## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

	)	
In the Matter of:	)	Docket No. 72-22-ISFSI
PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE, LLC	)	ASLBP No. 97-732-02-ISFSI
(Independent Spent Fuel	)	
Storage Installation)	)	January 26, 2000

## DECLARATION OF DR. WALTER J. ARABASZ IN SUPPORT OF STATE OF UTAH'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSION OF LATE-FILED MODIFICATION TO BASIS 2 OF UTAH CONTENTION L

I, Dr. Walter J. Arabasz, declare under penalty of perjury that:

1. I am Research Professor of Geology and Geophysics and Director, University of Utah Seismograph Stations; University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah. I have 30 years professional experience in scientific research, occasional teaching, consulting, and publishing articles in observational seismology, seismotectonics, and earthquake hazard analysis with a primary focus on Utah and the Intermountain West. My curriculum vitae, attached hereto as Exhibit A, gives greater detail about my professional qualifications, experience and publications.

2. I am familiar with Private Fuel Storage's ("PFS's") license application and Safety Analysis Report in this proceeding, and other information submitted by the Applicant with respect to earthquake hazards. I am also familiar with NRC regulations, Rulemaking Plan to amend Part 72, guidance documents, the methodologies for earthquake hazard evaluation and new developments in the field of earthquake hazard evaluation. Furthermore, during the past decade I have had a significant involvement in assessing vibratory and fault-displacement hazards for the Yucca Mountain high-level nuclear waste repository and I have also served on the National Research Council's Panel on Seismic Hazard Evaluation.

3. I assisted in the preparation of State of Utah's Request for Admission of Late-Filed Modification to Basis 2 of Utah Contention L, filed on January 26, 2000 ("Modification to Basis 2"), with the exception of the portion of the document that relates to dose limits. 4. As stated in Modification to Basis 2, Section B, the four justifications offered by the Staff to approve the Applicant's use of a probabilistic seismic hazard analysis with a 2,000 year return period are technically flawed. I have reviewed Section B and statements and conclusions therein are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

5. If Modification to Basis 2 is admitted, I am prepared to provide expert testimony regarding these matters. I expect that my testimony would follow the general statements and conclusions in Section B of the Modification. Moreover, I would provide additional testimony based on information gathered in discovery, in particular the Stamatakos et. al report relied on by the Staff for its technical review of the Applicant's seismic hazard investigation.

Dr. Walter J. Arabasz

4. As stated in Modification to Basis 2, Section B, the four justifications offered by the Staff to approve the Applicant's use of a probabilistic seismic hazard analysis with a 2,000 year return period are technically flawed. I have reviewed Section B and statements and conclusions therein are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

5. If Modification to Basis 2 is admitted, I am prepared to provide expert testimony regarding these matters. I expect that my testimony would follow the general statements and conclusions in Section B of the Modification. Moreover, I would provide additional testimony based on information gathered in discovery, in particular the Stamatakos et. al report relied on by the Staff for its technical review of the Applicant's seismic hazard investigation.

r. hallan andrag

### WALTER J. ARABASZ

Birthplace and Date: Acushnet, Massachusetts, September 30, 1942.

18 3

Current Position: Research Professor of Geology and Geophysics and Director, University of Utah Seismograph Stations; University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Address: 135 S 1460 E Rm 705 WBB, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112; Tel: 801-581-7410; Fax: 801-585-5585; E-mail: arabasz@seis.utah.edu.

Education: B.S., Geology, summa cum laude, Boston College, 1964; M.S., Geology, California Institute of Technology, 1966; Ph.D., Geology (minor in geophysics), California Institute of Technology, 1971. Dissertation (supervised by Professor Clarence R. Allen): *Geological and Geophysical Studies of the Atacama Fault Zone in Northern Chile.* 

**Professional Positions:** Post-Doctoral Research Fellow, Dept. of Scientific and Industrial Research, Geophysics Division, Wellington, New Zealand, 1970-73; Research Scientist, Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory, 1973-74; University of Utah (1974-present): Research Professor of Geology and Geophysics (since 1983); Director, University of Utah Seismograph Stations (since 1985).

Society Affiliations: Seismological Society of America; American Geophysical Union; Geological Society of America; Earthquake Engineering Research Institute; Utah Geological Association.

Current Professional Activities: Chair, Utah Seismic Safety Commission; Member, Executive Committee, and past Chair, Council of the National Seismic System; Member, Senior Advisory Group to U.S. Geological Survey for 1999 Report to Congress on an Assessment of Seismic Monitoring in the United States; Corresponding Member, U.S. Committee for Advancement of Strong Motion Programs; Visiting Member, Infrastructure Protection Group, Utah Olympic Public Safety Committee; Member, Utah Interagency Technical Team (serving the Utah Division of Comprehensive Emergency Management).

General Statement of Experience: Dr. Walter J. Arabasz has nearly 30 years of professional experience in research, project management, consulting, and occasional teaching in observational seismology, tectonics, and earthquake hazard evaluation. He is the author or co-author of 36 published papers, 69 published abstracts and numerous technical reports. His present responsibilities at the University of Utah include seismological research and extensive project management—chiefly relating to the operation and modernization of a 100-station regional seismic network covering Utah and neighboring parts of the Intermountain area. He currently is the Principal Investigator on research projects totaling approximately \$750,000 per annum.

He has been affiliated, since its inception, with the U.S. National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program—variously as a Principal Investigator of funded research, as a participant in dozens of workshops and conferences, and as a member of peer review panels. He has served on numerous national and state advisory and policy-making committees, including the Committee on Seismology of the National Research Council (1989-1994), the Board of Directors of the Seismological Society of America (1994-1997), the Council of the National Seismic System (1993-present), and the Utah Seismic Safety Commission (1994-present). Since 1977 he has routinely provided professional consulting services on earthquake hazard evaluations for dams, nuclear facilities, and other critical structures and facilities for engineering firms, the International Atomic Energy Agency, the Department of Energy, the Soil Conservation Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Electric Power Research Institute, and the State of Utah.

### **SELECTED PUBLICATIONS AND REPORTS SINCE 1990**

- Arabasz, W. J., editor, 1990, Earthquake instrumentation for Utah, report and recommendations of the Utah Policy Panel on Earthquake Instrumentation, Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Open-File Report 168.
- McGuire, R. K., and Arabasz, W. J. 1990, An introduction to probabilistic seismic hazard analysis, *in* Ward, S. H., ed., Geotechnical and environmental geophysics, Vol. 1: Review and tutorial: Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Investigations in Geophysics No. 5, p. 333-353.
- Pechmann, J. C., Nava, S. J., and Arabasz, W. J., 1991, Seismological analyses of four recent moderate (M<sub>L</sub> 4.8 to 5.4) earthquakes in Utah, Final Report to the Utah Geological Survey, Salt Lake City, Utah, Contract No. 89-3659, 107 p.
- Smith, R. B., and Arabasz, W. J., 1991, Seismicity of the Intermountain seismic belt, in Slemmons, D. B., Engdahl, E. R., Zoback, M. D., Zoback, M. L., and Blackwell, D., eds., Neotectonics of North America: Geological Society of America, Decade Map Volume 1, p. 185-228.
- Arabasz, W. J., Pechmann, J. C., and Brown, E. D., 1992, Observational seismology and the evaluation of earthquake hazards and risk in the Wasatch front area, Utah, *in* Gori, P. L., and Hays, W. W., eds., Assessment of regional earthquake hazards and risk along the Wasatch Front, Utah: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1500-A-J, p. D1-D36.
- Arabasz, W.J., 1992, Earthquake basics for dam safety [extended abs.]: Abstracts and Proceedings, Utah Department of Natural Resources, The State of Utah Dam Safety Workshop, March 19, 1992, Salt Lake City, 9 pp.
- Hill, D. P., and others, including W. J. Arabasz, 1993, Remote seismicity triggered by the M 7.5 Landers, California, earthquake of June 28, 1992: Science, v. 260, p. 1617-1623.
- Pechmann, J. C., Arabasz, W. J., and Brown, E. D., 1993, Seismotectonics of the 1987-88 Lakeside, Utah, earthquakes: Seismological Research Letters, v. 64, p. 225-238.
- Arabasz, W. J., and Hill, S. J., 1994, Aftershock temporal behavior and earthquake clustering in the Utah region [abs.]: Seismological Research Letters, v. 65, p. 32.
- Arabasz, W. J., 1994, Fundamentals of the Wasatch Front's earthquake threat: Proceedings, Seminar 1: Economic Impacts of a Large Earthquake, Earthquake Engineering Research Institute Wasatch Front Seismic Risk Regional Seminar; Salt Lake City, Utah, November 1994, p. 1-1 to 1-25.
- Arabasz, W. J., 1994, Wasatch Front seismicity and expectable strong ground motion: Proceedings, Seminar 2: Earthquake Research and Mitigation, Earthquake Engineering Research Institute Wasatch Front Seismic Risk Regional Seminar; Salt Lake City, Utah, November 1994, p. 2-1 to 2-40.
- Nava, S. J., Arabasz, W. J., and Pechmann, J. C., 1994, The M 5.9 Draney Peak, Idaho (Idaho-Wyoming border) earthquake of February 3, 1994—A preliminary report [abs.]: Seismological Research Letters, v. 65, nos. 3/4, p. 239.

- Pechmann, J. C., and Arabasz, W. J., 1995, The problem of the random earthquake in seismic hazard analysis: Wasatch Front region, Utah, *in* Lund, W. R., ed., Environmental and engineering geology of the Wasatch Front region: Utah Geological Association Publication 24, p. 77-93.
- Pechmann, J. C., Walter, W. R., Nava, S. J., and Arabasz, W. J., 1995, The February 3, 1995, M<sub>L</sub> 5.1 seismic event in the trona mining district of southwestern Wyoming: Seismological Research Letters, v. 66, no. 3, p. 25-34 [minor correction, including revision of magnitude to M<sub>L</sub> 5.2, added in v. 66, no. 4, p. 28].
- Arabasz, W. J, and Nava, S. J., 1995, Jordanelle Reservoir: Status of test monitoring for reservoir-induced seismicity [abs.]: Seismological Research Letters, v. 66, no. 2, p. 24.
- Arabasz, W. J., and Hill, S. J., 1996, Applying Reasenberg's cluster-analysis algorithm to regional earthquake catalogs outside California [abs.]: Seismological Research Letters, v. 67, no. 2, p. 30.
- Arabasz, W. J., and Wyss, M., 1996, Significant precursory seismic quiescences in the extensional Wasatch Front region, Utah [abs.]: Eos (Transactions American Geophysical Union), v. 77, no. 46, p. F455.
- Arabasz, W. J., Nava, S. J., and Phelps, W. T., 1997, Mining seismicity in the Wasatch Plateau and Book Cliffs coal mining districts, Utah, USA, *in* Gibowicz, S. J., and Lasocki, S., eds., Rockbursts and Seismicity in mines: Rotterdam, A.A. Balkema, p. 111-116.
- Arabasz, W. J., 1998, Do regional seismic networks in the U.S. have a future?: Seismological Research Letters, v. 69 no. 6, p. 513-515.
- Arabasz. W. J., 1998, Utah's earthquake information needs—New technologies and solutions: Fault Line Forum (published by Utah Geological Survey), v. 14, no. 12, p. 1-4.
- Arabasz, W. J., and Pechmann, J. C., 1998, Earthquake data-base issues for seismic hazard analysis in the Utah region [abs.]: Proceedings Volume, Basin and Range Province Seismic-Hazards Summit, Utah Geological Survey Miscellaneous Publication 98-2, p. 31.
- Arabasz, W. J., and Nava, S. J., 1999, Historical seismicity and a user's guide to earthquake data in northern Utah, southeastern Idaho, and southwestern Wyoming, *in* Spengler, L. E., ed., Utah Geological Association Publication [in prep.]

Walter J. Arabasz

### PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING

#### Consulting in Earthquake-Hazard Evaluation and Earthquake Seismology:

- 1. Rollins, Brown and Gunnell, Inc., Provo, Utah (1977). Site-response analysis for proposed hospital in Logan, Utah.
- 2. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria (Short-Term Expert, Chile, 1979). Seismotectonic considerations in northern Chile, 21°-27°S, with respect to the siting of a nuclear power plant.
- Weidlinger Associates, Menlo Park, California (1980). Geological and geophysical information relevant to site-dependent ground motions at Wing V (Wyoming-Nebraska-Colorado).
- 4. EG&G Idaho, Inc. (Department of Energy), Idaho Falls, Idaho (1980-81). Preparation and presentation of proposal for seismic risk zone revision in southeast Idaho to International Conference of Building Officials.
- EG&G Idaho Inc. (Department of Energy), Idaho Falls, Idaho (1982). Document review: "Site Investigation at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, LMFBR Large Developmental Plant (LDP), Conceptual Design Study—Phase III."
- 6. Lindvall, Richter and Associates, Los Angeles, California (1981-82). Seismic safety investigation of eight Soil Conservation Service dams in southwestern Utah.
- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colorado (1982-83). Review and analysis of geologic, seismotectonic, and design data for the proposed Jordanelle Dam, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project, Utah. (Consultant review by W.J. Arabasz, R. H. Jahns, and R.B. Peck.)
- 8. EG&G Idaho, Inc. (Department of Energy), Idaho Falls, Idaho (1983). Member, Geotechnical Advisory Panel to assist EG&G Idaho, Inc. and D.O.E. regarding programmatic efforts toward site characterization of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory for the proposed siting of a NEW Production Reactor Facility.
- 9. Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California (1984). Participant, "Data Needs Workshop; regarding data management plan and tectonic evaluation for earthquake hazards in the eastern U.S.; participant in and editor of "Proceedings of a Seminar on Defining Tectonic Mechanisms Causing Earthquakes in the Eastern United States."
- Dames & Moore, Golden, Colorado/Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Palo Alto, California (1984-85). Member of "Seismic Hazard Methodology Team," EPRI Seismic Hazards Research Program, for evaluation of earthquake hazards in the eastern United States for the siting of nuclear generating facilities. (Participation in 7 formal workshops, 2 academic seminars, and 3 series of interactive meetings with 6 teams of tectonic evaluation contractors in the central and eastern U.S.).

11. Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California (1985-87). Participation in scientific review, technical description, and comparative evaluation of EPRI seismic hazard methodology for the central and eastern United States.

.

- 12. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colorado (1986-87). Review and analysis of geologic, seismotectonic, and design data for the proposed Jordanelle Dam, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project. (Consultant review by R. B. Peck, W. J. Arabasz, G. S. Tarbox, and D. D. Campbell.)
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colorado (1988). Review and evaluation of seismotectonic conclusions and details of final embankment dam design for Jordanelle Dam, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project. (Consultant review by R.B. Peck, W.J. Arabasz, and T.G. McCusker.)
- 14. Dames & Moore, Los Angeles, California (1989). Member of advisory panel for project on seismic code decisions under risk, sponsored by the National Science Foundation.
- 15. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California (1990-91). Member of Seismicity and Tectonic Expert Group, New Production Reactors Project, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Site.
- 16. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation—Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colorado, and Regional Office, Salt Lake City, Utah (1990-92). Review and evaluation of foundation conditions, ongoing geologic mapping procedures, and seismic-safety aspects of the Jordanelle Dam, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project. (Consultant review by W.J. Arabasz, R.B. Peck, and D.D. Campbell.)
- 17. Science Applications International Corporation, Las Vegas, Nevada (1991). Member of Peer Review Group for Early Site Suitability Evaluation of the Potential Repository Site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- 18. Geomatrix Consultants, San Francisco, California (1991-92). Member of expert panel, Electric Power Research Institute-High Level Waste (EPRI-HLW) project to assess earthquake and tectonic issues for the proposed high-level nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- 19. Risk Engineering, Inc., Golden, Colorado (1992-94). Investigator for Seismology as part of a *Seismic Hazard Study for Systematic Evaluation Program, Rocky Flats Plant*, conducted for EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. and sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy.
- Woodward-Clyde Federal Consultants, Las Vegas, Nevada (1993-94). Technical reviewer for (1) Topical Report: Methodology to Assess Seismic Hazards at Yucca Mountain and (2) Seismic Design Inputs for the Exploratory Studies Facility at Yucca Mountain.
- 21. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colorado (1994). Review of design, construction, and operation of Jordanelle Dam and Reservoir, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project, Utah. (Consultant review by W.J. Arabasz, D.D. Campbell, and R.B. Peck.)
- 22. Jack R. Benjamin & Associates, Inc., Mountain View, California (1994). Technical reviewer for Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment for the U.S. Army Chemical Demilitarization Facility, Tooele, Utah.

- 23. TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc., Vienna, Virginia (1995). Member of expert team for seismic source characterization for a probabilistic seismic hazard assessment of a high-level nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- 24. Rutherford & Chekene, San Francisco, California (1995). Technical review and consulting advice on seismicity and ground-motion considerations for design of a manufacturing plant at Lehi, Utah, for Micron Technology, Inc.
- 25. TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc., Vienna, Virginia (1995). Organizer and chair of plenary session of FOCUS'95—Methods of Seismic Hazard Evaluation (a topical meeting co-sponsored by the American Nuclear Society and the Geological Society of America, September 18-20, 1995, Las Vegas, Nevada).
- 26. William Lettis & Associates, Inc., Walnut Creek, California (1995). Technical review and consulting advice on seismic source characterization for the stability evaluation of Lake Almanor and Butt Valley Dams, California.
- 27. Parsons Brinckerhoff, Salt Lake City, Utah (1995-96). Member of Seismic Advisory Committee to Utah Department of Transportation for seismic hazard analysis of the I-15 interstate highway corridor (consulting undertaken under a University of Utah contract).
- 28. TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc., Vienna, Virginia (1996-98). Member of expert team for seismic source characterization for a probabilistic seismic hazard assessment of a high-level nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- 29. Utah Department of Environmental Quality (1998-present). Seismicity and earthquake expert for evaluation of a proposed high-level radioactive waste storage facility in Skull Valley, Tooele County, Utah (consulting undertaken under a University of Utah contract).
- 30. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico (1999). Member of Laboratory Seismic Review Committee, Nuclear Materials and Stockpile Management Program, an advisory group on the Laboratory's seismic risks and hazards and related technical and operational activities (consulting contract pending).

#### Walter J. Arabasz

### **RESEARCH FUNDING—LAST FIVE YEARS**

#### 1994-95

Seismic network operations along the Wasatch Front urban corridor and adjacent Intermountain seismic belt (FY95): \$209,000, U. S. Geological Survey, 1/1/95-12/31/95, lead PI.

Seismicity, ground motion, and crustal deformation— Wasatch Front, Utah, and adjacent Intermountain seismic belt (FY95): \$120,000, U. S. Geological Survey, 1/1/95-12/31/95, Co-PI.

Cooperative agreement for seismic event monitoring and analysis, Jordanelle Damsite, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project: \$54,247, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, 10/1/94-9/30/95, PI.

Calibration of size and correlation of coal-mining-related seismicity with extraction rates, Wasatch Plateau and Book-Cliffs mining districts: \$6,206, University of Utah Mineral Leasing Funds, 7/1/94-5/31/95, PI.

 $M_W$  5.9 Draney Peak, Idaho, earthquake evaluation: \$35,140, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 8/31/94-12/31/95, Co-PI.

Development of rapid earthquake response: \$10,000, unrestricted grant from the Union Pacific Foundation, 2/24/95-2/23/96, PI.

Seismograph Stations: \$340,400, State of Utah, 7/1/94-6/30/95, Director.

### 1995-96

Seismic network operations along the Wasatch Front urban corridor and adjacent Intermountain seismic belt (FY96): \$200,000, U. S. Geological Survey, 1/1/96-12/31/96, lead PI.

Seismicity, ground motion, and crustal deformation— Wasatch Front, Utah, and adjacent Intermountain seismic belt (FY96): \$100,000, U. S. Geological Survey, 5/1/96-4/30/97, Co-PI.

Cooperative agreement for seismic event monitoring and analysis, Jordanelle Damsite, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project: \$47,000, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, 10/1/95-9/30/96, PI.

Collaborative work with coal mine operators for further study of mining-related seismicity, Wasatch Plateau and Book-Cliffs mining districts: \$6,200, University of Utah Mineral Leasing Funds, 7/1/95-6/30/96, Pl.

M<sub>w</sub> 5.9 Draney Peak, Idaho, earthquake evaluation: \$35,140, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 8/31/94-12/27/96, Co-PI.

Development of rapid earthquake response: \$10,000, unrestricted grant from the Union Pacific Foundation, 2/24/96-2/23/97, PI.

I-15 Corridor Seismic Advisory Committee: \$1,972, Parsons Brinckerhoff (for Utah Department of Transportation), 12/1/95-8/31/96, PI.

Seismograph Stations: \$350,500, State of Utah, 7/1/95-6/30/96, Director.

### **1996-97**

Seismic network operations along the Wasatch Front urban corridor and adjacent Intermountain seismic belt (FY97): \$275,000, U. S. Geological Survey, 1/1/97-12/31/97, lead PI.

Studies of earthquake processes to assess time-varying hazard and risk—Wasatch Front, Utah, and adjacent Intermountain Seismic Belt: \$100,000, U. S. Geol. Surv., 5/1/97-4/30/98, Co-PI.

Cooperative agreement for seismic event monitoring and analysis, Jordanelle Damsite, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project: \$47,000, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, 10/1/96-9/30/97, PI.

Continued studies of coal-mining-related seismicity, Wasatch Plateau and Book-Cliffs mining districts: \$5,915, University of Utah Mineral Leasing Funds, 7/1/96-6/30/97, Pl.

Development of rapid earthquake response: \$10,000, unrestricted grant from the Union Pacific Foundation, 2/24/97-2/23/98, PI.

Seismograph Stations: \$361,900, State of Utah, 7/1/96-6/30/97, Director.

#### 1997-98

. . . . .

Seismic network operations along the Wasatch Front urban corridor and adjacent Intermountain seismic belt (FY98): \$274,538, U. S. Geological Survey, 1/1/98-12/31/98, lead PI.

Studies of earthquake processes to assess time-varying hazard and risk—Wasatch Front, Utah, and adjacent Intermountain Seismic Belt: \$100,000, U. S. Geol. Surv., 5/1/97-10/31/98, Co-PI.

Cooperative agreement for seismic event monitoring and analysis, Jordanelle Damsite, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project: \$49,750, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, 10/1/97-9/30/98, PI.

 $M_W$  5.9 Draney Peak, Idaho, earthquake evaluation: \$25,206, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 6/18/97-6/30/98, Co-PI.

Development of rapid earthquake response: \$10,000, unrestricted grant from the Union Pacific Foundation, 2/24/97-2/23/98, PI.

Seismic characterization of coal-mining seismicity in Utah for CTBT monitoring: \$58,960, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 2/28/98-2/28/99, lead PI.

Seismograph Stations: \$370,500, State of Utah, 7/1/97-6/30/98, Director.

#### 1998**-9**9

3

Seismic network operations along the Wasatch Front urban corridor and adjacent Intermountain seismic belt (FY99): \$248,739, U. S. Geological Survey, 1/1/99-12/31/99, lead PI.

Cooperative agreement for seismic event monitoring and analysis, Jordanelle Damsite, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project: \$50,000, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, 10/1/98-9/30/99, PI.

Seismic characterization of coal-mining seismicity in Utah for CTBT monitoring: \$58,960, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 2/28/98-2/28/99, lead PI.

Development of rapid earthquake response: \$10,000, unrestricted grant from the Union Pacific Foundation, 2/24/98-2/23/99, PI [renewal award for 1999-2000 pending].

Studies of earthquake processes to assess time-varying hazard and risk—Wasatch Front, Utah, and adjacent Intermountain Seismic Belt: \$100,000, U. S. Geological Survey, 5/1/97-10/31/98, Co-PI.

Seismograph Stations: \$381,200, State of Utah, 7/1/98-6/30/99, Director.

Walter J. Arabasz

# SCHOLARLY JOURNAL REVIEW, PROPOSAL REVIEW, AND EDITORIAL SERVICE<sup>†</sup>

۰. ۰

• •

1975-1980s	Reviewer for Geological Society of America, GSA Bulletin and Geology
1976-1990	Reviewer for the Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America
1977-1980s	Reviewer of proposals for National Science Foundation, Geophysics Division
1978-1980s	Reviewer for Journal of Geophysical Research
1980-1982	Reviewer of proposals for National Science Foundation, Polar Earth Science Program
1981-1982, 1985-1996	Reviewer of proposals to U.S. Geological Survey Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program
1983-1985	Reviewer of proposals to National Science Foundation, Crustal Structure and Tectonics Program
1985	Reviewer for U.S. Geological Survey, manuscripts submitted to <i>Open-File Report</i> series
1985-1988	Associate Editor, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America
1986	Reviewer for Tectonophysics
1988	Reviewer for PAGEOPH, special issue on seismicity in mines
1992-1997	Reviewer of proposals for National Science Foundation, Geophysics Division
1995-present	Reviewer for Earthquake Engineering Research Institute Monograph Series
1995-96	Reviewer for Earthquake Spectra
1996	Reviewer for the Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America
1997	Reviewer for University of Utah Research Committee
1998	[See entry above under "-present"]

†Exclusive of technical peer-review performed on a consulting basis (see Professional Consulting)

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

	)	
In the Matter of:	)	Docket No. 72-22-ISFSI
PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE, LLC	)	ASLBP No. 97-732-02-ISFSI
(Independent Spent Fuel	)	
Storage Installation)	)	January 26, 2000

## DECLARATION OF DR. MARVIN RESNIKOFF IN SUPPORT OF STATE OF UTAH'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSION OF LATE-FILED MODIFICATION TO BASIS 2 OF UTAH CONTENTION L

I, Dr. Marvin Resnikoff, declare under penalty of perjury that:

1. I am the Senior Associate at Radioactive Waste Management Associates, a private consulting firm based in New York City. A statement of my qualifications has been filed previously in this proceeding. *See e.g.*, Exhibit 2 to State of Utah Contentions filed November 23, 1997. I am an expert in the field of radioactive waste management, including spent nuclear power plant fuel storage.

2. I am familiar with Private Fuel Storage's ("PFS's") license application and Safety Analysis Report in this proceeding, as well as the applications for the storage and transportation casks PFS plans to use. I am also familiar with NRC regulations, guidance documents, and environmental studies relating to the transportation, storage, and disposal of spent nuclear power plant fuel, and with NRC decommissioning requirements.

3. I assisted in the preparation, in part, of State of Utah's Request for Admission of Late-Filed Modification to Basis 2 of Utah Contention L, filed on January 26, 2000 ("Modification to Basis 2")

4. As stated in Modification to Basis 2, a loaded HI-TRAC overpack is not designed to withstand a 30-foot drop. The HI-TRAC transfer cask is designed to withstand a drop from a horizontal lift height of 42 inches.<sup>1</sup> This is at least in part because the HI-TRAC overpack does not have impact limiters. For this reason, PFS has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Table 2.2.8, HI-STORM TSAR, Holtec.

not provided a reasonable assurance that NRC dose limits would not be exceeded in an accident involving the drop of a loaded HI-TRAC overpack. PFS is relying on the single failure-proof crane to prevent a drop of the HI-TRAC, but safety cannot be assured if the crane fails in a 2,000-year return earthquake.

5. There are other reasons why the PFS facility may exceed NRC dose limits. For example, PFS's accident evaluation does not bound the design basis accident, because the accidents considered by PFS are not design basis accident DE IV under ANSI/ANS-57.9-1999.

6. Furthermore, the assumed accident leak rate is too small and the assumed breach hole in the canister considered by PFS is too small. This leakage rate is consistent with Table 4-1, NUREG-1617, "Standard Review Plan for Transportation Packages for Spent Nuclear Fuel," that is based on another NRC document, NUREG/CR-6487, "Containment Analysis for Type B Packages Used to Transport Various Contents."<sup>2</sup>

7. The leakage rate and calculation methodology in NUREG/CR-6487 are based on ANSI standard N14.5 for transportation casks.<sup>3</sup> But the assumed leakage rate is not conservative because it is based on testing requirements that will not be met for storage casks. ANSI standard N14.5<sup>4</sup> assumes that casks will be leak-tested periodically, before shipment and after maintenance and repair. But some ISFSI's, such as the PFS facility, have no provisions for testing helium leakage during storage and no provisions for repairing and maintaining casks and testing for leakage after repair and maintenance. Thus, these ISFSI's cannot satisfy the leak testing requirements of N14.5, and NUREG-1617 does not provide a conservative basis for detecting leakage rates.

8. Further, the methodology employed in NUREG/CR-6487 may not apply for certain accidents that exceed the design basis accident. NUREG/CR-6487 calculates the leak hole diameter that corresponds to a regulatory-allowable release rate under accident conditions. This leak hole size can easily be exceeded in accidents involving sabotage. Impact with a MILAN or TOW-2 hand held anti-tank device can produce a leak hole

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Anderson, BL et al, "Containment Analysis for Type B Packages Used to Transport Various Contents," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, NUREG/CR-6487, November 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> NUREG/CR-6487, p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> American National Standards Institute, ANSI N14.5, "Leakage Tests on Packages for Shipment," Table 1.

larger than calculated in NUREG/CR-6487. Impact with a jet engine or a hanging bomb at 600mph can also produce leak holes larger than estimated in NUREG/CR-6487.

9. If Modification to Basis 2 is admitted, I am prepared to provide expert testimony regarding these matters. I expect that my testimony would follow the general outline of the statements in paragraphs 4 through 6 above. In addition, I would provide additional detail regarding the PFS facility design based on information gathered in discovery, as well as additional detail regarding my analysis of the size of the breach hole considered by PFS in its accident analysis.

Dr. Marvin Resnikoff

larger than calculated in NUREG/CR-6487. Impact with a jet engine or a hanging bomb at 600mph can also produce loak holes larger than estimated in NUREG/CR-6487.

9. If Modification to Basis 2 is admitted, I am prepared to provide experi testimony regarding these matters. I expect that my testimony would follow the general outline of the statements in paragraphs 4 through 6 above. In addition, I would provide additional detail regarding the PFS facility design based on information gathered in discovery, as well as additional detail regarding my analysis of the size of the breach hole considered by PFS in its accident analysis.

Marvin Resnikoff