

January 6, 2000

LICENSEE: Duke Energy Corporation (Duke)
FACILITY: Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3
SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF JANUARY 4, 2000, PHONE CALL BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC) STAFF AND DUKE REPRESENTATIVES TO DISCUSS THE REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS INSPECTION (RVII) AND REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS (RVIAMP)

On January 4, 2000, representatives of Duke (led by Robert Gill) had a call with the NRC staff (Allen Hiser and William Burton) in Rockville, Maryland, to discuss the Oconee license renewal application. The purpose of the phone call was to clarify items in Duke's submittal of December 17, 1999, regarding the RVII and RVIAMP. The call was a continuation of a meeting that occurred on December 9, 1999, and two followup e-mail messages that were sent on December 23 and 28, 1999, on the same issue.

During the call on January 4, 2000, Duke clarified that the December 17, 1999, submittal placed additional emphasis on the inspection of the reactor vessel internals and clarified that the aging management program emphasized the preparatory work (inspection scope, locations to be inspected, sample size, etc.) supporting the inspection. This clarification adequately resolved the staff's questions and does not require Duke to submit additional information.

Enclosures 1 and 2 contain the two e-mail messages which include the staff's questions and Duke's initial response to the questions.

/RA/

William F. Burton, Senior Project Manager
License Renewal and Standardization Branch
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270
and 50-287

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encls: See next page

LICENSEE: Duke Energy Corporation (Duke)
 FACILITY: Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3
 SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF JANUARY 4, 2000, PHONE CALL BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC) STAFF AND DUKE REPRESENTATIVES TO DISCUSS THE REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS INSPECTION (RVII) AND REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS (RVIAMP)

On January 4, 2000, representatives of Duke (led by Robert Gill) had a call with the NRC staff (Allen Hiser and William Burton) in Rockville, Maryland, to discuss the Oconee license renewal application. The purpose of the phone call was to clarify items in Duke's submittal of December 17, 1999, regarding the RVII and RVIAMP. The call was a continuation of a meeting that occurred on December 9, 1999, and two followup e-mail messages that were sent on December 23 and 28, 1999, on the same issue.

During the call on January 4, 2000, Duke clarified that the December 17, 1999, submittal placed additional emphasis on the inspection of the reactor vessel internals and clarified that the aging management program emphasized the preparatory work (inspection scope, locations to be inspected, sample size, etc.) supporting the inspection. This clarification adequately resolved the staff's questions and does not require Duke to submit additional information.

Enclosures 1 and 2 contain the two e-mail messages which include the staff's questions and Duke's initial response to the questions.

/RA/

William F. Burton, Senior Project Manager
 License Renewal and Standardization Branch
 Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270
 and 50-287

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See next page

*See previous concurrence

DOCUMENT NAME:C:\january 4 phone call.wpd

OFFICE	LA	RLSB	RLSB	RLSB
NAME	E Hylton	W Burton	J Sebrosky	PT Kuo for C Grimes
DATE	1/05 /00*	1/06 /00	1/06 /00	1/06 /00

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

From: William Burton
To: internet: rgill@duke-energy.com
Date: Thu, Dec 23, 1999 9:48 AM
Subject: FSAR Supplement and Questions Regarding the 12/17 Letter

Bob,

How are you? I'm Butch Burton and I'll be working with you while Joe Sebrosky is on leave for the holidays.

Joe asked me to relay two items to you:

1. There was a question regarding how to format the latest revision to the FSAR Supplement. My management would prefer that you provide the latest FSAR Supplement revision in its entirety, without redline/strikeout.

2. Two questions arose from your 12/17 letter, regarding the RVIAMP:

a. Page 8 of the submittal on "Additional Oconee Commitments..." refers to the Oconee RVIAMP. Should the reference be changed to the RVII?

b. Item 2 on the same page refers to "data will be developed..." Through what program or process will that data be developed? Initially we believed that the Oconee RVIAMP was responsible for this.

If needed, I'd be happy to set up a call to further discuss these items, or if you feel you can respond by e-mail or fax, that would be fine also.

My number is 301-415-2853.

Thanx,

Butch Burton

CC: Allen Hiser, Joseph Sebrosky, Stephen Koenick

Enclosure 1

From: "Robert L Gill Jr" <rlgill@duke-energy.com>
To: William Burton <WFB@nrc.gov>
Date: Tue, Dec 28, 1999 9:27 AM
Subject: Re: FSAR Supplement and Questions Regarding the 12/17 Letter

I'd like to discuss item number 1 further to make sure I understand clearly the changes from my last discussion with Joe.

With respect to item number 2, the reference on page 8 should be changed to RVII or could even be deleted as the sentence is unnecessary. With respect to the second part of this comment, the Oconee RVIAMP will be developing the data. However, the actual commitment is to do the required analysis with the appropriate data without committing to how the data may be obtained in the future.

As result of the discussions with the staff in December, the focus of the RVI aging management commitment shifted from the describing the near-term developmental program to describing the inspections to be performed during the period of extended operation. As a result, the Oconee commitment has less details about the developmental program (RVIAMP) and more on the inspections (RVII).

Enclosure 2

Oconee Nuclear Station (License Renewal)

cc:

Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn
Duke Energy Corporation
422 South Church Street
Mail Stop PB-05E
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Anne W. Cottingham, Esquire
Winston and Strawn
1400 L Street, NW.
Washington, DC 20005

Mr. Rick N. Edwards
Framatome Technologies
Suite 525
1700 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852-1631

Manager, LIS
NUS Corporation
2650 McCormick Drive, 3rd Floor
Clearwater, Florida 34619-1035

Senior Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7812B Rochester Highway
Seneca, South Carolina 29672

Regional Administrator, Region II
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Virgil R. Autry, Director
Division of Radioactive Waste Management
Bureau of Land and Waste Management
Department of Health and
Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

W. R. McCollum, Jr., Vice President
Oconee Site
Duke Energy Corporation
P. O. Box 1439
Seneca, SC 29679

Mr. Larry E. Nicholson
Compliance Manager
Duke Energy Corporation
Oconee Nuclear Site
P. O. Box 1439
Seneca, South Carolina 29679

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
North Carolina Department of Justice
P. O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

L. A. Keller
Manager - Nuclear Regulatory Licensing
Duke Energy Corporation
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Richard M. Fry, Director
Division of Radiation Protection
North Carolina Department of
Environment, Health, and
Natural Resources
3825 Barrett Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

Gregory D. Robison
Duke Energy Corporation
Mail Stop EC-12R
P. O. Box 1006
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Robert L. Gill, Jr.
Duke Energy Corporation
Mail Stop EC-12R
P. O. Box 1006
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006
RLGILL@DUKE-ENERGY.COM

Douglas J. Walters
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708
DJW@NEI.ORG

Chattooga River Watershed Coalition
P. O. Box 2006
Clayton, GA 30525