

January 19, 2000

Mr. Michael B. Roche
Vice President and Director
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
P.O. Box 388
Forked River, NJ 08731

SUBJECT: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04,
"POTENTIAL FOR DEGRADATION OF THE EMERGENCY CORE COOLING
SYSTEM AND THE CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM AFTER A LOSS-OF-
COOLANT ACCIDENT BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROTECTIVE
COATING DEFICIENCIES AND FOREIGN MATERIAL IN CONTAINMENT,"
DATED JULY 14, 1998; OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION
(TAC NO. MA4077)

Dear Mr. Roche:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
 - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
 - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
 - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility(ies). We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 301-415-1261.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Helen N. Pastis, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate 1
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

cc: See next page

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
 - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
 - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility(ies). We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 301-415-1261.

Sincerely,
/RA/

Helen N. Pastis, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate 1
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

cc: See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\MPA4077.wpd

INDICATE IN BOX: "C"=COPY W/O ATTACHMENT/ENCLOSURE, "E"=COPY W/ATT/ENCL, "N"=NO COPY

OFFICE	PDI-1:PM		PDI-1:LA	SC: EMCB		PDI-1:SC	
NAME	HPastis		SLittle	ESULLIVAN		MGamberoni	
DATE	1/13/00		12/27/99	1/13/00		1/4/99	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DISTRIBUTION FOR LETTER DATED: January 19, 2000

File Center
PUBLIC
PD I-1 R/F
MGamberoni
SLittle
HPastis
RPulsifer
CLauron
JDavis
OGC
ACRS
Region, DRP

M. Roche
GPU Nuclear, Inc.

cc:

Mr. David Lewis
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

Deborah Staudinger
Hogan & Hartson
Columbia Square
555 13th St., NW
Washington, DC 20004

Manager Licensing & Vendor Audits
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
1 Upper Pond Road
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Manager Nuclear Safety & Licensing
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Mail Stop OCAB2
P. O. Box 388
Forked River, NJ 08731

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mayor
Lacey Township
818 West Lacey Road
Forked River, NJ 08731

Resident Inspector
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 445
Forked River, NJ 08731

Kent Tosch, Chief
New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection
Bureau of Nuclear Engineering
CN 415
Trenton, NJ 08625