January 19, 2000

Mr. Raymond P. Necci, Vice President Nuclear Oversight and Regulatory Affairs c/o David A. Smith, Manager Regulatory Affairs Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1 - REQUEST FOR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING A REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM THE SECONDARY FINANCIAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS OF

10 CFR 140.11(a)(4) (TAC NO. MA6658)

Dear Mr. Necci:

This is a request for the plant specific evaluation (referenced in your September 28, 1999, request for exemption from the financial protection requirements of 10 CFR 140.11(a)(4)) of the potential for a zirconium fire following a postulated beyond design basis event resulting in the complete loss of the spent fuel pool water inventory at Millstone, Unit 1 (MP1).

Background

By letter dated September 28, 1999, Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO) requested an exemption from the secondary financial protection requirements of 10 CFR 140.11(a)(4). The request is supported by, among other things, reference to a plant specific evaluation of the potential for a zirconium fire caused by a beyond design basis event. The staff informed NNECO by telephone that it would be necessary for NNECO to provide the analysis to the staff. NNECO expressed reluctance to provide the analysis, citing the lack of any approved standard or precedent against which the analysis could be evaluated, but offered to meet with the staff to discuss the analysis and respond to staff questions. A meeting was held on November 15, 1999, and after the NNECO presentation and responses to staff questions, the staff restated its need to have the analysis. NNECO maintained its initial position (i.e., withheld the analysis report), but provided the staff a two page executive summary of the report. The meeting ended with the staff informing NNECO that the issue would be further considered by staff management, and NNECO would be informed of the staff position. A meeting summary was issued December 2, 1999, and is attached as Enclosure 1.

Discussion

An exemption request by a licensee is a request to do what is not currently permitted under its license and applicable regulations, and it represents a proposed deviation from how the facility is licensed. By its very nature of being a special circumstance, there are often no approved

methodologies for exemptions. When considering exemptions, the staff has an obligation to satisfy additional considerations about why it is acceptable to allow a licensee to operate outside of existing regulations.

In addition to the plant specific analysis referenced in your exemption request, your request also references the results from generic studies you believe are applicable to the spent fuel pool at MP1. The two generic studies regarding a complete spent fuel pool drain down and the potential for zirconium fire are (1) NUREG/CR-0649, "Spent Fuel Heatup Following Loss of Water During Storage" which was performed in support of Generic Safety Issue (GSI) 82, "Beyond Design Basis Accidents in Spent Fuel Pools," and (2) NUREG/CR-6451,"A Safety and Regulatory Assessment of Generic BWR and PWR Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Plants." The NUREG/CR-0649 study was focused on investigating the phenomena of runaway zirconium oxidation and a subsequent fire. The NUREG/CR-6451 study conclusions on critical decay times were based on results from a newly written code that was not verified or validated. The staff has identified code errors and non-conservatisms that would increase the critical decay times reported in NUREG/CR-6451. While these studies provided useful insights on runaway zirconium oxidation, neither study was intended to provide a basis for exemptions. As such, they do not provide the necessary technical information to support a regulatory decision for a specific plant.

You have indicated that the decay heat for the peak power fuel bundle is 3.4 KW/MTU. Although this decay heat value is less than the critical value of 6 KW/MTU identified in the resolution of GSI 82, it is within the window of concern for runaway zirconium oxidation identified by the Technical Working Group in the reexamination of the issue as it relates to decommissioned plants. As you have stated, there is no approved methodology to calculate the potential for runaway zirconium oxidation that leads to a large offsite release of radioactivity. The staff has been reviewing plant specific calculations on a case by case basis and requires your detailed calculations in order to process your exemption request.

The staff understands the analysis report being requested is based on a substantial volume of underlying additional information, calculations, data, etc. If, after reviewing the analysis report, the staff believes certain additional information is required before the evaluation can be completed, your representative will be contacted by telephone for a discussion of the staff's interests. If it is then determined that certain information is still required, you may then extract only what the staff requires from the supporting documents and forward it to the staff via formal correspondence. If the staff determines that a substantial amount of information is required beyond that which is contained in the analysis report, consideration will be given to traveling to your facility to review the information. Regardless of how any needs for additional information are resolved, in all cases the entire basis for the staff's safety analysis will be placed in the MP1 docket file.

Based on all the above, the staff requests that the plant specific analysis report (same document for which the executive summary has already been provided) referenced in your September 28, 1999, exemption request be submitted for evaluation. This request was discussed with Mr. Bryan Ford on January 4, 2000, and a mutually agreeable target date of March 3, 2000, for your response was established. If circumstances arise which result in the

need to revise this target date, or if you have any questions regarding this request, please have your representative call me at the earliest opportunity at (301) 415-1444.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Louis L. Wheeler, Senior Project Manager Decommissioning Section Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-245

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

need to revise this target date, or if you have any questions regarding this request, please have your representative call me at the earliest opportunity at (301) 415-1444.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Louis L. Wheeler, Senior Project Manager Decommissioning Section Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-245

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center
PUBLIC
PDIV-D R/F
OGC
ACRS
SBlack
KBrockman, RIV
LBerry

To receive a copy of this document, indicate "C" in the box										
OFFICE	PDIV-D/PM	С	PDIV-D/LA	С	SPLB		SRXB		PDIV-D/SC	
NAME	LWheeler:sp		DJohnson		JHannon		JWermiel		MMasnik	
DATE	01/13/00		01/13/00		01/13/00		01/18/00		01/19/00	

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\a6658rai.wpd OFFICIAL RECORD COPY Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1

CC:

L. M. Cuoco, Esquire Senior Nuclear Counsel Northeast Utilities Service Company P.O. Box 270 Hartford, CT 06141-0270

Edward L. Wilds, Jr., Ph.D. Director, Division of Radiation Department of Environmental Protection 79 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06106-5127

Mr. Allan Johanson Assistant Director Office of Policy and Management Policy Development and Planning Division 450 Capitol Avenue - MS# 52ERN P.O. Box 341441 Hartford, CT 06134-1441

Regional Administrator Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

First Selectmen
Town of Waterford
15 Rope Ferry Road
Waterford, CT 06385

Attorney Nicholas J. Scobbo, Jr. Ferriter, Scobbo, Caruso, Rodophele, PC 75 State Street, 7th Floor Boston, MA 02108-1807

Ernest C. Hadley, Esquire 1040 B Main Street P.O. Box 549 West Wareham, MA 02576 The Honorable Terry Concannon Co-Chair Nuclear Energy Advisory Council 41 S. Buckboard Lane Marlborough, CT 06447

Mr. Evan W. Woollacott, Co-Chair Nuclear Energy Advisory Council 128 Terry's Plain Road Simsbury, CT 06070

Citizens Regulatory Commission ATTN: Ms. Geri Winslow P. O. Box 199 Waterford, CT 06385

Deborah Katz, President Citizens Awareness Network P.O. Box 83 Shelburne Falls, MA 03170

Mr. John Buckingham
Department of Public Utility Control
Electric Unit
10 Liberty Square
New Britain, CT 06051

Mr. D. A. Landeche Director - Unit 1 Operations Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385 Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1

CC:

Mr. J. T. Carlin Vice President - Human Services Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Senior Resident Inspector Millstone Nuclear Power Station c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 513 Niantic, CT 06357

Mr. James Linville, Director Millstone Inspections Office of the Regional Administrator 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mr. F. C. Rothen Vice President - Nuclear Work Services Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. L. J. Olivier Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer - Millstone Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. G. D. Hicks Director - Nuclear Training Services Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385 Nancy Burton, Esquire 147 Cross Highway Redding Ridge, CT 06876

Mr. D. A. Smith Manager - Regulatory Affairs Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. B. D. Kenyon President and Chief Executive Officer - NNECO Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. B. S. Ford
Director - Nuclear Safety and
Regulatory Affairs
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
P. O. Box 128
Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. R. G. Fraser Director - Unit 1 Decommissioning Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385