



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 3, 2000

Mr. Harold B. Ray  
Executive Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P.O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

SUBJECT: SAN ONOFRE 2/3 - SITE-SPECIFIC WORKSHEETS FOR USE IN THE NRC'S SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION PROCESS

Dear Mr. Ray:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you with one of the key implementation tools to be used by the NRC in the revised reactor oversight process, which is currently expected to be implemented at San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS), Units 2 and 3, in April 2000. Included in the enclosed Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook are the Significance Determination Process (SDP) worksheets that inspectors will be using to risk characterize inspection findings. The SDP is discussed in more detail below.

On January 8, 1999, the NRC staff described to the Commission plans and recommendations to improve the reactor oversight process. These recommendations were contained in SECY-99-007, "Recommendations for Reactor Oversight Process Improvements" (available on the NRC's Web Site <[www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html](http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html)>). The new process, developed with stakeholder involvement, is designed around a risk-informed framework, which is intended to focus both the NRC's and licensee's attention and resources on those issues of more risk significance.

The performance assessment portion of the new process involves the use of both licensee-submitted performance indicator data and inspection findings that have been appropriately categorized based on their risk significance. In order to properly categorize an inspection finding, the NRC has developed the SDP. This process was also described to the Commission in SECY-99-007A, "Recommendations for Reactor Oversight Process Improvements (Follow-up to SECY-99-007)," dated March 22, 1999, also available on the above-noted Web Site.

The SDP for power operations involves evaluating an inspection finding's impact on the plant's capability to limit the frequency of initiating events; ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of mitigating systems; and to ensure the integrity of the fuel cladding, reactor coolant system, and containment barriers. As described in SECY-99-007A, the SDP involves the use of three tables. Table 1 is the estimated likelihood for initiating event occurrence during the degraded period. Table 2 describes how the significance is determined based on remaining mitigation system capabilities, and Table 3 provides the bases for the failure probabilities associated with the remaining mitigation equipment and strategies.

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

PDR ADOCK

DF01

H. B. Ray

2 January 3, 2000

As a result of the recently concluded Pilot Plant review effort, the NRC has determined that site-specific risk data is needed in order to provide a repeatable determination of the significance of an issue. Therefore, the NRC has contracted with Brookhaven National Lab to develop site-specific worksheets to be used in the SDP review. These enclosed worksheets were developed based on your Individual Plant Examination (IPE) submittal that was requested by Generic Letter GL 88-20. The NRC plans to use this site-specific information in evaluating the significance of issues identified at your facility when the revised reactor oversight process is implemented industry-wide. It is recognized that the IPE utilized during this effort may not contain current information. Therefore, the NRC or its contractor will conduct a site visit in the near future to discuss with your staff any changes that may be appropriate. We are not requesting written comments on the NRC's work product enclosed with this letter.

We will coordinate our efforts through your licensing or risk organizations as appropriate. If you have any questions, please write or contact me at 301-415-1471.

Sincerely,

/s/

L. Raghavan, Senior Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

File Center                    LSmith, RIV  
PUBLIC                        OGC, w/o encl  
PDIV-2 Reading            ACRS, w/o encl  
SRichards (clo)            WDean, w/o encl

To receive a copy of this document, indicate "C" in the box					
OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	C	PDIV-D/LA	C	PDIV-2/SC
NAME	LRaghavan:am		CJamerson		SDembek
DATE	1/3/00		12/29/99		1/3/00

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDIV-2\SONGS\ltr-SDP.wpd  
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

H. B. Ray


2

January 3, 2000

As a result of the recently concluded Pilot Plant review effort, the NRC has determined that site-specific risk data is needed in order to provide a repeatable determination of the significance of an issue. Therefore, the NRC has contracted with Brookhaven National Lab to develop site-specific worksheets to be used in the SDP review. These enclosed worksheets were developed based on your Individual Plant Examination (IPE) submittal that was requested by Generic Letter GL 88-20. The NRC plans to use this site-specific information in evaluating the significance of issues identified at your facility when the revised reactor oversight process is implemented industry-wide. It is recognized that the IPE utilized during this effort may not contain current information. Therefore, the NRC or its contractor will conduct a site visit in the near future to discuss with your staff any changes that may be appropriate. We are not requesting written comments on the NRC's work product enclosed with this letter.

We will coordinate our efforts through your licensing or risk organizations as appropriate. If you have any questions, please write or contact me at 301-415-1471.

Sincerely,

/s/  


L. Raghavan, Senior Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

cc w/encl: See next page

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3

cc:

Mr. R. W. Krieger, Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P. O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

Chairman, Board of Supervisors  
County of San Diego  
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 335  
San Diego, CA 92101

Alan R. Watts, Esq.  
Woodruff, Spradlin & Smart  
701 S. Parker St. No. 7000  
Orange, CA 92668-4720

Mr. Sherwin Harris  
Resource Project Manager  
Public Utilities Department  
City of Riverside  
3900 Main Street  
Riverside, CA 92522

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Harris Tower & Pavilion  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400  
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Mr. Michael Olson  
San Onofre Liaison  
San Diego Gas & Electric Company  
P.O. Box 1831  
San Diego, CA 92112-4150

Mr. Steve Hsu  
Radiologic Health Branch  
State Department of Health Services  
Post Office Box 942732  
Sacramento, CA 94234

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS  
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Post Office Box 4329  
San Clemente, CA 92674

Mayor  
City of San Clemente  
100 Avenida Presidio  
San Clemente, CA 92672

Mr. Dwight E. Nunn, Vice President  
Southern California Edison Company  
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station  
P.O. Box 128  
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

Mr. Steve Floyd  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
1776 I Street NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20006

Mr. David Lochbaum  
Nuclear Safety Engineer  
Union of Concerned Scientists  
1616 P Street NW, Suite 310  
Washington, DC 20036-1495