

NRC FORM 464 Part I  
(6-1998)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PA

RESPONSE NUMBER



PDR

RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST

2000-0098

1

RESPONSE TYPE  FINAL  PARTIAL

REQUESTER

Mr. Paul Gunter

DATE

FEB 17 2000

PART I. - INFORMATION RELEASED

- No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.
- Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.
- APPENDICES A Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- APPENDICES B Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.
- APPENDICES B Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.
- Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.
- We are continuing to process your request.
- See Comments.

PART I.A - FEES

AMOUNT \*  
\$

- You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.
- None. Minimum fee threshold not met.
- You will receive a refund for the amount listed.
- Fees waived.

\* See comments for details

PART I.B - INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE

- No agency records subject to the request have been located.
- Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II.
- This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)

SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER

Carol Ann Reed

**APPENDIX A  
RECORDS ALREADY AVAILABLE IN THE PDR**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ACCESSION NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	09/27/99	9910040046	Ltr to B. Zalzman, NRC from M. Roche, Oyster Creek, Re: NPDES noncompliance notificcate on 9/23/99, turtle was captured alive at dilution intake structure trash racks. (3 pages)
2.	08/25/98	9809100158	Letter to C. Craig, NRC from M. Roche, Oyster Creek, Re: NPDES noncompliance notification on 8/18/98, captured subadult loggerhead sea turtle as plant circulating water intake structure (3 pages)
3.	10/02/97	9710080011	Letter to J. Moulton, NRC from M. Roche, Oyster Creek, Re: Sea Turtle incidental capture Rept 97-1 (3 pages)
4.	05/06/96	9605080088	Letter to M. Roche, Oyster Creek from S. Newberry, NRC, Re: Biological Opinion & incidental Take Statement re. Sea turtles at plant (28 pages)

**APPENDIX B  
RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY  
(If copyrighted identify with \*)**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	11/01/99	Ltr to B. Zalcman, NRC from M. Roche, Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) Re: Sea Turtles Incidental Capture Report 99-2 (3 pages)
2.	09/28/99	Memo to H. Pastis, NRC from K. Leigh, NRC Re: Notification of a Live Sea Turtle in the Oyster Creek Intake Structure (1 page)
3.	09/27/99	Ltr to B. Zalcman, NRC from M. Roche, Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) Re: Sea Turtles Incidental Capture Report 99-1 (3 pages)
4.	05/06/96	Ltr to M. Roche, Oyster Creek from S. Newberry, NRC Re: Issuance of Biological Opinion and Incidental Take Statement Regarding Sea Turtles (25 pages)
5.	01/18/96	Ltr to Dr. J. Jang, NRC from M. Roche, Oyster Creek., Re: Fish Kill Monitoring Report, Dec. 1995 (19 pages)

PDIC



GPU Nuclear, Inc.  
U.S. Route #9 South  
Post Office Box 388  
Forked River, NJ 08731-0388  
Tel 609-971-4000

6530-992-2429  
NOV 01 1999

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mr. Barry Zalzman, Mailstop O-11-F1  
(by cert. mail RRR#Z 051 947 447)  
Sea Turtle Coordinator  
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Zalzman,

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Docket 50-219  
Sea Turtle Incidental Capture Report 99-2

This report provides detailed information regarding the recent incidental capture of a subadult green sea turtle at the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. The turtle was captured dead during the morning of October 23, 1999 at the dilution intake structure trash racks. As indicated on the attached incident report, arrangements are being made to send the turtle to Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, for a necropsy. This is only the fourth incidental capture of a sea turtle at Oyster Creek since August of 1994 and the first green sea turtle ever captured at Oyster Creek..

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Malcolm Browne of our Environmental Affairs Department at (609) 971-4124.

Very truly yours,

*Michael B. Roche*  
Michael B. Roche  
V. P. & Director  
OCNGS

MBR/MAB/ew  
Enclosure

cc: Ms. Mary Colligan (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 448)  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Habitat and Protected Resources Division  
One Blackburn Drive  
Gloucester, MA 01930

B/11

Mr. Barry Zalcman  
Page 2 of 2

6530-992-2429

cc: Mr. Hubert Miller (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 449)  
Administrator, Region 1  
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Ms. Helen N. Pastis, Mailstop O-8-B1  
(by cert. mail RRR# Z051 947 450)  
Washington, DC 20555

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 451)  
Document Control Desk - NRC  
Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Dave Jenkins (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 452)  
NJ Department of Environmental Protection  
Division of Fish, Game, and Wildlife  
P.O. Box 400  
Trenton, NJ 08625-0400

OCNGS NRC Resident Inspector, OC SEB

## Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

### Sea Turtle Incidental Capture Report 99-2

October 23, 1999

At approximately 0200 hours on Saturday, October 23, 1999, an Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) operator removed a sea turtle in front of the trash rack in Bay # 4 of the dilution water intake structure. The turtle was carefully removed from the trash racks with a trash rake, and found to be inactive and either dead or comatose. Attempts were made to resuscitate the turtle for several hours after the incidental capture, but the attempts were unsuccessful. OCNGS Environmental Affairs personnel who took custody of the turtle confirmed it to be an immature green sea turtle (*Chelonia mydas*). The water temperature at the time of the incidental capture was approximately 62.8 F (17.1 C) and OCNGS was in operation at full power with four circulating water pumps and two dilution pumps operating. Although it is impossible to say precisely how long the turtle had been near the intake structure prior to removal, the intake trash racks had been mechanically cleaned the previous day.

The turtle measured 10.6 in (27.0 cm) carapace length straight line and weighed 6.1 lb (2.75 kg). Sex was not determined. No tags were present on the turtle when captured.

The cause of death was not immediately apparent. There were no obvious boat propeller wounds and no open wounds that would have been life threatening. After the turtle was examined by Environmental Affairs personnel, arrangements were made for it to be examined further by Dr. Steven Morreale, a Cornell University sea turtle expert who has conducted numerous necropsies on sea turtles in the past.

USNRC and NMFS personnel were notified of the incidental capture the following workday.

September 28, 1999

**NOTE TO:** Helen N. Pastis, Oyster Creek Project Manager  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Frank J. Congel, Director  
Incident Response Center

**FROM:** Kimberly D. Leigh, Environmental Technical Reviewer Original Signed By:  
Generic Issues, Environmental Financial, and Rulemaking Branch  
Division of Regulatory Improvements Program  
Office Of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

**SUBJECT:** NOTIFICATION OF A LIVE SEA TURTLE IN THE OYSTER CREEK INTAKE  
STRUCTURE

On, September 23, 1999, the Oyster Creek facility personnel discovered a live Kemp Ridley's sea turtle in the Oyster Creek intake structure. The U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection were notified. The turtle was transported to the Marine Mammal Stranding Center in Brigantine, New Jersey, where the turtle will be fed and cared for until its eventual release in the Carolinas (or to an area further south). The location of release has not been determined at this time due to storm damage in the Carolinas. The turtle is believed to have suffered cold shock and/or been displaced from its migratory path by the recent storms.

Please remind the licensee of its responsibility to report under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.72(b)(vi), unusual events to which a news release is planned or require notification of other government agencies.

cc: B. Zalcman  
C. Carpenter  
D. Matthews

DOCUMENT NAME: g:\rgeb\kd\environ\loystremi.wpd

OFFICE	RGEB	RGEB <i>FAV</i>
NAME	KLeigh	BZalcman <i>for</i>
DATE	1/199	9/28/199

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

B/2



GPU Nuclear, Inc.  
U.S. Route #9 South  
Post Office Box 388  
Forked River, NJ 08731-0388  
Tel 609-971-4000

6530-982-2418  
SEP 27 1999

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mr. Barry Zalzman, Mailstop O-11-F1  
(by cert. mail RRR#Z 051 947 427)  
Sea Turtle Coordinator  
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Zalzman,

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Docket 50-219  
Sea Turtle Incidental Capture Report 99-1

This report provides detailed information regarding the recent incidental capture of a subadult Kemp's ridley sea turtle at the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. The turtle was captured alive during the morning of September 23, 1999 at the dilution intake structure trash racks. As indicated on the attached incident report, the turtle has been transferred to the Marine Mammal Stranding Center in Brigantine, NJ. This is only the third incidental capture of a sea turtle at Oyster Creek since August of 1994.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Malcolm Browne of our Environmental Affairs Department at (609) 971-4124.

Very truly yours,

*Michael Bloche*  
Michael B. Roche  
V. P. & Director  
OCNGS

MBR/MAB/  
Enclosure

cc: Ms. Mary Colligan (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 428)  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Habitat and Protected Resources Division  
One Blackburn Drive  
Gloucester, MA 01930

B/3



**Mr. Barry Zalcman**  
**Page 2 of 2**

**6530-992-2418**

**cc: Mr. Hubert Miller (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 429)**  
**Administrator, Region 1**  
**US Nuclear Regulatory Commission**  
**475 Allendale Road**  
**King of Prussia, PA 19406**

**US Nuclear Regulatory Commission**  
**Ms. Helen N. Pastis, Mailstop O-8-B1**  
**(by cert. mail RRR# Z051 947 430)**  
**Washington, DC 20555**

**US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 431)**  
**Document Control Desk - NRC**  
**Washington, DC 20555**

**Mr. Dave Jenkins (by cert. mail RRR# Z 051 947 432)**  
**NJ Department of Environmental Protection**  
**Division of Fish, Game, and Wildlife**  
**P.O. Box 236**  
**Tuckahoe, NJ 08250**

**OCNGS NRC Resident Inspector, OC SEB**

## Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

### Sea Turtle Incidental Capture Report 99-1

September 23, 1999

At approximately 0310 hours on Thursday, September 23, 1999, an Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) operator removed a sea turtle in front of the trash rack in Bay # 4 of the dilution water intake structure. The turtle was carefully removed from the trash racks with a trash rake, and found to be alive and moving about actively. OCNGS Environmental Affairs personnel who took custody of the turtle confirmed it to be a subadult Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*). The water temperature at the time of the incidental capture was approximately 67.2 F (19.6 C) and OCNGS was in operation at full power with four circulating water pumps and two dilution pumps operating. Although it is impossible to say precisely how long the turtle had been near the intake structure prior to removal, the intake trash racks had been mechanically cleaned the previous day.

The turtle measured 10.3 in (26.4 cm) carapace length straight line and weighed 6.3 lb (2.9 kg). Sex was not determined. No tags were present on the turtle when captured.

After the turtle was examined by Environmental Affairs personnel, it was transferred to the Marine Mammal Stranding Center (MMSC) in Brigantine, NJ. MMSC personnel then began to locate a facility in a warmer climate to which the turtle can be transferred prior to eventually being released in the ocean.

USNRC and NMFS personnel were notified of the incidental capture within 24 hours on September 23, 1999.



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 6, 1996

Mr. Michael B. Roche  
Vice President and Director  
GPU Nuclear Corporation  
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Post Office Box 388  
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

**SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF BIOLOGICAL OPINION AND INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT  
REGARDING SEA TURTLES (TAC NO. M88170)**

Dear Mr. Roche:

Enclosed is the Biological Opinion and Incidental Take Statement regarding sea turtles at Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) as set forth by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) dated September 21, 1995. This biological opinion was issued under the formal consultation process resulting from a taking of a dead Kemp's ridley sea turtle at OCNGS on October 17, 1993.

In a conference call between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff and NMFS on April 9, 1996, NMFS agreed to revise the opinion and take statement. First, NMFS will clarify that takes equalling the incidental take allowance will mandate informal consultation rather than formal consultation as may be construed in the current take statement. Second, NMFS will clarify its intent with regard to Term/Condition 6 and 7 such that current site practice with respect to handling sea turtles and turning them over to the Marine Mammal Stranding Facility would be acceptable. Third, NMFS will clarify its intent in Term/Condition 9 that determination of sex should be made "if possible." Fourth, NMFS will clarify that the annual reporting requirements of incidental takes include providing the timing of the intake inspections surrounding the takes rather than a record of all inspections during the year. Finally, NMFS agreed to revise the report to address all the technical inaccuracies that you identified to the staff in your October 23, 1995, comments on the biological opinion.

With regard to the requirement to perform daily cleaning of the trash bars (Term/Condition 4), NMFS desires additional dialogue with you concerning alternative inspections should the trash rake/trash cart system be out of service for over 24 hours. However, in the interim, it is reasonable to assume that this condition does not apply if the system is out of service for routine maintenance.

The enclosed Biological Opinion and Incidental Take Statement is effective immediately and subject to the above staff interpretations, until such time as

B/4

Michael B. Roche

- 3 -

May 6, 1996

NMFS issues a revised Biological Opinion and Incidental Take Statement. If you have any questions regarding this matter or would like to schedule additional discussions regarding alternative intake inspections, please contact John P. Moulton of my staff at (301) 415-1106.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Scott F. Newberry, Director  
License Renewal and Environmental Review  
Project Directorate  
Division of Reactor Program Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No.: 50-219

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See attached list

**DISTRIBUTION:**

Central File  
PUBLIC  
PDLR R/F  
BGrimes  
MMasnik  
William W. Fox, Jr.  
REaton

\* See previous concurrence.

DOCUMENT NAME: A:\ROCHE.LTR (DISK 5)

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDLR*	PM:PS:PDLR*	SC:PS:PDLR*	OGC	DRPE:PDI-X	D:PDLR*
NAME	LLuther:ng	JMoulton	FAkstulewicz	<i>[Signature]</i>	JF Stolz <i>l.f.</i>	SNewberry
DATE	04/11/96	04/11/96	04/11/96	4/23/96	5/6/96	04/30/96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Michael B. Roche

- 3 -

May 6, 1996

**Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station**

cc:

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, NW.  
Washington, DC 20037

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

BWR Licensing Manager  
GPU Nuclear Corporation  
1 Upper Pond Road  
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Mayor  
Lacey Township  
818 West Lacey Road  
Forked River, NJ 08731

Licensing Manager  
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Mail Stop: Site Emergency Bldg.  
P.O. Box 388  
Forked River, NJ 08731

Resident Inspector  
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 445  
Forked River, NJ 08731

Kent Tosch, Chief  
New Jersey Department of  
Environmental Protection  
Bureau of Nuclear Engineering  
CN 415  
Trenton, NJ 08625

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

SECTION 7 CONSULTATION

BIOLOGICAL OPINION

Agency: Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Activity: Consultation in accordance with Section 7(a) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) regarding continued operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station in the Forked River and Oyster Creek, Barnegat Bay, New Jersey.

Consultation Conducted By: National Marine Fisheries Service  
Northeast Regional Office

Date Issued: 9-21-95

**BACKGROUND:**

The Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) began commercial operation in 1969, prior to the authorization of the ESA. Therefore no consultation had been conducted in accordance with Section 7(a) of the ESA by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on the operations of the OCNGS in the Forked River, Barnegat Bay, New Jersey. No observed takes of endangered species occurred at the OCNGS prior to 1992.

Though sea turtles are known to use New Jersey's coastal waters, no turtles were taken at the plant during the first 23 years of operation, and none were observed in Barnegat Bay in 20 years of sampling conducted by the OCNGS through 1992. Incidental captures of sea turtles at the OCNGS Circulating Water System (CWS) and Dilution Water System (DWS) cooling water intakes were documented (in June of 1992) by the OCNGS Environmental Controls personnel and reported to NMFS according to reporting procedures established through informal consultation conducted between the OCNGS, NRC, and NMFS.

Subsequent to the 1992 takes of sea turtles at the OCNGS, NMFS notified the OCNGS (in a letter dated September 14, 1992) that formal consultation under Section 7 of the ESA was necessary. Through further discussion under informal consultation, it was decided that formal consultation was not necessary at the time,

provided that certain mitigation measures (increased vigilance of plant personnel and awareness of reporting requirements, reporting of takes to NMFS and NRC, and transfer of turtles to the stranding network for rehabilitation and/or release) were implemented.

Between June 1992 and July 1994, 9 sea turtle impingements occurred at the OCNCS intake trash bars, including 5 loggerheads (4 individuals, 1 recapture), and 4 Kemp's ridleys. Two of the loggerheads and 3 of the Kemp's ridleys were recovered dead. Cause of death could not be determined in all cases.

In a letter dated November 2, 1993, NMFS stated that formal consultation was now necessary due to additional takes of threatened and endangered sea turtles. In a letter dated November 19, 1993, the NRC requested formal consultation. A Biological Assessment was prepared by the OCNCS, reviewed by the NRC, and received by NMFS on January 25, 1995.

#### **PROPOSED ACTIVITIES:**

The proposed activity is the continued operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station.

The Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station is located near the town of Forked River, midway between the south branch of the Forked River and Oyster Creek, New Jersey. The Forked River and Oyster Creek empty into Barnegat Bay. When the plant is operational, the flow direction in the south fork of the Forked River is reversed, and all of the flow goes into the OCNCS. The resultant warmed water is discharged via Oyster Creek into Barnegat Bay.

Water used to cool the condensers and associated systems is drawn into the OCNCS from the south fork of the Forked River through 6 intake bays at the Circulation Water System (CWS) and 6 intake bays at the Dilution Water System (DWS) when additional cooling is necessary. The intake at each bay is screened by trash racks, which extend from the bottom of each intake bay to several feet above the water. The average water depth is 18 feet.

The dimensions and structures at the CWS are nearly identical to those of the DWS. The major difference is that the intake velocity at the DWS is much higher and would therefore pose a greater threat to sea turtles than current velocity effects at the CWS. The intake velocity at the CWS is 0.56-0.66 ft/sec when all four pumps are operating and all six intake bays are open. By contrast, the intake velocity at the DWS is 2.4 ft/sec when both pumps are operating. The DWS is only operational in the summer and fall when temperatures are high enough to warrant

additional cooling in the condensers. This period coincides with the times when turtles are likely to use the area.

**LISTED SPECIES LIKELY TO OCCUR IN THE PROJECT AREA:**

Project activities are known to affect endangered Kemp's ridley sea turtles and threatened loggerhead sea turtles. Listed species under the jurisdiction of NMFS that may occur in Barnegat Bay and associated waterways and may be affected by the proposed activities include the following:

**Threatened -**

**Loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta)**

All continental shelf waters and large bays from Virginia to Massachusetts from June through November.

**Endangered -**

**Green turtle (Chelonia mydas)**

Occasionally found in nearshore waters from Massachusetts to Virginia from June through October.

**Kemp's ridley turtle (Lepidochelys kempii)**

Inshore bay and estuarine habitat -- north to Massachusetts from July through October.

**ADDITIONAL BIOLOGY AND DISTRIBUTION OF THE SPECIES:**

**Loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta)**

The loggerhead turtle is the most abundant species of sea turtle occurring in U.S. waters. Aerial surveys indicate that loggerheads occur pelagically, but are most common in waters less than 50 meters in depth (Shoop, et. al. 1981; Fritts et. al. 1983). They are known to inhabit coastal areas as juveniles and adults, and often enter bays, lagoons, and estuaries (Ernst and Barbour 1972). Different theories exist on why juvenile sea turtles use northeastern U.S. waters, but it has been demonstrated that these areas are important developmental habitat for loggerheads, as well as other chelonid turtles (Morreale and Standora 1994).

Their primary food sources are benthic invertebrates including mollusks, crustaceans, and sponges (Mortimer 1982). Although they are known to eat fish, clams, oysters, sponges, and



jellyfish, the loggerhead's preferred prey in Atlantic embayments appear to be various species of crabs (Musick *et. al.* 1987, Morreale and Standora 1994). Stomach content analysis of stranded specimens in Massachusetts showed that crabs were the major component of the diet there as well, along with clams, quahogs, moon snails, and squid (Prescott 1982).

Loggerhead populations are under stress from human-induced sources such as boat strikes, pollution, marine habitat degradation, development of nesting beaches, and incidental captures in fishing gear, in addition to a number of natural causes. Crouse *et. al.* (1987) published information indicating that the stability of loggerhead populations may be more sensitive to changes in the status of juveniles than pressures on other developmental stages. Stranding data indicates that the majority of loggerheads found off the Northeast U.S. are juveniles. Cumulative stresses on the animals in this area, then, may be impeding the recovery of this population.

#### Green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*)

Green turtle populations in the U.S. are listed as endangered if they are from the Florida breeding population and threatened if they belong to other populations. NMFS/Northeast Region considers them to be endangered unless the natal beach of the turtle is known.

Green turtles are more tropical in distribution than loggerheads and are generally found in waters between the northern and southern 20°C isotherms (Hirth 1971). They are occasionally encountered in pound nets as far north as Long Island Sound in New York, and strandings have been reported as far north as Cape Cod Bay, Massachusetts (Prescott 1982).

A 38-cm green turtle captured, tagged, and released in New York was recaptured almost one year later only 13 km from the original capture site. This suggests that, during developmental stages, the turtles may return to the same productive areas of the northwest North Atlantic for several years before establishing residency as adults in more tropical seagrass beds (Morreale and Standora 1994).

Most of the green turtles reported in U.S. waters are immature (Thompson 1988). Adult green turtles do not migrate from their regular habitat except to visit the nesting beaches (Agardy, unpubl.). Green turtle nesting in the U.S. occurs mainly on the Atlantic coast of Florida (Ehrhart 1979). More extensive nesting occurs on more southerly beaches of the western Atlantic.

Adult green turtles are herbivorous, feeding mainly on sea grasses and algae (*Cymodocea*, *Thelassia*, *Zostera*, *Sagittaria*, and

Vallisneria) (Babcock 1937; Underwood 1951; Carr 1954, 1952; Neill 1958; Mexico 1966). Immature turtles go through an omnivorous stage (1-3 years) and may be feeding on different food items than the preferred vegetation consumed by adults (Morreale and Standora 1994). Known feeding habitats in the U.S. include shallow lagoons and embayments in Florida. Similar inshore feeding areas are believed to occur elsewhere along the Atlantic coast.

Green turtles are subject to the same threats mentioned above for loggerheads. Incidental catch in commercial fisheries has the largest impact, along with trade in the animals and their products.

### **Kemp's ridley turtle (Lepidochelys kempii)**

The Kemp's ridley turtle is probably the most severely endangered species of sea turtle in the world. The population decline of this species is one of the most dramatic ever recorded (USFWS and NMFS 1992). The only major nesting beach for Kemp's ridleys is a single stretch of beach near Rancho Nuevo, Tamaulipas, Mexico (Carr 1963, Hildebrand 1963). Virtually the entire world population of adult females nests annually in this single location (Pritchard 1969). The total number of adults in 1988 was estimated to be 1,580 to 1,750 individuals (Marquez, 1990).

While adult Kemp's ridleys may occur almost exclusively in the Gulf of Mexico, a significant number of hatchlings may be transported north along the Atlantic coast of the U.S. Kemp's ridleys have been caught offshore in commercial fishing gear as far north as northeast Georges Bank, Massachusetts (Parsons, pers. comm.). Pritchard and Marquez (1973) speculate that these young turtles feed and grow rapidly during passive transport until they are large enough to actively swim into embayments as far north as New England. These embayments apparently serve as important foraging habitats for single year classes of Kemp's ridleys. Morreale *et. al.* (1989) reported increases in weight of over 500 grams per month for juvenile Kemp's ridleys tracked in the Long Island Sound. As with the loggerhead turtle, juvenile Kemp's ridleys use northeastern waters as developmental habitat, foraging throughout the summer until decreasing temperatures send them south in the fall (Morreale and Standora 1994).

Reported prey of Kemp's ridleys includes benthic crustaceans 'such as Polyonchus, Hepatus, Callinectes, Panopeus, Ovalipes, Lalappa, Portunas, Araneus), fish (Lutjanus, Leiostomus), and mollusks (Noculana, Corbula, Mulinia, Nassarius) (Dobie, *et. al.* 1961; Pritchard and Marquez 1973). All of these genera are common along the eastern coast of the United States. Morreale and Standora (1992) determined that Kemp's ridleys feed primarily on crabs in New York waters. Analysis of stomach contents of

Kemp's ridleys stranded in Cape Cod Bay, Massachusetts, indicated that they are feeding on fish, sand dollars, bay scallops, and blue mussels in those waters (Prescott 1982).

From tracking studies, Morreale and Standora (1994) determined that Kemp's ridleys are sub-surface animals that frequently swim to the bottom while diving. The generalized dive profile showed that the turtles spend 56% of their time in the upper third of the water column, 12% in mid-water, and 32% on the bottom. In water shallower than 15 m (50 ft), the turtles dive to depth, but spend a considerable portion of their time in the upper portion of the water column. In contrast, turtles in deeper water dive to depth, spending as much as 50% of the dive on the bottom.

Evidence presented in Crouse *et. al.* (1987) illustrating the importance of juveniles to the stability of loggerhead populations may have important implications for Kemp's ridleys as well. The vast majority of Kemp's ridley occurrences along the Atlantic Coast of the U.S., largely identified from strandings, have been juveniles. Sources of mortality in this area include boat strikes, pollution, marine habitat degradation, and incidental captures in fishing gear, as well as a number of natural causes. Therefore, loss of animals in the Atlantic may be impeding the recovery of this population.

#### ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS:

This assessment is based on a review of the Biological Assessment (BA) prepared by OCNCS Environmental Affairs, pertinent information submitted by the OCNCS, and other biological information referred to in Appendices.

The two major threats to sea turtles at the OCNCS are impingement at the cooling water intakes and possible cold stunning. Turtles may be attracted to the thermal effluent from the discharge canal that warms Oyster Creek, and could be cold-stunned when leaving the creek and returning to the colder water in Barnegat Bay in the late fall.

#### Impingements of Sea Turtles

Most sea turtles likely to occur in the project area are large enough that they would not pass through the intake screens, which are constructed with 2.6-inch wide openings. The BA states that any small turtles that would pass through the CWS intake trash bars would be transported safely and returned to the water via the same system that returns entrained fish and other small organisms. The DWS intake trash bars are not equipped with travelling screens to return entrained organisms to the water. It is unlikely that hatchling sea turtles would be in the area,

although at least one nest has been documented for New Jersey by the Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network (STSSN) (Schoelkopf, pers. comm.).

Little information exists about the swimming behavior of turtles which can be used to make predictions about behavior at intake gratings or the ability to swim against various current velocities. One of the turtles which was eventually impinged was first sighted apparently trying to swim away from the intake bay, but being pulled back. The ability of a given turtle to swim against the current at either the CWS or DWS intake would depend on the species, size, and relative health of each individual. A turtle weakened by disease or injured by a boat strike would be more susceptible to impingement if the velocity at the intake is a factor in the likelihood of impingement. In addition, sea turtles are known to be less active at night, so there may be increased likelihood of impingement at night.

There is no information on the relative effects of suction at the trash racks on a turtle-shaped object for different current velocities. It may be much more difficult for a turtle to remove itself from a position parallel to the trash rack than from a perpendicular position at the surface.

A turtle that swims or drifts on the surface toward the OCNGS intakes may be turned away by the floating wooden debris/ice barrier. It is unclear, however, that this is an effective barrier to live turtles, since there are gaps on either end which a turtle could easily swim through. Since the barrier only extends 2 feet below the surface, a healthy turtle could easily swim under as well. The purpose for the barrier is to divert floating debris away from the CWS toward the DWS. The orientation of the barrier may result in turtles at the surface being funneled toward the DWS when that system is operating.

Debris is cleaned from the intake screens by a trash rake which is moved on a track from one bay to the next. The rake, a horizontal array of large curved tines, is lowered down into the bay to remove debris from the intake gratings. When the rake reaches the desired depth, the tines are deployed, curving downward to penetrate through the grate before the rake is raised. This process could cause serious injury to a turtle.

Debris floating on the surface could make it more difficult to spot a turtle below, particularly if the turtle was flush against the grating. A small amount of debris may not be enough to block the flow and necessitate use of trash rakes, but could hide a turtle. In addition, visibility at the intake bays, which are 15 (DWS) to 18 (CWS) feet deep, is only 2-3 feet. Although at least one of the impinged turtles was found alive with its head out of water, a turtle that is impinged at depth could remain out of sight until the trash rake was lowered to it. Detailed

information regarding behavior and orientation of each turtle when impinged and when discovered has not been collected for each of the impingements at the OCNCS. It is possible that a turtle could swim into the intake bay, encounter the grating, and swim down along the grating to a depth below the view of surface observers. If a turtle is feeding on the bottom of the intake canal, its first encounter with the intake grating could be at depth.

It is possible that a turtle could be caught up against the grate underwater by the current long enough to cause suffocation. Plant personnel estimated that the turtles that were taken had been impinged for up to 8 hours. In some natural situations, turtles may remain submerged for several hours. However, stress dramatically decreases the amount of time a turtle can stay submerged. For example, trawl times for shrimpers in the southeast are limited by regulation to 55 minutes in the summer months and 75 minutes in the winter months, due to the fact that turtles are known to suffocate in shrimp trawls in very short time periods. Additionally, turtles may suffocate more readily in the summer months due to higher body temperature and metabolism. Other factors, such as the activity of the turtle and whether or not it has food in its stomach, may also affect the length of time it may stay submerged.

#### **Previous Impingements at Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station**

There have been 9 incidental captures of sea turtles associated with operations at the OCNCS (4 at the CWS intake trash bars and 5 at the DWS intake trash bars) between September 9, 1992, and June 19, 1994 (Table 1). These include 4 Kemp's ridley captures and 5 (4 individuals, 1 recapture) loggerhead captures.

Operation of the OCNCS has not changed appreciably since 1969, suggesting that the onset of turtle captures is due to higher numbers of sea turtles in the project area, or lack of knowledge of the impacted turtles.

The diversion of the south fork of the Forked River may have created conditions which attract turtles and therefore increase the likelihood of impingement. When the plant is operational, all flow in the south fork is diverted into the cooling water intakes, so it is possible that impingements of turtles at the OCNCS could be the result of routing the entire south fork rather than of an attraction at the intake screens. (The diversion also represents a reversal of flow in the south fork.) The possibility that the OCNCS is attracting or diverting turtles into the plant is sufficient enough that turtles that may have died before impingement should be included in the assessment of take, unless the cause of death is readily visible (such as a traumatic propeller injury) or is revealed through necropsy. Due

to the tentative nature of existing population estimates, comparison of incidental take numbers to total population sizes is not possible.

Size documentation of sea turtles taken by impingement at the OCNGS indicate that all of the sea turtles were juveniles. Identification of the sex of immature turtles is very difficult without the training of a qualified herpetologist. Misidentification of the sex of juvenile turtles is a chronic problem, since undifferentiated gonads are easily confused with ovaries. The only dead turtle from OCNGS for which sex was identified was reported as a female. Accurate identification of the sex of dead sea turtles should be ascertained.

#### Kemp's ridleys

Four Kemp's ridleys were reported impinged at the OCNGS intake trash bars between 1992 and 1994. Annual takes ranged from 1 to 2 Kemp's ridleys. Three of these turtles were recovered dead, with an average of 1.0 observed mortalities per year (range 0 to 2) observed in association with the OCNGS intake structures. Necropsy reports are not available for all the Kemp's ridley mortalities, so it is not possible to adjust this rate to reflect mortalities which may have occurred prior to impingement. Therefore the lethal take rate for Kemp's ridleys at the OCNGS is 1.0 turtles per year.

#### Loggerheads

A total of 5 loggerhead impingements occurred at the OCNGS intake trash bars between 1992 and 1994, with an average of 1.7 takes per year. Annual takes ranged from 0 to 3 (including one recapture) loggerheads. Two of these turtles were recovered dead, with an average of 0.7 observed mortalities per year (range 0 to 1) observed in association with the OCNGS intake structures. Necropsy reports are not available for all the loggerhead mortalities, so it is not possible to adjust this rate to reflect mortalities which may have occurred prior to impingement. Therefore the lethal take rate for loggerheads at the OCNGS is 0.7 turtles per year.

#### Cold Stunning

Existing data from OCNGS and other power plants in the NMFS/Northeast Region does not support the concern that warm-water discharge may keep sea turtles in the area until surrounding waters are too cold for their safe departure. Cold-stunning, the comatose condition of sea turtles subjected to water temperatures lower than 8°C, is common in Atlantic

embayments (Meylan 1986; Ehrhart 1983). Data reported by the STSSN indicate that cold-stunning occurs around mid-November in New York waters. No incidental captures of sea turtles have been reported at the OCNCS later than October, suggesting that sea turtles may leave this site before cold-stunning would occur.

The thermal effluent discharged from the plant into Oyster Creek may represent an attraction for turtles. If turtles are attracted into Oyster Creek by this thermal plume, they could remain there late enough in the fall to become cold-stunned when they finally travel into Barnegat Bay. As stated in the BA, however, it may be unattractive for turtles to fight the current (2.1-3.1 ft/sec) long enough to remain in the warmest portions of the effluent. Although blue crab and horseshoe crab are found in the canal, it is unlikely that much forage is available during the colder months. Dr. Stephen Morreale noted, in the report of the necropsy performed on the Kemp's ridley impinged on October 17, 1993, that turtles may not be feeding at this time of the year due to a behavioral shift.

#### Other Habitat Considerations

Turtles could be attracted to the intake screens when prey items such as blue crabs and horseshoe crabs are gathered there. One loggerhead turtle was recaptured 2 days after it was released into the discharge canal. This suggests that the turtle was attracted either to the ambient conditions in the south fork of the Forked River or to the conditions at the intake trash racks.

Information on stomach contents of incidentally captured sea turtles recovered at the OCNCS is only available for two specimens at this time. One was impinged on October 17, 1993, and found to have no stomach contents, but this finding was attributed to the time of the year, when feeding is not expected. The other impingement occurred July 6, 1994, and the presence of blue crabs in both the esophagus and stomach suggest that this turtle was actively feeding prior to death. No quantitative diet study has been conducted and species listed on necropsy reports typically include only those most easily identified.

Attractive features may be associated with the discharge as well as the intake. The warm water discharge may increase the distribution of prey species to the area, and returns of live entrained organisms or dead fish and other material dumped from the trash racks may provide food for the turtles or scavenging prey species.

There have been changes in the hydrology of Barnegat Bay as well as changes in sea turtle distribution over the past ten years. There is a lack of information about the impact of these changes on the likelihood of impingement of turtles at OCNCS. If

maintenance dredging, which increases water volume, makes the bay more accessible to turtles, the frequency of impingements at OCNGS may increase after each dredging episode and decrease as the bay fills with sediment, suggesting a causal relationship. There is little information on food resources in the bay and no information on how turtles use the bay. If turtle populations recover appreciably, the rate of impingement at OCNGS may reflect a corresponding increase.

#### Environmental Sampling and Stranding Information

No turtles have been sighted in biological sampling efforts conducted by or for the OCNGS. However, the BA does not state time of year, specific locations, or what portions of the water column were sampled. Added to the fact that we do not know enough about how turtles use the bay, it is not possible to determine whether the sampling was representative of the time when turtles were likely to be in the area, or whether sampling efforts tracked the potential high-use areas and the appropriate portion of the water column.

Approximately 16,500 hours of impingement sampling (24-54 hours/week) was conducted by OCNGS from 1975-1985, with no turtles sighted. Trawl sampling and seine sampling, which consisted of roughly 3000 samples from 1975-1981, also resulted in no turtle captures. The BA does list one reference of a loggerhead caught in an otter trawl during a 5-year survey of Great Bay and Little Egg Harbor conducted by Rutgers University (K. Able, Tuckerton Marine Research Facility).

Any takes that occur during station-related sampling by OCNGS will be considered and included within the incidental take statement. It is not anticipated that biological sampling will result in lethal take.

Stranding data for the New Jersey coast in Ocean County suggests that the majority of strandings occur on the ocean side of the barrier beaches, not in the inshore areas (STSSN data reported by the Marine Mammal Stranding Center, Brigantine, New Jersey). The 1985-1994 data for Ocean County show that only 14% (n=12) of the 88 strandings for that time period occurred in inshore waters. Eleven of those 12 were in Barnegat Bay and associated waterways in Ocean County. One stranding which occurred just outside the north end of Barnegat Inlet was an animal which may have been feeding in the bay, struck by a boat, and then washed out through the Inlet. The data for the past 10 years shows 9 other strandings clumped in that same area, suggesting that strandings of dead animals with propeller scars in that area may be indicative of additional animals which are using Barnegat Bay.



### CUMULATIVE EFFECTS:

Cumulative impacts from unrelated, non-federal actions occurring in Barnegat Bay may affect protected species and their habitats. The Marine Mammal Stranding Center in Brigantine, New Jersey, reports an increase in the number of turtles hit by boats in New Jersey inshore waters. STSSN data show that turtles found in other northeast embayments die of various natural causes, including cold stunning, and from human activities, such as boat hits, degradation of nesting habitat, incidental captures in fishing gear, and ingestion of or entanglement in debris. However, the cause of death of most turtles recovered by the STSSN is unknown.

### CONCLUSION:

Based upon a review of the information available on the biology and ecology of the endangered and threatened species in the North Atlantic affected by the continued operation of the Oyster Creek NGS, NMFS concludes that the continued operation of this station may adversely affect, but is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the species listed above or result in destruction or adverse modification of their habitat. The following factors form the basis for this conclusion:

1. The maximum number of observed lethal takes, documented annually since 1992, is two Kemp's ridleys (average = 1.0 per year) and one loggerhead (average = 0.7). The mortality of any Kemp's ridley is significant but mortalities of less than two juvenile ridleys per year is conservative and consistent with takes allowed in similar operations.
2. The continued operation of the Oyster Creek NGS at the existing level is not expected to change the observed mortality levels.
3. Increased monitoring of the intake screens and consistent use of resuscitation techniques may decrease the observed mortality level.

### REINITIATION OF CONSULTATION:

Reinitiation of formal consultation is required if: (1) the amount or extent of taking specified in the incidental take statement (Attachment 1) is exceeded, (2) new information reveals effects of the action that may affect listed species or critical habitat (when designated) in a manner or to an extent not previously considered, (3) the identified action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to listed species or critical habitat that was not considered in the Biological

Opinion, or (4) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the identified action.

The Incidental Take Allowance extends for a period of five years from the date of this biological opinion. Reinitiation of consultation is required if, during any one year, twelve turtles are taken and/or there is a lethal take of one Kemp's ridley OR one green turtle.

**CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The following conservation recommendations are suggested:

1. In conjunction with NMFS, develop a research program to determine whether the plant provides features attractive to sea turtles. This program should investigate habitat use, diet, and local and long-term movements. Use of existing mark/recapture and telemetry methods should be considered in Barnegat Bay and associated waterways.
2. Conduct underwater viewing or diving behavior telemetry studies of turtles at the intake bays, in the Forked River, in the Oyster Creek discharge canal, and in Barnegat Bay to determine how turtles use these waterways and their behavior in the intake bays.
3. Conduct distribution surveys for sea turtles in Barnegat Bay, Forked River, and Oyster Creek. Data recorded should include time of year, specific locations, and portions of the water column sampled.
4. Historical benthic survey data should be reviewed to identify prey density and distribution at various sites in the project area and associated waterways and clarify the potential for attractions of invertebrates to this site during times when turtles are likely to be in the area.
5. Meet with NMFS annually to review incidental takes, assess the status of sea turtles in the project area and associated waterways, and to reconsider these recommendations accordingly.

**INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT:**

Section 7(b)(4) of the ESA requires that when an agency action is found to comply with Section 7(a)(2), NMFS will issue a statement specifying the impact of incidental taking of endangered species, provide reasonable and prudent measures necessary to minimize impacts, and set forth the terms and conditions with which the action agency must comply to implement the reasonable and prudent measures.

The significance of each Kemp's ridley turtle mortality is considered in determining an allowable incidental take. Therefore, we have established a take level of 3 Kemp's ridleys per year with 1 mortality, 2 green turtles per year with 1 mortality and 10 loggerheads per year with up to 3 mortalities. This take level is allowed provided the following reasonable and prudent measures necessary to minimize the impact on listed species are met through the terms and conditions stated below:

1. The new protocol for inspection of CWS and DWS cooling water intake trash bars (and immediate area upstream) at least once every 4 hours (twice per 8-hour shift) must be continued and implemented from June 1 through October 31.

Inspections are to follow a set schedule so that they are regularly spaced rather than clumped. The proposed schedule of 1-2 hours into each 8-hour shift and 5-6 hours into each 8-hour shift should be followed. Times of inspections, including those when no turtles were sighted, must be recorded.

2. An attempt to resuscitate comatose sea turtles must be made according to the procedures described in Appendix I. These procedures should be posted in appropriate areas such as the intake bay areas for both the CWS and the DWS, any other area where turtles would be moved for resuscitation, and the CWS and DWS operator's office(s).

3. Dip nets, baskets, and other equipment are to be available at both the CWS and the DWS and are to be used to remove smaller sea turtles from the OCNCS intake structures to reduce trauma caused by the existing cleaning mechanism.

4. The Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station's CWS and DWS (when operational) intake trash bars must be cleaned daily from June 1 to October 31.

a. Cleaning must include the full length of the trash rack, i.e., down to the bottom of each intake bay. To lessen the possibility of injury to a turtle, the raking process must be closely monitored so that it can be stopped immediately if a turtle is sighted.

- b. Personnel should be instructed to look beneath surface debris before the rake is used to lessen the possibility of injury to a turtle.
  - c. Personnel cleaning the racks are to inspect all trash that is dumped, particularly at night. Many horseshoe crabs are caught on the racks; these might be confused with turtles or turtle parts.
5. Lighting must be maintained at the intake bays to enable inspection personnel to see the surface of each intake bay and to facilitate safe handling of turtles which are discovered at night. Portable spotlights should be available at both the CWS and the DWS for times when extra lighting is needed.
6. Live sea turtles are to be inspected for signs of illness or injury. Any ill or injured turtle is to be given appropriate medical attention, and must not be released until its condition has improved. Turtles are to be handled according to the attached procedures (Appendix I).
7. Live turtles that exhibit no signs of illness or injury are to be taken to an authorized agent of the Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network to be evaluated, tagged, and released.
8. Dead sea turtles are to be necropsied by qualified personnel. Identification of sex should be determined and stomach contents should be identified to determine whether waste products from the trash racks or aggregations at the trash racks are attracting sea turtles. Necropsy reports should be submitted to NMFS with the annual review of incident reports or, if not yet available, when completed.
9. The monitoring and reporting system must follow the items outlined in Appendix II. Information in Appendix III will assist in identification of species impinged. These reports are to be sent to NMFS/Northeast Region within 30 days of any incidental take.
10. An annual report of incidental takes must be submitted to NMFS. This report will be used to identify trends and further conservation measures necessary to minimize incidental takes of sea turtles. The report should include, as detailed above, all necropsy reports, and a record of when inspections of the intake trash bars were conducted.
11. This Incidental Take Allowance extends for a period of five years from the date of the attached biological opinion. Reinitiation of consultation is required if, during any one year, twelve turtles are taken and/or there is a lethal take of one Kemp's ridley OR one green turtle.

TABLE 1

SEA TURTLE IMPINGEMENTS AT OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION  
1992 through 1994

ID #	DATE	TIME	CWS/DWS	SPECIES	CONDITION	SIZE	REMARKS
92-A	06/25/92	12:50 PM	DWS	Cc	Dead	35.5 cm 9.6 kg	Possible propeller wounds. MMSC necropsy.
92-B	09/09/92	6:00 PM	CWS	Cc	Live	46.7 cm 19.1 kg	Released into discharge canal; returned to CWS two days later.
92-B(2)	09/11/92	2:00 PM	CWS	Cc	Live	46.7 cm 19.1 kg	Same turtle as above. Taken to MMSC, tagged, and released.
92-1	10/26/92	3:00 AM	CWS	Lk	Live	32.0 cm 5.7 kg	Possible propeller wounds. Tagged, released by MMSC.
93-1	10/17/93	12:00 PM	DWS	Lk	Dead	26.0 cm 3.0 kg	Fresh dead. Empty stomach.
94-1	06/19/94	1:30 PM	CWS	Cc	Live	36.8 cm 9.8 kg	Swimming, eventually impinged. Tagged, released by MMSC.
94-2	07/01/94	10:00 AM	DWS	Lk	Dead	27.7 cm 3.6 kg	Very decomposed. Sent to Cornell for necropsy.
94-3	07/06/94	6:40 AM	DWS	Cc	Dead	61.4 cm 40.4 kg	Healed propeller wounds. Full stomach.
94-4	07/12/94	10:40 PM	DWS	Lk	Dead	26.7 cm 3.3 kg	Sent to Cornell for necropsy.

## REFERENCES

- Agardy, T. Unpublished manuscript. Sea turtles of the U.S. Virgin Islands: Distribution, habitat requirements, and trends in population sizes. Brief summary report to the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA. 6 pp.
- Babcock, H.L. 1937. The sea turtles of the Bermuda Islands, with a survey of the present state of the turtle fishing industry. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 107: 595-601.
- Carr, A. F. 1952. Handbook of turtles. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.
- Carr, A. F. 1954. The passing of the fleet. A.I.B.S. Bull., 4(5): 17-19.
- Carr, A. R. 1963. Panspecific reproductive convergence in Lepidochelys kempii. Ergebn. Biol. 26: 298-303.
- Crouse, D. T., L. B. Crowder and H. Caswell. 1987. A stage-based model for loggerhead sea turtles and implications for conservation. Ecology 68(5): 1412-1423.
- Dobie, J. L., H. Ogren, and J. F. Fitzpatrick, Jr. 1961. Food notes and records of the Atlantic ridley turtle (Lepidochelys kempii) from Louisiana. Copeia 1961 (1): 109-110.
- Ehrhart, L. M. 1979. A survey of marine turtle nesting at Kennedy Space Center, Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, North Brevard County, Florida, 1-122. Unpublished report to Division of Marine Resources, St. Petersburg, Florida, Fla.
- Ehrhart, L. M. 1983. Marine turtles of the Indian River lagoon system. 1983 Florida Sci. 46(3/4): 337-346. 1983.
- Ernst, L.H., and R. W. Barbour. 1972. Turtles of the United States. Univ. Kentucky Press, Lexington, KY.
- Fritts, T. H., A. B. Irvine, R. D. Jennings, L. A. Collum, W. Hoffman, and M. A. McGehee. 1983. Turtles, birds and mammals in the northern Gulf of Mexico and nearby Atlantic waters. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Div. Biol. Ser., Washington, D.C.
- Meylan, A. B. 1986. Riddle of the ridleys. Natural History Magazine, Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 11/86: 90-96.

- Mexico. 1966. Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Biologicas-Pesqueras. Programa nacional de marcado de tortugas marinas. Mexico, INIBP: 1-39.
- Morreale, S.J., and E.A. Standora. 1992. Habitat use and feeding activity of juvenile Kemp's ridleys in inshore waters of the northeastern U.S. In: Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. NOAA Tech. Mem. NMFS-SEFSC-302, pp. 75-77.
- Morreale, S.J., and E.A. Standora. 1994. Occurrence, movement, and behavior of the Kemp's ridley and other sea turtles in New York waters. Final report for the NYSDEC in fulfillment of Contract #C001984. 70 pp.
- Mortimer, J. 1982. Feeding ecology of sea turtles. pp. 103-109 In: Biology and conservation of sea turtles. K. A. Bjorndal (ed.) Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.
- Musick, J. A., S. A. Bellmund, R. C. Klinger, R. A. Byles, J. A. Keinath, and D. E. Bernard. 1987. Ecology of sea turtles in Virginia. Spec. Scientific Rep. No. 199. Vir. Inst. of Mar. Science, College of William and Mary, Gloucester Point, VA.
- Neill, W. T. 1958. The occurrence of amphibians and reptiles in salt water areas, and a bibliography. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf Caribb. 8: 1-97.
- Parsons, J. 1994. Personal communication.
- Prescott, R.L. 1982. A study of sea turtle mortality in Cape Cod Bay. Final Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service in fulfillment of Contract No. NA-80-FA-C-00013.
- Pritchard, P. C. H. 1969. The survival status of ridley sea turtles in American waters. Biol. Cons. 2(1): 13-17.
- Pritchard, P. C. H., and R. Marquez. 1973. Kemp's ridley turtle or Atlantic ridley. I.U.C.N. Monograph No. 2, Morges, Switzerland.
- Schoelkopf, R. 1994. Personal communication.
- Shoop, C., T. Doty, and N. Bray. 1981. Sea turtles in the region between Cape Hatteras and Nova Scotia in 1979. pp. IX 1-85 In: A characterization of marine mammals and turtles in the mid- and north-Atlantic areas of the U.S. outer continental shelf: Annual report for 1979. Univ. Rhode Island, Kingston, RI.

Thompson, N.B. 1988. The status of loggerhead, Caretta caretta; Kemp's ridley, Lepidochelys kempii; and green, Chelonia mydas, sea turtles in U.S. waters. Marine Fisheries Review 50(3): 16-23.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. 1992. Recovery Plan for the Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle (Lepidochelys kempii). National Marine Fisheries Service, St. Petersburg, Florida. 40 pp.

Underwood, G. 1951. Introduction to the study of Jamaican reptiles. Part 5. Nat. Hist. Notes. Nat. Hist. Soc. Jamaica 46: 209-213.



## APPENDIX I (Handling and Resuscitation Procedures)

### Handling:

Do not assume an inactive turtle is dead. Pressing the soft tissue around the nose of a sea turtle may result in an eye reflex in a comatose (unconscious) turtle. The onset of rigor mortis is often the only definite indication that a turtle is dead.

Keep clear of the head.

Adult male sea turtles of all species other than leatherbacks have claws on their foreflippers. Keep clear of slashing foreflippers.


Pick up sea turtles by the front and back of the top shell (carapace). Do not pick up sea turtles by flippers, the head or the tail.

### Resuscitation Procedures:

If a turtle appears to be comatose, attempts should be made to revive it immediately.

These procedures are designed to void the turtles' lungs of water by active pumping and passive drainage. Sea turtles have been known to revive up to 24 hours after these procedures have been followed:

- 1) Place the turtle on its back and gently pump the breastplate. This may stimulate the animal to breathe and allow water to drain.
- 2) Place the animal on its breastplate and raise the hindquarters. The degree of elevation depends on the size of the turtle; greater elevations are required for larger turtles.
- 4) Keep the turtle shaded and moist and observe for 24 hours.
- 5) When the turtle has revived, release in a manner that minimizes the chances of re-impingement.



APPENDIX I, cont'd. (Handling and Resuscitation Procedures)

Special Instructions for Cold-Stunned Turtles:

Comatose turtles found in water less than 10°C are probably "cold-stunned". This is most common in the fall and early winter. If a turtle appears to be cold-stunned, the following applies:

To increase blood flow, flap the flippers and rub the skin. Gradually, (over a period of six hours) move the turtle to a warmer area.

If possible, place the animal in a few inches of water that is warmer than the ocean. Do not cover the mouth or nostrils with water. It is not imperative that sea turtles be kept in water.

Dead sea turtles should be retained for necropsy.

APPENDIX II (Reporting Requirements)

Photographs should be taken and the information requested below should be collected in association with all protected species impingements. This documentation should be sent to the following address:

National Marine Fisheries Service  
Habitat and Protected Resources Division  
One Blackburn Drive  
Gloucester, MA 01930-2298.

Protected Species Impingements at the Oyster Creek NGS

Observer's full name: \_\_\_\_\_

Reporter's full name: \_\_\_\_\_

Species Identification (Key attached): \_\_\_\_\_

Site of Impingement (CWS or DWS, Bay #, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_

Date and time impingement observed: \_\_\_\_\_

Date and time animal collected: \_\_\_\_\_

Tidal Stage at time of observation: \_\_\_\_\_

Date and time of last inspection of screen: \_\_\_\_\_

Water temperature at site and time of impingement: \_\_\_\_\_

Intake velocity at site and time of impingement (ft/sec): \_\_\_\_\_

Average percent of power generating capacity achieved per unit over the 48 hours previous to impingement: \_\_\_\_\_

Condition of animal: \_\_\_\_\_

Sea Turtle Measurements (indicate cm or in):

Carapace length - Curved: \_\_\_\_\_ Straight: \_\_\_\_\_

Carapace width - Curved: \_\_\_\_\_ Straight: \_\_\_\_\_

Tag number and location, if tagged: \_\_\_\_\_

Remarks (include behavior of animal): \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Results of necropsy (include sex and stomach contents): \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



GPU Nuclear Corporation  
 Post Office Box 388  
 Route 9 South  
 Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388  
 609 971-4000  
 Writer's Direct Dial Number:

6530-962-852  
 January 18, 1996

Dr. Jason Jang  
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
 Region I  
 475 Allendale Road  
 King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Dr. Jang:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS)  
 Docket 50-219  
 Fish Kill Monitoring Report, December 1995

In accordance with the reporting requirements of Sections 1.1.1 A and 3.5.2 of Appendix B, Environmental Technical Specifications, enclosed is a report of Fish Kill Monitoring at OCNGS.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact Mr. Malcolm Browne of our Environmental Affairs Department at (609) 971-4124.

Very truly yours,

*Michael B Roche*  
 Michael B. Roche  
 Vice President & Director  
 Oyster Creek

9601310243 951231  
 PDR ADOCK 05000219  
 R PDR

MEB/MBR/jdr

Enclosure

cc: Director  
 Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
 475 Allendale Road  
 King of Prussia, PA 19406

Director  
 Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
 Washington, DC 20555

Document Control Desk  
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
 Washington, DC 20555

NRC Resident Inspector  
 OC Nuclear Generating Station

Bureau of Nuclear Engineering  
 NJ Dept. of Environ. Protection  
 CN 411  
 Trenton, NJ 08625

NJ Dept. of Environ. Protection  
 Division of Fish & Game  
 Nacote Creek Research Station  
 P.O. Box 418  
 Port Republic, NJ 08241

NJ Dept. of Environ. Protection  
 Central Bureau of Regional Enforcement  
 Div. of Water Res., Enforcement Element  
 State Highway 33  
 Hightstown, NJ 08520

010013

*IF 23*  
*11*  
*B/S*

**FISH KILL MONITORING REPORT  
FOR DECEMBER 1995**

**GPU Nuclear Corporation  
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Environmental Affairs Department  
January, 1996**

## Executive Summary

An automatic and unplanned shutdown of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) took place at 4:37 a.m. Monday, December 18, 1995 when a faulty temperature control valve resulted in higher than normal temperatures in the plant's main electrical generator. Following a 10-day outage during which repairs to the valve and other plant components were completed, OCNGS was restarted on Thursday, December 28.

As a result of the shutdown, the water temperature in the main condenser discharge decreased by approximately 20° F, from 56° F to 36° F, in less than 25 minutes. In order to document the effects of this thermal shock on the fish in Oyster Creek, a fish sampling program was conducted by GPU Nuclear beginning on December 18, immediately after the shutdown. The results of that monitoring effort indicated that 19 fish representing six different species died due to cold-shock shortly after the OCNGS shutdown. Bluefish (n=6) accounted for 32% of the mortalities, black drum (n=5) for 26%, spotted seatrout (n=3) and smooth dogfish (n=3) each accounted for 16%, and weakfish (n=1) and scup (n=1) each accounted for 10%.

In order to determine if any fish sank to the bottom subsequent to their death, bottom trawls were conducted at five locations between US Route 9 and the mouth of Oyster Creek. No additional dead fish were collected in any of these trawls. Small schools of live Atlantic menhaden and live Atlantic silversides, which appeared to have suffered no ill effects from the shutdown, were collected in trawls from residential lagoons adjacent to Oyster Creek. In addition, a school of striped bass was observed swimming normally in the main condenser discharge flow immediately following and during the 48 hour period subsequent to the shutdown.

A period of exceptionally cold subfreezing weather occurred during December 26th and 27th, over one week after the plant shutdown and prior to the restart of OCNCS on December 28th. Ambient water temperatures fell rapidly during this period from approximately 34° F on December 26th to less than 30°F on the morning of December 27th.

During the morning of December 27th, dead and stressed fish began appearing in the discharge canal and contiguous residential lagoons. It is believed that the exceptionally low ambient water temperatures (29.9°F) fell below the lower lethal temperature limits for these fish. A total of 855 fish were collected by environmental scientists on December 27th and during the following several days. Approximately 72% of the fish collected were striped bass (n=620), approximately 27% were white perch, and the remaining 1% consisted of American eel and gizzard shad.

All dead fish collected were found floating in Oyster Creek or adjacent residential lagoons, or lodged among rocks along the shoreline of the discharge canal or Oyster Creek. No dead fish were collected in trawls conducted along the bottom of Barnegat Bay immediately offshore of Oyster Creek, nor were any collected while bottom trawling in either the main channel of Oyster Creek or in the residential lagoons.

## Intr. duction

This report documents the results of aquatic sampling conducted by GPU Nuclear Corporation following the shutdown of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) on December 18, 1995 as well as prior to and subsequent to the restart of OCNGS on December 28th, 1995. The major objectives of the sampling program were:

- 1) To determine the species composition, relative abundance and distribution of fishes in Oyster Creek which may have suffered thermal stress following the OCNGS shutdown, and
- 2) To quantify the extent of any fish mortalities.

The monitoring effort took place on December 18, 19, 20, 27 and 28, 1995, as well as during the week of January 1, 1996.

OCNGS, which had operated continuously for the previous 367 days, was operating at full power with four circulating water and two dilution pumps in operation on December 18. Immediately prior to the shutdown at 0430 hrs that day, the intake temperature was approximately 36° F and the discharge temperature was approximately 56° F (Figures 1 and 2). A faulty temperature control valve resulted in higher than normal temperatures in the plant's main electrical generator, which led to the automatic reactor shutdown. As a result of the shutdown, the water temperature in the main condenser discharge decreased by approximately 20° F, from 56° F to 36° F, in less than 25 minutes (Figure 2).



During the outage following the shutdown, the faulty valve was repaired and additional required maintenance was performed on systems and components which can only be worked on when the reactor is shut down. OCNGS went back on line producing electricity on December 28th following a 10-day outage.

### Post-Shutdown Surveys

#### Post-Shutdown Dipnetting -

Following the plant shutdown in the early morning hours of December 18th, a few fish became thermally stressed and moved downstream of the OCNGS condenser discharge. These fish were collected by environmental scientists from the discharge canal using dipnets. Dead and severely stressed fish were collected from a small boat and by personnel walking along the discharge canal streambanks, between the OCNGS discharge and the mouth of Oyster Creek. All fish were identified and enumerated; length ranges were obtained.

A total of 19 dead or stressed fish, representing six species, was collected (Table 1). Bluefish (Pomatomus saltatrix) and black drum (Pogonias cromis) accounted for 58 percent of the total; the remaining species were represented by no more than 3 individuals.

#### Post-Shutdown Trawling -

Bottom trawls were conducted at each of three stations in the discharge canal and Barnegat Bay east of the Route 9 bridge as well as within two of the residential lagoons during the afternoon of December 18 (Figure 3).

Trawling was done for a minimum duration of three minutes with a 4.8 m semiballoon otter trawl with a 3.9 cm stretch mesh body, a 3.2 cm stretch mesh cod end and a 1.3 cm stretch mesh liner. All fish captured were identified and enumerated, length ranges were obtained, and the specimens were released. The surface and bottom water temperature were recorded at each trawl station.

All fish collected in the trawls were alive, exhibited no signs of thermal stress, and were immediately released. No fish were captured at Stations T1, T2, and T7 (Table 2). Fifty-two live Atlantic silversides (Menidia menidia) and one live Atlantic menhaden (Brevoortia tyrannus) were captured at Station T3 (the fourth residential lagoon east of Route 9). At Station T5 (the second residential lagoon east of Route 9) sixty live Atlantic menhaden approximately 70 mm fork length (FL), and forty-five live Atlantic silversides approximately 50 mm FL were captured.

#### Other Post-Shutdown Observations -

A school of striped bass (Morone saxatilis) was observed swimming normally in the condenser discharge of the OCNGS immediately after the shutdown. Periodic observations during the 48-hour period following the shutdown indicated that these fish survived the thermal shock that occurred on December 18th and remained in the vicinity of the condenser discharge flow.

Post-Fish Kill Monitoring of December 27-28, 1995 and the week of January 1, 1996

Following the collection of dead and stressed fish on December 18th which was described above, there was no further evidence of stressed or dead fish for over a week as continuing maintenance to OCNGS kept the plant shut down. However, a period of exceptionally cold weather occurred after Christmas Day and ambient water temperatures dropped rapidly from approximately 34° F on December 26 to 29.9° F at 8:00 a.m. on December 27 (Figures 1 and 4). During the morning of December 27th, large numbers of stressed and dead fish began appearing in Oyster Creek downstream of OCNGS.

**Post-Fish Kill Dipnetting -**

Responding to reports that dead and severely stressed fish were appearing in Oyster Creek and adjacent residential lagoons, plant personnel began collecting the fish as soon as possible. The dead and stressed fish were collected with dipnets by environmental scientists operating a small boat as well as walking along the discharge canal streambanks between the OCNGS discharge and the mouth of Oyster Creek. All fish were identified to species and enumerated; length ranges were obtained (Table 3).

The dead and stressed fish were all observed floating at or near the waters surface and did not accumulate on the bottom. Even when inadvertently pushed below the surface during the dipnetting effort, the bodies quickly rose back to the surface. The trawl samples collected on December 28th confirmed that dead fish did not accumulate on the bottom.

The results of the monitoring effort indicated that a total of 855 fish representing four different species died during this fish kill event. Striped bass (n=620) accounted for over 72% of the mortalities, white perch (Morone americana) (n=229) for nearly 27%, while American eel (Anguilla rostrata) (n=5) plus gizzard shad (Dorosoma cepedianum) (n=1) together accounted for about 1% (Table 3).

The striped bass ranged in length from 296 mm to 758 mm (11.6 to 29.8 in) forklength (FL). Mean length of the striped bass based on a random subsample was 524 mm (20.6 in) FL. The white perch collected during the fish kill ranged from 228 to 361 mm (9.0 to 14.2 in) FL. The mean length of a representative subsample of the white perch was 292 mm (11.5 in) FL. The American eels ranged in total length (TL) from 640 mm to 714 mm (25.2 to 28.1 in). The mean length of all eels collected was 674 mm (26.5 in) TL. The single specimen of gizzard shad measured 365 mm (14.4 in) FL.

#### Post-Fish Kill Trawling -

Bottom trawls were conducted at each of the three stations in the discharge canal east of Route 9, as well as within three of the residential lagoons (Figure 3), during the afternoon of December 28. Trawling was done for a minimum duration of three minutes per station with the same 4.8m semiballoon otter trawl used during the December 18, 1995 post-shutdown surveys.

No fish, alive or dead were captured in any of the six trawl samples (Table 4) confirming the observation that the dead fish were floating, not accumulating on the bottom.

## Discussion and Conclusions

The December 18, 1995 unplanned, automatic shutdown of the OCNGS resulted in the cold-shock mortality of 19 fish representing six different species. These fish, primarily bluefish and black drum, were residing in the heated condenser discharge of the OCNGS. The death of these fish following a 20° F drop in discharge water temperature in less than 25 minutes is consistent with what is known about their thermal tolerances and past observations of cold-shock events.

The ability of the striped bass and white perch to survive the December 18 cold-shock event is also consistent with the available information on their thermal tolerances. Cold-shock experiments conducted by Ichthyological Associates, Inc. (Jersey Central Power & Light Company, 1978) demonstrated that striped bass are able to tolerate extreme temperature shocks. Striped bass acclimated to temperatures ranging from 44 to 82° F exhibited 100 percent survival 96 hours after exposure to sudden temperature reductions of 9 to 28° F, down to levels as low as 35°F. Similarly, Texas Instruments (1976) found that adult white perch were able to survive a sudden temperature reduction of 23.4° F, from 59° F to 35.6° F. The temperature conditions in the discharge canal following the December 18 shutdown fell within the range of these experimental conditions and so it is not surprising that these species survived the cold-shock event.

The mortality of the striped bass and white perch, 10 days after the December 18 shutdown was apparently caused by the ambient water temperatures dropping below the lower lethal limit for those species.

The relatively high salinity of the water in the OCNGS intake and discharge canals allows the water temperature to fall below the freezing point during periods of extremely cold weather. Air temperatures dropped into the low twenties on December 26 and 27, depressing ambient water temperatures to extremely low levels. The discharge canal temperature dropped from just above freezing (32.2° F), at midnight on December 26, to 29.9° F at 8:00 a.m. on December 27. These extremely low ambient water temperatures immediately preceded the appearance of stressed and dead striped bass and white perch on the morning of December 27.

Cold-shock experiments have demonstrated that striped bass can tolerate exposure to water temperatures as low as 32° F for at least a few days but death occurs in a few hours at temperatures of 30.2° F or less (Gift and Westman, 1971; Public Service Electric and Gas Company, 1978). These results indicate that the ambient water temperature in the discharge canal fell below the lower lethal limit for the striped bass on the morning of December 27, resulting in their death. The lower lethal temperature limit for the white perch has not been determined. However, given their close taxonomic relationship to the striped bass and the similarity of their responses in cold-shock experiments, it is likely that the white perch mortality was also caused by ambient water temperatures falling below their lower lethal limit.

## References

Gift, J. J. and J. R. Westman, 1971. Responses of some estuarine fish to increasing thermal gradients. Unpublished Monograph, 154pp.

Jersey Central Power and Light Company, 1978. Oyster Creek and Forked River Nuclear Generating Stations 316(a) and (b) Demonstration. Jersey Central Power and Light Company, Morristown, New Jersey

Public Service Electric and Gas Company, 1978. Annual environmental operating report (non-radiological). Salem Nuclear Generating Station. Unit 1. Vol.3. Special surveillance and study activities. Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Newark, New Jersey.

Texas Instruments, Inc., 1976. Hudson River ecological study in the area of Indian Point, thermal effects report. Prepared for Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc..

Table 1. Number and size of dead and stressed fish dipnetted from Oyster Creek on December 18, 1995 following OCNGS shutdown.

<u>SPECIES</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>LENGTH RANGE</u> (mm)	<u>MEAN LENGTH</u> (mm)
<u>Pogonias cromis</u> black drum	5	210 - 290	254
<u>Cynoscion nebulosus</u> spotted sea trout	3	435 - 560	492
<u>Cynoscion regalis</u> weakfish	1	430	430
<u>Mustelus canis</u> smooth dogfish	3	600 - 668	629
<u>Pomatomus saltatrix</u> bluefish	6	308 - 457	401
<u>Stenotomus chrysops</u> scup	1	210	210
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19</b>		



**Table 2. Results of trawl sampling on December 18, 1995 following OCNGS shutdown. Numbers of individuals captured with typical lengths (millimeters in parentheses) indicated for each species.**

STATION	START TIME	STOP TIME	TEMP (deg. F)	<u>Brevoortia tyrannus</u> Atlantic menhaden	<u>Menidia menidia</u> Atlantic silversides
T1 SURFACE BOTTOM	1345	1350	39.2 39.2	0	0
T2 SURFACE BOTTOM	1354	1403	39.2 39.2	0	0
T3 SURFACE BOTTOM	1422	1425	38.3 46.0	1 (45)	52 (50)
T5 SURFACE BOTTOM	1409	1412	41.0 44.9	60 (70)	45 (50)
T7 SURFACE BOTTOM	1444	1450	36.1 36.0	0	0

**NOTE:** All fish were alive and exhibited no signs of stress when collected.

Table 3. Number of dead and stressed fish dipnetted from Oyster Creek on December 27-28, 1995 and the week of January 1, 1996.

<u>SPECIES</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PERCENT OF CATCH</u>	<u>LENGTH RANGE (mm)</u>	<u>MEAN LENGTH (mm)</u>
<u>Anquilla rostrata</u> American eel	5	0.6	640 - 714	674
<u>Dorosoma cepedianum</u> gizzard shad	1	0.1	385	385
<u>Morone americana</u> white perch	229	26.8	228 - 361	292
<u>Morone saxatilis</u> striped bass	620	72.5	296 - 758	524
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>855</b>	<b>100</b>		

**Table 4. Oyster Creek discharge canal and residential lagoon trawl locations and depths at trawl stations, December 28, 1995.**

<b>STATION</b>	<b>START TIME</b>	<b>STOP TIME</b>	<b>DEPTH (ft)</b>
<b>T1</b>	<b>1404</b>	<b>1410</b>	<b>6.5</b>
<b>T2</b>	<b>1415</b>	<b>1421</b>	<b>13.0</b>
<b>T3</b>	<b>1433</b>	<b>1438</b>	<b>8.8</b>
<b>T4</b>	<b>1426</b>	<b>1429</b>	<b>7.5</b>
<b>T6</b>	<b>1453</b>	<b>1456</b>	<b>6.7</b>
<b>T7</b>	<b>1500</b>	<b>1505</b>	<b>8.7</b>

**NOTE:** No fish, alive or dead, were captured in the six trawl samples.

**FIGURE 1**  
**AIR AND WATER TEMPERATURES DURING FISH KILL EVENT**  
**17DEC95 THROUGH 28DEC95**  
**TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES FAHRENHEIT**





FIGURE 2  
 AIR AND WATER TEMPERATURES DURING FISH KILL EVENT  
 QUARTER-HOUR DATA - DECEMBER 18, 1995  
 TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES FAHRENHEIT



— Intake Temperature — Discharge Temperature — Ambient Air Temperature




Figure 3. Location map of OCNGS and trawl stations.