

Dec 10, 1999
1958 Roberts Dr
Appleton, WI
54915

Dear Chairman, Measure, US NRC

I have followed the history of the USC-24 since its proposed general implementation. We have some leaders here in WI. The existing problems have continued with Trans. Now I see the present president and CEO of BFS has quit. I can certainly see why. When they purchased SNC, they were full of bright promises of converting everything to reactors. I don't think they really had any idea of the scope of the mess they were getting into. We of the public certainly did. I have deep concern that they have still not completed actions associated with a demand for information why back in Oct 1992, why hasn't this been done? What are the definitions remaining? What are you doing about it? It really like to know. I live here with their cars. And more will be loaded here. Seems to me some finer and violation letters are in order here - if not why not? I am sick and tired of BFS promises. What is the situation with the impact limits back analysis? What is the situation with creating resolution? Please send document describing the situation in detail. I appreciate that. You are in charge of NRC now and I hope for some improvement under your leadership. It is sadly needed in the area of dry cask storage. The dust tag and flammable plastic tube used in venting by design at Pileoles was bad enough. Now we have the mess in loading at Troyer. Has this been notified? How? It time for NRC to get tough with vendors and utilities. Dry cask storage is a main concern, and the more faculty with NRC

REC'D BY SECT
21 DEC 99 4:37

allow — you "allow" — to be loaded, the work
 the persons get for DOE in the future to understand
 these costs and why on the spot just as the lot
 effective barrier (checking) in a repository.
 spent just be a memory — don't forget that. what
 you allow to happen to it now and in future understanding
 and looking again, transparent etc. — will have a
 great effect on any repository design. This needs
 to be integrated. you can't go along accepting
 design problems one after another because
 vendors and utilities continue to do a sloppy
 job and not justify NRC criteria. I hope
 the push stop at you don't and you do
 something about this mess. It has gone on and
 on and on long enough. The public is tired of
 all the excuses. If a cost design doesn't
 make the grade, get rid of it!! There are other
 out there. Don't keep trying to make something
 work that was broken long ago — The
 VSC-24 and Transporter design is fatally flawed.
 It's just that fast mess. If not, I want to know
 why not. Doesn't show the grave concern
 carefully — the future of the active waste system
 depends on what costs you allow to be loaded now.
 This is your job.

Thank you.

James Shilling (and)