, 1999. Position verification of the Oconee Unit 3 Decay Heat Removal (LPI) Heat Exchanger (HX) Bypass valves 3LP-92 and 3LP-93 were not included in the original scope of this surveillance based primarily on an assessment of common industry practices. Based on subsequent industry experience, Duke Energy conservatively decided to incorporate these valves into the SR verification program. The valves were added to the appropriate procedure and were found in their proper position when initially verified on November 3, 1999. An evaluation concluded on January 24, 2000, that these valves should have been included in the original scope of SP 3 5 3 1 so the initial position</pre>															
<pre>included in the original scope of SR 3.5.3.1, so the initial position verification should have occurred on or before May 9, 1999. Unit 3 was operated in Mode 1 at 100% power during the period of March 27, 1999 to November 3, 1999, except for a 3-day reduction to Mode 3 in May, 1999. There are no equivalent valves on Unit 1 or 2. This event is considered to have no significance with respect to the health and safety of the public.</pre>															
	-														

٦

Г

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3	05000- 287	2000	02	00	2	OF	4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

EVALUATION:

BACKGROUND

This report addresses operation prohibited by Technical Specifications due to a missed surveillance. This event is reportable per 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

The Low Pressure Injection (LPI) [EIIS:BP] System provides normal decay heat removal in Modes 5 and 6, Emergency Core Cooling System low pressure safety injection, and long term emergency decay heat removal following a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). LPI includes two separate trains, each composed of one pump, one heat exchanger, associated piping, valves, and instrumentation.

Valves 3LP-92 and 3LP-93 allow LPI flow to by-pass the Decay Heat Coolers for LPI Train A and Train B, respectively. They are airoperated valves that fail closed on loss of instrument air and are operated from the control room. These valves may be procedurally opened during certain outage configurations, but are typically maintained closed by procedure while the LPI system is in ES standby alignment. 3LP-92 and 3LP-93 are unique to the Unit 3 LPI system, with no comparable counterpart on the other two Oconee units.

Prior to this event Unit 3 was operating at 100% power with no safety systems or components out of service that would have contributed to this event.

EVENT DESCRIPTION

In 1999, Oconee Nuclear Station converted from Current Technical Specifications (CTS) to Improved Technical Specifications (ITS). ITS implementation became effective on March 27, 1999. There were several new provisions that existed in the Improved Standardized Technical Specifications (ISTS) that were incorporated into ITS.

One such provision was a new surveillance requirement (SR) to verify certain valve positions every 31 days. Specifically, ITS SR 3.5.3.1 established a requirement to:

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
	EE EVENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION							
	FACILITY NAME (1)			LER NUMBER (6) PAGE				(3)
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Oconee Nucle	ear Station, Unit 3	05000-287	2000	02	00	3	OF	4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

"Verify each LPI manual and non-automatic power operated valve in the flow path, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position."

During the ITS implementation process, Oconee conducted an informal survey of industry peers. Given the wide range of industry interpretations associated with defining flow path valves, Oconee concluded that the LPI decay heat cooler by-pass valves (3LP-92 and 3LP-93) did not need to be included in Periodic Test procedure PT/3/A/0115/011, "ITS Flow Path Verification." This test verifies that each subject valve is in the correct position.

Based on subsequent industry experience, Oconee began a specific review to reevaluate including 3LP-92 and 3LP-93 in the surveillance program. Oconee conservatively decided to amend the SR program to include verification of 3LP-92 and 3LP-93 and the valves were verified in their correct position on November 3, 1999. Oconee continued to evaluate if the inclusion should be considered a voluntary enhancement to the SR program, or a reportable omission. On January 24, 2000, Oconee determined this problem to be reportable under Technical Specification Prohibited Operation or Condition as a missed surveillance since these valves had not been included in the surveillances initially. The initial position verification should have occurred on or before May 9, 1999.

CAUSAL FACTORS

The root cause of this event was an incorrect interpretation of the requirements of ITS SR 3.5.3.1. This interpretation was based primarily on industry practices at facilities that had already implemented similar requirements.

There have been no previous Oconee LERs relative to implementing new surveillance requirements associated with the conversion to Improved Technical Specifications.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Immediate:

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
LICENSE	E EVENT REPORT (LER)								
TE	KT CONTINUATION								
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)		
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Oconee Nuclea	r Station, Unit 3	05000-287	2000	02	00	4	OF	4	
TEXT (If more space	is required, use additional copies of NRC	Form 366A) (17	7)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
PT/3/4	2 and 3LP-93 were added to A/0115/011, "ITS Flow Path their proper positions.		-		ified t	20			
Subseque	nt:								
None									
Planned:									
None									
There ar	e no NRC Commitment items	contained	in th	nis LER.					
SAFETY A	NALYSIS								
as speci Prior to	ber 3, 1999, both valves fied for the Engineered Sa November 3, 1999, these ation controls that had be	afeguards s valves rema	stand- lined	by align subject	ment. to the		,		
procedur of these expect t of plant The valv	nit start up, the LPI sys e and verified to be in the valves is governed by pro- hat any misposition would parameters, and the reco- es are operated from the ctual impact on the health nt.	he proper p ocedures. be discove very would control roc	oositi It is ered t not k om. 7	on. Mar reasona hrough m be time c herefore	ipulat ble to conitor ritica , ther	ion ing l. e			
ADDITION	AL INFORMATION								
	re no releases of radioact nnel injuries associated w			radiation	ı expos	ure	S		
	nt is not considered repor nce and Information Exchar				nt				

5