

### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION IV 611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-8064

DEC 27 1999

J. H. Swailes, Vice President of Nuclear Energy Nebraska Public Power District P.O. Box 98 Brownville, Nebraska 68321

#### SUBJECT: INSPECTION PLAN - COOPER NUCLEAR STATION

Dear Mr. Swailes:

On December 7, 1999, the NRC staff reviewed the performance of Cooper Nuclear Station as reflected in the performance indicators and inspection results in order to integrate performance information and to plan for inspection activities at your facility from December 1, 1999, through July 31, 2000. The purpose of this letter is to inform you of our plans for future inspections at your facility so that you will have an opportunity to prepare for these inspections and to inform us of any planned inspections which may conflict with your plant activities.

We have not identified any areas in which you crossed a performance threshold. Therefore, we plan to conduct only baseline inspections at your facility over the next 8 months.

This letter advises you of our planned inspection effort resulting from the Cooper midcycle review. Enclosure 1 details the scheduled inspections that will occur from December 1, 1999, through July 31, 2000. The inspection plan is provided to minimize the resource impact on your staff and to allow for scheduling conflicts and personnel availability to be resolved in advance of inspector arrival onsite. Routine resident inspections are not listed due to their ongoing and continuous nature. The last 4 months of the inspection plan are tentative and will be revised at the end-of-cycle review meeting.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR).

If circumstances arise which cause us to change this inspection plan, we will contact you to discuss the change as soon as possible. Please contact me at 817/860-8185 with any questions you may have regarding this letter or the inspection plan.

Sincerely,

Charles S. Marschall, Chief Project Branch C Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No.: 50-298 License No.: DPR-46

Enclosures:

1. Cooper Nuclear Station Inspection/Activity Plan

2. Plant Issues Matrix

cc w/enclosures: G. R. Horn, Senior Vice President of Energy Supply Nebraska Public Power District 1414 15th Street Columbus, Nebraska 68601

John R. McPhail, General Counsel Nebraska Public Power District P.O. Box 499 Columbus, Nebraska 68602-0499

B. L. Houston, Nuclear Licensing and Safety Manager
Nebraska Public Power District
P.O. Box 98
Brownville, Nebraska 68321

Dr. William D. Leech Manager - Nuclear MidAmerican Energy 907 Walnut Street P.O. Box 657 Des Moines, Iowa 50303-0657

Ron Stoddard Lincoln Electric System 1040 O Street P.O. Box 80869 Lincoln, Nebraska 68501-0869

Michael J. Linder, Director Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 98922 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-8922

Chairman Nemaha County Board of Commissioners Nemaha County Courthouse 1824 N Street Auburn, Nebraska 68305

Cheryl K. Rogers, Program Manager Nebraska Health and Human Services System Division of Public Health Assurance Consumer Services Section 301 Centennial Mall, South P.O. Box 95007 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-5007

Ronald A. Kucera, Director of Intergovernmental Cooperation Department of Natural Resources P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Jerry Uhlmann, Director State Emergency Management Agency P.O. Box 116 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Vick L. Cooper, Chief Radiation Control Program, RCP Kansas Department of Health and Environment Bureau of Air and Radiation Forbes Field Building 283 Topeka, Kansas 66620

-4-

# DEC 27 1999

4

E-Mail all documents to Jim Isom for Pilot Plant Program (JAI) E-Mail all documents to Sampath Malur for Pilot Plant Program (SKM)

E-Mail notification of issuance of all documents to Nancy Holbrook (NBH).

bcc to DCD (IE01)

bcc distrib. by RIV: Regional Administrator DRP Director DRS Director Branch Chief (DRP/C) Branch Chief (DRP/C) Project Engineer (DRP/C) Chief, NRR/DIPM/IIPB

Resident Inspector RIV File RITS Coordinator

# DOCUMENT NAME: R:\PILOT INSPECTION PROGRAM\INSPECTION PLANS\CNS MIDCYCLE INSP PLAN LETTER

 To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

 RIV:C:DRP/C
 RSLO
 PAO

 CSMarschall;df
 CAHackney
 Henderson

 12/13/99
 12/13/99
 12/13/99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Page 1 of 1

12/23/1999 15:14:05

# COOPER STATION Inspection / Activity Plan 12/01/1999 - 07/31/2000

	L <i>r</i>	·		No. assigned	Planned	Dates	Inspection
Units	Inspection Activity	Title	on Site	to Procedure	Start	End	Туре
	RPC-F02 - ENGI	NEERING SELF ASSESSMENT	2				
1	IP 37551	Onsite Engineering		2	01/24/2000	01/28/2000	Regional Initiative
	RPC-R01 - RESI	DENT INSPECTOR-WORK CONTROL-OUTAGE	2				
1	IP 71111.13	Maintenance Work Prioritization and Control (I,M,B)		2	02/13/2000	03/25/2000	Other Routine
	RPC-R02 - HEAT	SINK-RESIDENT	2				
1	IP 71111.07	Heat Sink Performance (I,M)		2	02/13/2000	03/25/2000	Other Routine
	RPC-R03 - PERM	IANENT PLANT MODIFICATIONS-RESIDENT	2				
1	IP 71111.17	Permanent Plant Modifications (M,B)		2	02/13/2000	03/25/2000	Other Routine
	RPC-R04 - REFU	IELLING AND OUTAGE-RESIDENT	2				
1	IP 71111.20	Refueling and Outage Activities (I,M,B)		2	02/13/2000	03/25/2000	Other Routine
	PSB-01 - ALAF	A PLANNING & CONTROLS	1				
1	IP 71121.02	ALARA Planning and Controls		1	03/06/2000	03/10/2000	Other Routine
	PSB-03 - ACCE	ESS CONTROL TO RAD SIG AREAS & PIV	2				
1	IP 71121.01	Access Control to Radiologically Significant Areas		1	03/20/2000	03/24/2000	Other Routine
1	IP 71151	Performance Indicator Verification		0	03/20/2000	03/24/2000	Other Routine
	PSB-02 - ALAF	A PLANNING & CONTROLS	1				
1	IP 71121.02	ALARA Planning and Controls		1	04/17/2000	04/21/2000	Other Routine
	PSB-04 - RESP	ONSE TO CONT EVENTS & PIV	2				
1	IP 71130.03	Response to Contingency Events	•	2	05/01/2000	05/05/2000	Other Routine
1	IP 71151	Performance Indicator Verification		. 2	05/01/2000	05/05/2000	Other Routine
	EMB - 50.59		1				
1	JP 71111.02	Changes to License Conditions and Safety Analysis Report (M,B)		1.	05/22/2000	05/26/2000	Other Routine
	EMB01A - FIRE	PROTECTION OPEN ITEM CLOSURE	1				
1	IP 92904	Followup - Plant Support		1	05/22/2000	05/26/2000	Regional Initiative
	OB-RQ - REQL	JAL INSP	4				
1	IP 71111.11	Licensed Operator Requalification (M,B)	,	2	06/19/2000	06/24/2000	Other Routine
	PSB-05 - RAD	MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	1				
1	IP 71121.03	Radiation Monitoring Instrumentation		1	06/19/2000	06/23/2000	Other Routine
	EMB - PERN	I PLANT MODS	2				
1	IP 71111.17	Permanent Plant Modifications (M,B)		2	07/17/2000	07/21/2000	Other Routine

#### Page: 1 of 3 12/27/1999 12:37:20 IR Report 4

# United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Revised Oversight Process PLANT ISSUE MATRIX

By Cornerstone

# Region 4

COOPER STATION

Date	Source	ID	Туре	Cornerstone	Significance Determination	Item Title Item Description/Significance
10/29/1999	1999011-01	NRC	URI	Mitigating Systems		EVALUATION OF EFFECTS ON MOTOR-OPERATED VALVES SUBJECT TO HIGH ENERGY LINE BREAKS
Dockets Dise 05000298 CC						A leak from the reactor equipment cooling system was found to be the result of leaking tubes in a room cooler in the northeast quadrant of the secondary containment building. This was considered to be potentially significant because the reactor equipment cooling system is required to be capable of providing cooling for 30 days without makeup water. This issue was considered GREEN in the significance determination process since it did not represent an actual loss of safety function of a system, of a single train for more than the technical specification allowable outage time, or of a single train of non-technical specification equipment designated as risk-significant under 10 CFR 50.65 for more than 24 hours.
09/10/1999	1999003-01	NRC	NCV	Mitigating	Green	UNTIMELY CORRECTIVE ACTIONS FOR THE RHR SYSTEM
Dockets Dise 05000298 CC				Systems		Green: In using the cornerstone significance determination process, this issue was determined to have very low risk significance because the system remained operable, although degraded. The residual heat removal heat exchanger operator workaround conditions involving the operation of the heat exchanger outlet valves (including the service water side) had existed for several years. Long-term corrective actions to restore the system's ability to maintain temperature control during shutdown cooling mode of operation, according to the system's original design, had not been developed and implemented. Failure to establish prompt corrective actions for conditions adverse to quality was a violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI. This violation is being treated as a noncited violation (50-298/9903-01), consistent with the Interim Enforcement Policy for pilot plants (Section 1RO7).
10/09/1999	1999013-01	NRC	NCV	Barrier Integrity	Green	Failure to use a gauge that provided adequate repeatability for low pressure testing of the primary contain
Dockets Discussed: 05000298 COOPER						Green. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criteria XI, requires that licensees have available and use adequate test instrumentation. The failure to use a gauge that provided adequate repeatability for low pressure testing of the primary containment drywell airlock is a violation. We are treating this violation as noncited, consistent with the Interim Enforcement Policy for pilot plants. The licensee placed this issue in the corrective action program as Problem Identification Report 4-04709.
						Since the subsequent airlock leak test at accident pressure proved that the airlock continuously met the Technical Specification 3.6.1.2 requirements for operability, the inspectors concluded that this problem had minimal risk significance.
09/10/1999 1999003-02 N Dockets Discussed: 05000298 COOPER		NRC	NCV	Occupational Radiation Safety	Green	FAILURE TO PERFORM RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY
						Green: In using the cornerstone significance determination process, this issue was determined to have very low risk significance because there was no unintended exposure or substantial potential for one and the ability to assess dose was not compromised. During withdrawal of the transverse incore probe from the reactor core, radiation levels exceeded the 5000 millirems per hour limit of the survey meter in use. As a result, on May 24, 1997, the extent of the radiation levels was unknown. The failure to perform an adequate radiological survey was a violation of 10 CFR Part 20, Section 1501. This violation is being treated as a noncited violation (50-298/9903-02), consistent the Interim Enforcement Policy for pilot plants (Section 2OS4).

.

# United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Revised Oversight Process PLANT ISSUE MATRIX

By Cornerstone

# Region 4

COOPER STATION

Date	Source	ID	Туре	Cornerstone	Significance Determination	Item Title Item Description/Significance
07/17/1999	7/17/1999 1999006		FIN	Occupational Radiation Safety	Green	INSPECTORS REVIEWED THE LICENSEE'S ACTIONS FOLLOWING A JUNE 4, 1999, SPILL OF FLOW-LEVI
Dockets Discussed: 05000298 COOPER						Green. On June 4, 1999, approximately 5,000 gallons of water with 3 to 4 cubic feet of condensate demineralizer resin spilled onto the radioactive waste building basement floor. The inspectors determined that no significant radiation exposure nor potential overexposure had occurred. The inspectors determined that, because no significant radiation exposure nor potential overexposure had occurred, the spill remained within the licensee's response band (green). Operators documented the event in Problem Identification Report 4-02417 (Section 40A3).
08/26/1999	1999009-01	NRC	NCV	Public Radiation Safety	Green	FAILURE TO CARRY OUT A COMPREHENSIVE SYSTEM OF PLANNED AND PERIODIC AUDITS OF 10
Dockets Discussed: 05000298 COOPER						The inspector identified a violation for failure to carry out a comprehensive system of planned and periodic audits of the radioactive material packaging and transportation programs in accordance with 10 CFR 71.137. The failure to review all aspects of the radioactive material processing and shipping program could cause programmatic problems to be missed which could ultimately result in unnecessary exposure to radiation workers and members of the public. This violation is being treated as a noncited violation (NCV), consistent with Appendix F of the NRC Enforcement Policy. This violation is in the licensee's corrective action program as Problem Identification Report (Serial Number) 4-03782 (Section 4OA1).
09/10/1999	1999003	NRC	FIN	Miscellaneous	N/A	CORRECTIVE ACTION PROGRAM ADEQUATE
Dockets Disc 05000298 CO						PIM,NRC,FIN,OTHER,No Color _9/10/99,_71152 Corrective action program adequate ,The corrective action program was generally implemented adequately across all cornerstones, with very low risk significance examples of untimely corrective actions. The licensee's self-assessments were appropriately focused on substantive performance improvement areas. Licensee management identified improving ownership, accountability, and support as a site-wide improvement area and was developing improvement plans at the end of the inspection.
07/17/1999	1999006-01	NRC	URI	Miscellaneous	N/A	INSPECTORS FOUND THAT THE LICENSEE INACCURATELY REPORTED THE NUMBER FOR THE UNPLA
Dockets Discussed: 05000298 COOPER						No color. The inspectors identified three power changes that the licensee should have reported as part of the performance indicator, Unplanned Power Changes per 7,000 Critical Hours, and did not. Following discussions of these events with licensee representatives, the Nuclear Projects Manager initiated Problem Identification Report 4-02841 to address the problem. The inspectors determined that, despite the three additional unplanned power changes, the performance indicator remained green (Section 40A2).

ŧ.

### Page: 3 of 3 12/27/1999 12:37:20 IR Report 4

# United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Revised Oversight Process PLANT ISSUE MATRIX

By Cornerstone

## Legend

#### Type Codes:

AV Apparent Violation

FIN Finding

NCV NonCited Violation

URI Unresolved item

VIO Violation

### ID Codes: NRC NRC

Self Self-Revealed Licensee Licensee

AVs are apparent violations of NRC Requirements that are being considered for escalated enforcement action in accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Action" (Enforcement Policy), NUREG-1600. However, the NRC has not reached its final enforcement decision on the issues identified by the AVs and the PIM entries may be modified when the final decisions are made.

URIs are unresolved items about which more information is required to determine whether the issue in question is an acceptable item, a deviation, a nonconformance, or a violation. A URI may also be a potential violation that is not likely to be considered for escalated enforcement action. However, the NRC has not reached its final conclusions on the issues, and the PIM entries may be modified when the final conclusions are made.

## DEC 27 1999

E-Mail all documents to Jim Isom for Pilot Plant Program (JAI) E-Mail all documents to Sampath Malur for Pilot Plant Program (SKM)

E-Mail notification of issuance of all documents to Nancy Holbrook (NBH).

bcc to DCD (IE01)

bcc distrib. by RIV: Regional Administrator DRP Director DRS Director Branch Chief (DRP/C) Branch Chief (DRP/TSS) Project Engineer (DRP/C) Chief, NRR/DIPM/IIPB

Resident Inspector RIV File RITS Coordinator

DOCUMENT NAME: R:\PILOT INSPECTION PROGRAM\INSPECTION PLANS\CNS MIDCYCLE INSP PLAN LETTER

To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

RIV:C:DRP/C	RSLO 0	PAO			
CSMarschall;df St	CAHackney	BHenderson			
12/13/99	12£799 ( M)	12/399			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY