T5C3

The Honorable James M. Inhofe, Chairman Subcommittee on Clean Air, Wetlands, Private Property and Nuclear Safety Committee on Environment and Public Works United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has sent to the Office of the Federal Register the enclosed Federal Register Notice that will amend the Commission's regulations for licensing commercial nuclear power plants (10 CFR Part 52). Specifically, this rule (Appendix C to Part 52) will certify the AP600 standard plant design, which was submitted to the NRC for its review by the Westinghouse Electric Company LLC.

This final design certification rule is necessary to partially fulfill the objectives of Part 52, which are to provide licensing stability, early resolution of licensing issues, and to enhance the safety and reliability of nuclear power plants through standardization. Those wishing to obtain a license to build or operate the AP600 standard plant design will be able to do so by referencing the AP600 design certification rule.

Sincerely,
Original signed by DKRathbun

WIRE FILE CENTRE PROPERTY

Dennis K. Rathbun, Director Office of Congressional Affairs

Enclosure: Federal Register Notice

cc: Senator Bob Graham

Distribution RLSB

PUBLIC

Docket File- 52-003

DKRathbun, OCA

DMyer

SCollins

DMatthews

SNewberry

CGrimes

JNWilson

G:\RLSB\WILSON\Secy-ap6-#3.wpd

OFFICE	RL8B	OCA
NAME	JNWilson	DKRathbun
DATE	12.16.99	OR12-22-99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DF03

PDR ADOCK 05200003

The Honorable Joe Barton, Chairman Subcommittee on Energy and Power Committee on Commerce United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has sent to the Office of the Federal Register the enclosed Federal Register Notice that will amend the Commission's regulations for licensing commercial nuclear power plants (10 CFR Part 52). Specifically, this rule (Appendix C to Part 52) will certify the AP600 standard plant design, which was submitted to the NRC for its review by the Westinghouse Electric Company LLC.

This final design certification rule is necessary to partially fulfill the objectives of Part 52, which are to provide licensing stability, early resolution of licensing issues, and to enhance the safety and reliability of nuclear power plants through standardization. Those wishing to obtain a license to build or operate the AP600 standard plant design will be able to do so by referencing the AP600 design certification rule.

Sincerely,

Original signed by DKRathbun

Dennis K. Rathbun, Director Office of Congressional Affairs

Enclosure: Federal Register Notice

cc: Representative Rick Boucher

Distribution

RLSB

PUBLIC

Docket File - 52-003

DKRathbun, OCA

DMyer

SCollins

DMatthews

SNewberry

CGrimes

JNWilson

G:\RLSB\WILSON\Secy-ap6-#3.wpd

OFFICE	RLSB	OCA
NAME	JNWilson	DKRathbun
DATE	12.16.99	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

✓ President of the Senate Speaker of	f the House of Representatives GAO
 Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 per	ıcil.
Name of Department or Agency 2	. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
3. Rule Title Design Certification Rule for the AP600 Design	
Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if a RIN 3150-AG23	pplicabl e)
5. Major Rule Non-major Rule	
6. Final Rule Other	
 7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comments	? Yes No N/A
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one) © Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Non Significant	Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) 30 days after publication of	f final rule in the Federal Register
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both) attached (stated in rule
Name: Dennis K. Rathbun 12/2 Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, U.S.	22/99
For Congressional Use Only:	
Date Received:	
Committee of Jurisdiction:	<u></u>

7

		Yes	No	N/A
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	•	0
В.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	•	0	0
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0	•
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)?	•	0	0
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	•	0	0
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	•	0	0
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	•	0
	 E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	•	0
	E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	0	•	0
	E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership	0	•	0
	• E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	•	0
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	•	0
	 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			
	National Technology Transfer & Advancement Act			



Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate Speal	ker of the House of Representatives
Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #	2 pencil.
Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
3. Rule Title	
Design Certification Rule for the AP600 Design	
	•
Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier RIN 3150-AG23	er (if applicable)
5. Major Rule Non-major Rule	
6. Final Rule Other	
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comm	nents? Yes No N/A
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one) © Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Non Significant	O Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) 30 days after publication	ion of final rule in the Federal Register
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both) attact	ned O stated in rule
	nature)
Name: Dennis K. Rathbun	12/22/99
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
For Congressional Use Only:	
Date Received:	
Committee of Jurisdiction:	<u> </u>

		Yes	No	N/A
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	•	0
В.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	•	0	0
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0	•
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)?	•	0	0
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	•	0	0
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	•	0	0
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	•	0
	 E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	•	0
	E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	0	•	0
	E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership	0	•	0
	• E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	•	0
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	•	0
	Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify)			



Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate	Speaker of the House of Representatives GAO
Please fill the circles electronically or with black	pen or #2 pencil.
1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
3. Rule Title	
Design Certification Rule for the AP600 De	esign
Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other UniquENT RIN 3150-AG23	ie Identifier (if applicable)
5. Major Rule Non-major Rule	
6. Final Rule Other	
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit pu	iblic comments? Yes No N/A
Priority of Regulation (fill in one) Economically Significant; or	Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other
Significant; or Substantive, Non Significant	(Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) 30 days after	publication of final rule in the Federal Register
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both)	attached stated in rule attached stated in rule
Submitted by: Orkath-	(signature)
Name: Dennis K. Rathbun	12/22/99
Title: Director, Office of Congressional	l Affairs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
For Congressional Use Only:	
Date Received:	
•	
Committee of Jurisdiction:	



 A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits? B. With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency certify that the rule would not have a significant economic Impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)? C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)? E. Does this rule contain a collection of Information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule? E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 		• 000000	0 0 0 0 0 0
 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic Impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)? With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)? Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule? E.O. 12612, Federalism E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	○○●	000000	_
 substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)? C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)? E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule? E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	○○●	000000	_
 C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)? E. Does this rule contain a collection of Information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule? E.O. 12612, Federalism E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	•	00000	_
 § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)? E. Does this rule contain a collection of Information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule? E.O. 12612, Federalism E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	•	0 0 0 0	OOC
or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Actg (NEPA)? E. Does this rule contain a collection of Information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule? • E.O. 12612, Federalism • E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights	•	0 0 0	0 0 0
under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule? • E.O. 12612, Federalism • E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights	_	0	0
 E.O. 12612, Federalism E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 		\cap	\circ
 E.O. 126630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	•		
Protected Property Rights	0	•	0
E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	0	•	0
	0	•	0
E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership	0	•	0
E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	•	0
 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	•	0
 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			