

Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038-0236 FEB 1 4 2000

**Nuclear Business Unit** 

LRN-00-0045

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Attn: Document Control Desk

MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT SALEM UNIT NO. 1 DOCKET NO. 50-272

Gentlemen:

In compliance with Section 6.9.1.6, Reporting Requirements for the Salem Technical Specifications, the original Monthly Operating report for January 2000 is attached.

Sincerely,

M. B. Bezilla

Vice President - Operations

/rbk Enclosures

C Mr. H. J. Miller Regional Administrator USNRC, Region 1 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19046

The power is in your hands.

DOCKET NO.: <u>50-272</u>

UNIT: <u>Salem 1</u> DATE: 2/15/00

COMPLETED BY: R. Knieriem

TELEPHONE: (856) 339-1782

Reporting Period: January 2000

### **OPERATING DATA REPORT**

Design Electrical Rating (MWe-Net)
Maximum Dependable Capacity (MWe-Net)

No. of hours reactor was critical No. of hours generator was on line (service hours) Unit reserve shutdown hours Net Electrical Energy (MWH)

1115			
1106			
Month	Year-to-date	Cumulative 119119 114794 0	
574	574		
542	542		
0	0		
577622	577622	115198957	

### **UNIT SHUTDOWNS**

NO ·	DATE	TYPE F=FORCED S=SCHEDULED	DURATION (HOURS)	REASON (1)	METHOD OF SHUTTING DOWN THE REACTOR (2)	CORRECTIVE ACTION/COMMENT
1	1/6/00 - 1/15/00	F	206.1	Α	2	Steam Generator Feed Pump trip due to low suction pressure. Caused by loss of Feedwater Heaters.

#### (1) Reason

- A Equipment Failure (Explain)
- B Maintenance or Test
- C Refueling
- D Regulatory Restriction
- E Operator Training/License Examination
- F Administrative
- G- Operational Error (Explain)
- H Other

#### Summary:

(2) Method

- 1 Manual
- 2 Manual Trip/Scram
- 3 Automatic Trip/Scram
- 4 Continuation
- 5 Other (Explain)

Salem Unit 1 began the month of January 2000, operating at 80% as a contingency in anticipation of Y2K related problems affecting the power grid. Unit 1 returned to full power on January 1. Full power operation continued until January 6, when Unit 1 was manually tripped in response to a transient caused by the isolation of all three low-pressure feedwater heater strings. Salem Unit 1 returned to service on January 15, and reached full power on January 16. Full power operation continued through the end of the month.

DOCKET NO.: 50-272 UNIT: Salem 1

UNIT: <u>Salem 1</u> DATE: <u>2/15/00</u>

COMPLETED BY: R. B. Knieriem TELEPHONE: (856) 339-1782

# SUMMARY OF CHANGES, TESTS, AND EXPERIMENTS FOR THE SALEM UNIT 1 GENERATING STATION

MONTH: January 2000

The following items completed during January 2000 have been evaluated to determine:

- If the probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the safety analysis report may be increased; or
- 2. If a possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated previously in the safety analysis report may be created; or
- 3. If the margin of safety as defined in the basis for any technical specification is reduced.

The 10CFR50.59 Safety Evaluations showed that these items did not create a new safety hazard to the plant; nor did they affect the safe shutdown of the reactor. These items did not change the plant effluent releases and did not alter the existing environmental impact. The 10CFR50.59 Safety Evaluations determined that no unreviewed safety or environmental questions are involved.

## **Design Changes - Summary of Safety Evaluations**

There were no changes in this category implemented during January 2000.

# **Temporary Modifications - Summary of Safety Evaluations**

There were no changes in this category implemented during January 2000.

# **Procedures - Summary of Safety Evaluations**

# Procedure SC.MD-CM.CBV-0002(Q), Revision 2, Rod Drive Ventilation Fan Maintenance

This change revised the Rod Drive Ventilation Fan Maintenance procedure to address the replacement of a Rod Drive Ventilation Fan at power.

Review of this procedure change under 10CFR50.59 was required because the revision of the Rod Drive Ventilation Fan Maintenance procedure, to permit the replacement of a Rod Drive Ventilation Fan at power, constituted a change to the facility as described in the SAR. This change would not increase the probability

or consequences of an accident previously analyzed. Additionally, this change did not increase the probability or consequences of a malfunction of equipment important to safety. This change would not create any new accidents or malfunctions since no new failure modes were introduced. In addition the Technical Specification Bases were not affected and no changes to the Technical Specifications were required.

## **UFSAR Change Notices - Summary of Safety Evaluations**

There were no changes in this category implemented during January 2000.

## **Deficiency Reports - Summary of Safety Evaluations**

There were no changes in this category implemented during January 2000.

## Other - Summary of Safety Evaluations

There were no changes in this category implemented during January 2000.